In the Matter Of:

DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH

2021-015089 CA 01

HEARING

August 11, 2021



1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND
2	FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
3	CASE NO.: 2021-015089 CA 01
4	MANUEL DREZNER,
5	Plaintiff,
6	
7	-vs-
8	CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC.,
9	Defendant.
10	/
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	PROCEEDINGS BEFORE HONORABLE MICHAEL A. HANZMAN
16	STATUS CONFERENCE
17	
18	Remote Proceeding
19	Zoom Videoconference
20	Wednesday, August 11, 2021 9:00 a.m.
	J.00 a.m.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES
2	RECEIVER: MICHAEL I. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
3	ON BEHALF OF THE RECEIVER, MICHAEL I. GOLDBERG:
4	BERGER SINGERMAN, LLP 1450 Brickell Avenue
5	Suite 1900 Miami, Florida 33131
6	(305) 755-9500 Singerman@bergersingerman.com
7	BY: PAUL S. SINGERMAN, ESQUIRE
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:
9	GROSSMAN, ROTH, YAFFA & COHEN, P.A. 2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard
10	Suite 1150 Coral Gables, Florida 33134
11	(305) 442-8666 Rwf@grossmanroth.com
12	BY: RACHEL W. FURST, ESQUIRE Szg@grossmanroth.com
13	BY: STUART GROSSMAN, ESQUIRE
14	THE MOSKOWITZ LAW FIRM
15	2 Alhambra Plaza Suite 601
16	Coral Gables, Florida 33134 (305) 740-1423
17	Adam@moskowitz-law.com BY: ADAM MOSKOWITZ, ESQUIRE
18	DI TERRI MODICOWITZ, DOQUINE
19	KOZYAK, TROPIN & THROCKMORTON 2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard
20	Suite 900 Coral Gables, Florida 33134
21	(305) 372-1800 Jal@kttlaw.com
22	BY: JAVIER A. LOPEZ, ESQUIRE Hst@kttlaw.com
23	BY: HARLEY S. TROPIN, ESQUIRE
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	
3	PODHURST ORSECK, P.A. 1 Southeast 3rd Avenue Suite 2300
4	Miami, Florida 33131-1716 (305) 358-2800
5	Rmcid@podhurst.com BY: RICARDO M. MARTINEZ-CID, ESQUIRE
6	
7	HEISE, SUAREZ & MELVILLE 1600 Ponce De Leon Boulevard Suite 1205
8	Coral Gables, Florida 33134 (305) 800-4476
9	Lsuarez@hsmpa.com BY: LUIS SUAREZ, ESQUIRE
10	BI. LUIS SUAREZ, ESQUIRE
11	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:
12	GUNSTER LAW FIRM 600 Brickell Avenue
13	Suite 3500
14	Miami, Florida 33131 (305) 376-6009
15	Araskas@gunster.com BY: ARON U. RASKAS, ESQUIRE
16	MOUND, COTTON, WOLLAN & GREENGRASS
17	30A Vreeland Road Suite 210
18	Florham Park, New Jersey 07932 (973) 494-0601
19	Wwilson@moundcotton.com BY: WILLIAM D. WILSON, ESQUIRE
20	DI WILLIAM D. WILDON, EDQUINE
21	LUKS, SANTANIELLO, PETRILLO & COHEN 110 Southeast 6th Street
22	Floor 20
23	Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 (954) 761-9900
24	Drosinsky@insurancedefense.net BY: DAVID L. ROSINSKY, ESQUIRE
25	



1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	GOLDBERG SEGALLA, LLP 222 Lakeview Avenue
3	Suite 800
4	West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 618-4450
5	Dblumenthal@goldbergsegalla.com BY: DUSTIN C. BLUMENTHAL, ESQUIRE
6	
7	CARLTON FIELDS 2 Miami Central
8	700 Northwest 1st Avenue Suite 1200
9	Miami, Florida 33136 (305) 539-7289
10	Jacohen@carltonfields.com BY: JEFFREY COHEN, ESQUIRE
11	
	WEISS, SEROTA, HELFMAN, COLE, BIERMAN & POPOK
12	2525 Ponce De Leon Boulevard Suite 700
13	Coral Gables, Florida 33134 (305) 854-0800
14	Trecio@wsh-law.com BY: ANTHONY RECIO, ESQUIRE
15	DI TIVITIONI RECIO, ESQUIRE
16	COLE, SCOTT & KISSANE, P.A.
17	600 North Pine Island Road Suite 500
18	Plantation, Florida 33324 (954) 343-3919
19	Ryan.charlson@csklegal.com BY: RYAN CHARLSON, ESQUIRE
	BIT KIAN CHARDON, EDQUIRE
20	THE FLORIDA SENATE
21	224 Senate Office Building 404 South Monroe Street
22	Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (305) 505-8478
23	Jasonpizzo@gmail.com BY: JASON W. PIZZO, ESQUIRE
24	DI. UADON W. PIZZO, EDQUIKE
25	



1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	ALSO PRESENT:
3	Manny Kadre
4	Rodney Barreto Michael Fay Oren Cytrynbaum
5	Eileen Rosenberg Monique Seltzer
6	Marcello Pena Randy Rose
7	Randy Rose
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	Thereupon
2	THE COURT: The court is calling the
3	In Re: Champlain Towers South Collapse
4	Litigation, Case No. 2020-15089 (sic). Let
5	me have appearances only from the receiver,
6	lead class counsel, and any defense or
7	insurance carrier counsel.
8	MR. SINGERMAN: Good morning,
9	Your Honor, may it please the court. I'm
10	Paul Singerman from Berger Singerman. Our
11	firm is counsel to Your Honor's receiver,
12	Michael I. Goldberg. Mr. Goldberg is
13	participating in the hearing by Zoom.
14	MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning,
15	Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Singerman, you have
17	a little echo with your speaker. I don't
18	know if somebody has a phone on or computer
19	in the room, but just check it out for me,
20	please.
21	MR. SINGERMAN: I will. I
22	apologize, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning, Your
25	Honor. Stuart Grossman, liaison counsel.



1 I don't know if you were able to hear me. 2 Stuart Grossman, liaison counsel. Good 3 morning, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Good morning. 5 MR. TROPIN: Good morning, Judge. 6 Harley Tropin, co-chair, and I'm also here 7 with my partner, Javi Lopez, lead 8 counsel -- co-lead counsel for the property 9 class. MS. FURST: Rachel Furst of 10 11 Grossman, Roth, Yaffa, Cohen, co-chair lead 12 counsel on behalf of the plaintiff. 13 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good morning, 14 Your Honor. Adam Moskowitz, co-lead for 15 the class action. 16 MR. SUAREZ: Good morning, 17 Your Honor. Luis Suarez, co-lead for the 18 class action. 19 THE COURT: Okay. I think I have 20 enough representation from the class now of 21 record. Anybody here on behalf of any of 22 the defendants or potential defendants or 23 insurance carriers? 24 MR. MARTINEZ-CID: Your Honor, 25 sorry, I just wanted to announce, Ricardo



Martinez-Cid on behalf of all wrongful 1 2 death claimants, lead counsel. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I'm Jeff 5 Cohen from Carlton Fields. I'm here for 6 QBE, an insurer. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Any other 8 defendants, potential defendants, or insurance carriers? 9 10 MR. BLUMENTHAL: Good morning, 11 Your Honor --12 THE COURT: Guys, guys, we can only 13 go one at a time, and whoever else is 14 talking, please stop. 15 MR. BLUMENTHAL: Good morning, Your 16 Honor, Dustin Blumenthal with Goldberg 17 Segalla on behalf of the Philadelphia 18 Indemnity Insurance Company. 19 MR. ROSINSKY: David Rosinsky on 20 behalf of Concrete Protection and 21 Restoration. 22 MR. WILSON: Good morning, 23 Your Honor, William Wilson from Mound 24 Cotton on behalf of Great American



Insurance Company.

1 MR. RASKAS: Good morning, 2 Your Honor. Aron Raskas from the Gunster 3 Law Firm on behalf of Morabito Consultants, 4 Inc. 5 MR. RECIO: Good morning, Your 6 Honor, Tony Recio on behalf of --7 Any other defense THE COURT: 8 counsel or insurance carriers that have not 9 appeared? 10 MR. CHARLSON: Good morning, 11 Your Honor. Ryan Charlson on behalf of 12 NV5. 13 THE COURT: Okav. All right. 14 we have a lot to cover this morning. Let 15 me first hear from Mr. Goldberg to give me 16 updates on the assistance payments, 17 insurance situation. I want to hear about 18 the status of the title searches and the 19 termination suit and the proposed protocol 20 order regarding the inspection and testing 21 of evidence. 22 So Mr. Goldberg. 23 MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning, 24 Your Honor, Michael Goldberg, the 25 Court-appointed receiver. Your Honor, I'm



1 going to start off with giving you the 2 background and update, and then 3 Mr. Singerman will specifically address the 4 details of the protocol, and Mr. Kadre 5 and/or Mr. Barreto will discuss government 6 relations, and then Mr. Fay will give you 7 status of the real estate, if that's 8 acceptable to Your Honor in that order? 9 THE COURT: That's fine. 10 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, with 11 respect to the assistance payments, we now 12 have a claim of 80 total claims, 65 of 13 which have been approved, 10 have been 14 denied. That is an increase of 11 claims since last week, including 11 approvals and 15 16 one denial. 17 Relocation pending claims are zero, 18 and end-of-life benefit claims pending are 19 5, and we're working diligently towards 20 resolving those. Total relocation paid to 21 date is \$320,000, and end-of-life benefits 22 paid to date is \$66,000 for a total of 23 \$386,000, and we still have --24 THE COURT: Okay. There are no --25 there are no assistance claims that are



pending? In other words, everybody who 1 2 sought assistance, their claim has either 3 been paid at this point or denied, correct? 4 That is correct, MR. GOLDBERG: 5 Your Honor, as of yesterday. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 7 then you've got five of the end-of-life 8 applications that are pending, and you're 9 going to get those done when? 10 MR. GOLDBERG: We typically --11 we haven't really denied any of those. 12 We wait to get the documents so we know 13 we're writing the check to the right party, 14 and those get done pretty guickly. 15 should be clear by this week, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Good. So tell me about 17 insurance and where you are with 18 collections and your negotiation with 19 defense carriers. 20 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, the big news is I was informed last night by 21 22 Mr. Boyle and Ms. Anderson, our coverage 23 counsel, that we've reached agreement on 24 the Great American order, and that will be 25 submitted to Your Honor shortly, and that



12

1 will pave the way for the 31 -- approximate 2 \$31 million to be paid to the estate. 3 Otherwise, there really has not been 4 any change in monies received from the 5 insurance companies. It still remains that 6 everyone has tendered, and we're just 7 working on the Great American policy right 8 now. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And I should 10 expect that order today? 11 MR. GOLDBERG: Mr. Boyle is on the 12 call. I would ask him if he can give you 13 an update on the exact timing of submission 14 of the order. 15 MR. BOYLE: We've agreed on the form 16 of the order, and we can submit it today. 17 Good morning. 18 Please put it up on THE COURT: 19 CourtMAP. I will execute it today, and that should clear the way for those funds 20 21 to be tendered. Mr. Goldberg, there's 22 nothing else to be done once that order is 23 entered? 24 MR. GOLDBERG: That's my



understanding, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. So 2 talk to me now about where we are with the 3 title work, when this -- when the title people expect to have all the information 4 that you need in order to get the 5 6 termination suit up and running. What's 7 the status? 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I'm 9 expecting title work no later than the 10 16th, which is five days from now, which 11 I guess ends on a weekend, so probably the 12 end of this week. So I would expect it by 13 this week, and we will complete the 14 termination draft of the lawsuit, which 15 I told you last time is on my desk and 16 ready to go just pending plugging in all of 17 the defendants based on the title work. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So I expect 19 you'll have that filed by the end of the 20 month. 21 MR. GOLDBERG: The end of the month, 22 or, if I may just say, before Labor Day, 23 Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, one other



1	thing on the insurance you had asked that
2	I didn't get a chance to answer. We are
3	still in discussions with the insurance
4	companies concerning the cost of the
5	defense. The negotiations are proceeding,
6	albeit slower than I would have liked, but
7	we are still hopeful we'll be able to reach
8	some agreed resolution shortly, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Now, let me ask
10	you a question about the eviction suit,
11	because I want to make sure there's no
12	delay here. Once that case is filed, do
13	all individual owners and all stakeholders
14	including lienholders have to be personally
15	served?
16	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor,
17	but we do, but obviously we may have to
18	do publication service or some other form
19	of service, certainly there are going to be
20	victims who perished in the incident whose
21	estates may not be open, and we'll have to
22	figure out how to obtain jurisdiction over
23	the estates.
24	THE COURT: All right. Do you have
25	people that are working on preparing



- 1 summonses and doing whatever preparatory 2 work is needed in order to make sure that 3 case can be timely served so that we can 4 proceed either on summary judgment or 5 however we have to in order to secure a judicial termination, assuming it's 6 7 appropriate. 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, that's 9 what we're waiting on, the title work, and 10 that will start as soon as we get the title 11 work. We will have multiple people working 12 on that so we can timely get that case 13 filed. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And maybe -- and 15 maybe to the extent there are lawyers who 16 have been retained to represent these 17
 - maybe to the extent there are lawyers who have been retained to represent these victims and/or their estates, maybe you can work with some of the lawyers, including lead counsel here, to secure agreements on acceptance of service, so we don't have to run around and have people concerned about having process servers at their door serving what they might think is lawsuits seeking relief against them.
 - I don't want to -- I don't want to



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 unnerve any of the victims in this case 2 with process servers showing up at their 3 place of business or their home. So what 4 I'd like you to do is try to reach out to lawyers who have been retained on behalf of 5 6 these victims and see if you can work out 7 arrangements for them to accept service on 8 their behalf of the termination proceeding. 9 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor, and Mr. Tropin and Ms. Furst have been nothing 10 11 but extremely cooperative in this case. 12 THE COURT: And I know there are a 13 lot of other lawyers on the steering committees as well as other lawyers who 14 15 have been retained that you may want to 16 reach out to as well. To the extent we can 17 get arrangements to accept service, like 18 I said, we don't have to go through the 19 expense or the potential stress of having 20 process servers showing up on people's 21 doorsteps. Okay? 22 MR. GOLDBERG: Fully agree, 23 Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: So start those efforts 25 now, so once the case is filed, can you



1 send the complaint and summons to counsel 2 or those counsel who have agreed to accept 3 service. 4 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor, 5 we will do that. 6 THE COURT: All right. Let's talk 7 about the evidence protocol order. Where 8 are you in your negotiations with the 9 plaintiff steering committee, defense 10 counsel, and any other interested parties 11 in getting to the Court a preservation --12 not a preservation order, but a protocol 13 for inspection and testing of evidence, 14 where are we there? 15 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, we've 16 made significant progress. Mr. Singerman 17 will update you momentarily on the details 18 of that. We've worked with Mr. Tal 19 Lifshitz of Mr. Tropin's office, who took 2.0 the laboring war on drafting the first 21 draft along with Mr. Singerman, myself, and 22 with the cooperation of all of the known 23 defendants that we're aware of. Progress has been made. Mr. Singerman will update 24 25 you.



1 There is one point I want to raise 2 to the Court. We sent the protocol to the 3 Town of Surfside. Surfside takes the 4 position that they wear two hats in 5 connection with the case. One is a potential defendant, in which they 6 7 basically have stated that they will agree 8 to the protocol, and then one, they claim a 9 police power jurisdictional capacity as a 10 municipality where the property is located, 11 and they have, I don't want to use the word 12 signal, that's too light. 13 They've basically stated that they 14 don't feel that they have to follow the 15 protocol, because they believe they can 16 exercise their jurisdictional capacity as a 17 municipality to immediately start testing 18 and doing what they need at the property 19 upon it being handed to me by the County in 20 the four to five weeks. We expect that 21 that issue will eventually be teed up in

THE COURT: I'll hear from them, but they're going to be quickly dispelled of that notion. Anybody who is doing any



front of you.

22

23

24

1 testing or any access to that property and 2 doing any testing or anything that could 3 impair evidence is going to do it pursuant 4 to and only pursuant to this Court's order 5 establishing a protocol. 6 So if they are taking that position, 7 I'll hear from them, but that's -- that's 8 not going to be happening. Anybody who goes in there to test evidence and to do 9 any destructive testing or in any way alter 10 11 that property is going to do so only 12 pursuant to the protocol that is agreed by 13 counsel and approved by this Court, period. 14 MR. RECIO: Your Honor, I'd like to 15 address that on behalf of the Town of 16 Surfside. 17 Yes, who is speaking? THE COURT: 18 MR. RECIO: Tony Recio on behalf of 19 the Town of Surfside. 20 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Recio? 21 MR. RECIO: Thank you. Thank you, 22 Your Honor. Just briefly, what we had --23 what we had attempted to do was include a 24 provision for our access within the 25 stipulated protocol. That was our --

2.0

1 that's what we were trying to do within the
2 bounds of the stipulated protocol.

Now, we understand the position that that is not the subject of the protocol at this time, however, we do have our own life safety concerns. We just wanted to put them on the record. We understand where you are coming from.

We will continue to -- you know, to work with this process, especially as the County is still in charge of the site now. With the County in charge of the site, the NIST is apparently, we understand, doing some destructive testing on the site and impacting the site.

We raised that concern with Mr. Goldberg and Mr. Singerman, and obviously we continue to be concerned about that, the effect it would impact further inspections by any of the parties involved.

THE COURT: Well, I want to -- I want to hear about that. That has not been brought to my attention, but I'm telling you right now so there's no -- there's nothing unclear, neither the Town of



1 Surfside nor any other party or potential 2 party in this case is going to be going in 3 and doing any destructive testing or in any 4 way altering evidence unless it's pursuant to the protocol adopted and approved by 5 6 this Court, and I'll assume you will make 7 your client aware of that. 8 MR. RECIO: I will convey that to my client. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 11 Mr. Goldberg, what's going on with 12 the NIST testing? I know they are there 13 doing testing pursuant to their charter and 14 their jurisdiction. I was of the 15 understanding that that is not destructive 16 testing that is going to in any way alter 17 or impair evidence in this case, and 18 whatever they were doing was consistent 19 with my preservation order. 20 So tell me what's going on with NIST 21 and their investigation. 22 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor. 23 Last Friday when I went to the property and 24 I met with the Miami-Dade Police, the homicide group -- number one, they are 25



- completely cooperative, and I want to
 announce that they have agreed to fence the
 property at a big savings to this estate
 and the victims, and they were working with
 me on the location of the fence, and the
 County couldn't be more gracious and
 cooperative.
 - I also met with Ms. Judith

 Mitrani-Reiser at the property, who is the senior NIST representative at the property who also is extremely outgoing, cooperative with me and fully informative.
- Last night at 9 p.m.

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Ms. Mitrani-Reiser called me, and we spoke for about 45 minutes, and this was in a follow-up question based on the concerns that Surfside had raised about potential destructive testing.
 - She stressed that NIST is doing all of its testing in the least invasive way possible, and NIST films everything it does and has done so since a week after the collapse, they have filmed everything, and everything is recorded, catalogued, and documented thoroughly, and they maintain a



HEARING

1 full record of everything they're doing. 2 She explained to me that what NIST 3 is doing is they're taking two-inch 4 standard -- and I apologize, I'm reading 5 this -- standard penetrometer testing in up 6 to ten locations on the property. 7 What my understanding is, based on 8 the explanation of that, Your Honor, is 9 they take a two-inch pipe, they bore it 10 down to the bedrock, and they pull out a 11 sampling of the various layers. 12 Yes, that is by its very category, breaking through and going down there, 13 14 destructive, but it's my understanding 15 based on the conversation that it is only 16 two inches at up to ten locations 17 throughout the entire site, and it will not 18 prevent anybody else from doing that test, 19 and it will not in any way hinder anybody 2.0 else's testing. 21 Again, it's all filmed and, in 22 addition, it's all catalogued and 23 documented. The purpose of that test is 24 for NIST to determine the status of the soil and rock as it is in the various 25



1 lavers down to the bedrock. They are 2 hoping to compare that -- first of all,

HEARING

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

3 they want to see the current status, but

4 they're hoping to compare that to previous

similar tests to see any changes in that. 5

And it's my understanding that they've contacted the Town of Surfside to ask if it had those tests, and NIST told me that the Town of Surfside referred NIST to their public website and has not yet received whether or not it has any of those previous tests that one may expect the Town of Surfside would have in the event it did previous geological testing on the property. So they're hoping to hopefully

They're also using other geophysical methods such as impulse echo, which are not invasive, and ground-penetrating radar, but NIST assured me that, even though it technically may be considered destructive testing, because they have to bore through, it is not in any way altering or preventing anyone else from doing any testing.



locate previous tests.

1 THE COURT: So geological testing on 2 very precise locations. Now, just so it's clear, because we keep throwing around this 3 4 acronym, I assume that they're the 5 equivalent of what the NTSB would be? This 6 is a federal agency that's chartered with 7 investigating building collapses and 8 similar catastrophes, is that what it is? 9 MR. GOLDBERG: That's exactly right, 10 Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. GOLDBERG: I had never heard of 13 NIST before. I looked up what it was. 14 NIST came into existence in like 1901, and 15 specifically after 9/11 in 2000, President 16 Bush signed an executive order and Congress 17 adopted it, and basically it instructs NIST 18 within 48 hours of any building collapse in the United States, it actually charges them 19 2.0 with the responsibility of being on site 21 and taking lead and investigating that, at 22 least according to what I have read. 23 So it appears to be that NIST has 24 complete jurisdiction to do what it wants to do, and they're doing what they do do.



1 In fact, I want to update the Court. 2 this morning at five minutes before this 3 hearing, NIST told me they just uploaded an 4 update on its website of the status of its 5 investigation at the site, and there's a 6 link to that which I'll post on my website 7 shortly after this hearing. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, 9 I'm not -- based upon what you told me, I'm 10 not concerned in the least bit about 11 whether NIST is doing anything 12 inappropriate or destroying any evidence. 13 The Court doesn't know whether we have any 14 jurisdiction to address that matter in any event, but it doesn't sound like that's an 15 16 issue. It looks like they're doing what 17 their charter obligates them to do, and 18 hopefully their investigation will benefit 19 all concerned parties here. 20 But once they are done and once this 21 property is turned over to the receiver, 22 the Court intends to have a protocol in 23 place that will be binding on all parties, 24 and there will be no destructive testing or

investigation or anything going on at that

25

HEARING

property outside the confines of this 1 2 Court's order. Okay. 3 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, that's a 4 perfect seque for Mr. Singerman to give you 5 an update on the protocol itself. THE COURT: 6 Okay. Mr. Singerman, 7 where are we? 8 MR. SINGERMAN: Good morning, 9 Your Honor. May it please the Court, Paul 10 Singerman for the receiver. Your Honor, on 11 August 4th, late in the afternoon a week 12 ago today after Your Honor's status 13 hearing, Mr. Goldberg submitted to a large 14 number of interested parties the initial 15 draft of the proposed site access protocol, 16 and, thereafter, Mr. Goldberg and I 17 followed up and provided that draft to a 18 number of other interested parties. 19 We requested feedback in respect of 20 the initial draft by noon on Monday, August 21 9th. We are pleased to report, Your Honor, 22 that we have received quite collaborative, 23 helpful input from a number of parties, 24 including Morabito Consulting, the Town of 25 Surfside, the 8700 Building, the Bluegreen



1 Resort, Concrete Protection Services, and 2 John Moriarty & Associates, and perhaps 3 others.

HEARING

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- We received that feedback in the form of emails with questions about the draft, and from certain parties, marked versions of the draft showing changes suggested by the respective parties.
- Along with Mr. Lifshitz and Ms. Furst, Mr. Goldberg and we considered the responses and convened a call yesterday at 3 p.m., with approximately 25 parties participating.
- We discussed open issues, and we are now in the process of considering the results of yesterday's collaboration, and we will be preparing to provide to all parties a revised draft of the proposed protocol reflecting those suggestions which the receiver considers to be acceptable and helpful to the process.
- We have been advised, Your Honor, by the County -- Mr. Goldberg has been advised that the turnover may be slightly delayed. It may be another four or five weeks from



1	now. We wish Your Honor to rest assured
2	that the proposed protocol, either reached
3	by agreement of all interested parties or
4	with the issues narrowed for Your Honor's
5	adjudication, to be filed well in advance
6	for all parties to have notice of the
7	receiver's proposed final protocol and set
8	for a hearing at a status conference in
9	advance of Mr. Goldberg accepting the
10	property.
11	Separately, Mr. Goldberg has
12	apprised you of the issue regarding the
13	position of the Town of Surfside.
14	Your Honor's position on that has been made
15	abundantly clear, and I won't further
16	elaborate on the agreement that we've
17	reached with the Town of Surfside to
18	proceed collaboratively in framing those
19	issues for adjudication by the Court.
20	Your Honor, I'm happy to answer any
21	questions that you have for me regarding
22	the proposed protocol.
23	THE COURT: I don't have any
24	questions. I would I would like it to
25	be before the Court within the next couple



1 of weeks with any objections or issues that 2 anybody wants to raise, so if this is not 3 completely agreed upon, I can adjudicate 4 any disputes and have this protocol order 5 in place at the time the County and NIST are finished with their work and the 6 7 property is turned over to Mr. Goldberg. 8 So I would like the order to be in 9 place when that turnover occurs so we don't 10 have any gap. 11 MR. SINGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor, 12 understood. 13 We'll be doing these THE COURT: 14 hearings on Wednesdays, and as soon as an 15 agreed order is ready or as soon as the 16 issues that can be framed by any objection 17 are ready to be argued, I want it called up 18 so we can have it in place before turnover. 19 MR. SINGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor, 20 Thank you, Your Honor. understood. 21 THE COURT: So let me turn to 22 Mr. Kadre and Mr. Barreto and get an update 23 on where we are with our government 24 relations efforts and what's been going on



in that direction.

1 Who wants to address the Court, 2 Mr. Kadre? 3 MR. KADRE: Good morning, Judge. 4 Nice to see you. Judge, when you first 5 appointed Mr. Barreto and myself, you asked 6 a very important threshold question, which 7 was whether there was a will or mechanism 8 for government to be able to buy this 9 property as part of efforts to compensate 10 the victims here. 11 I think after spending a lot of time 12 with Governor DeSantis, Congresswoman 13 Wasserman-Schultz, Senator Rubio in his 14 office, and Mayor Cava, I think both Mr. Barreto and I -- you know, I brought 15 16 Mr. Goldberg into this process -- I think 17 that the answer to that is very highly 18 unlikely. 19 Having said that, I think that there is an incredible political will to help the 20 21 victims, and I think those efforts will 22 fall into four buckets as I see them. 23 One -- and there's been a lot of research 24 going on behind the scenes in the 25 congressional offices regarding this.



1	One is a potential mortgage
2	forgiveness effort, which would require an
3	act of Congress. I'd be happy to address
4	that once I give you the other three. The
5	second is a victim's compensation fund
6	similar to what was done after 9/11. Rare
7	in Congress, but it has been done before.
8	The third would be efforts towards a
9	memorial, either on or off-site, the
10	government would contribute to. The fourth
11	would be private efforts with, you know,
12	corporations and potential foundations.
13	So those are the four buckets where
14	I see the highest likelihood of success
15	after speaking you know, and I need to
16	recognize Congresswoman Wasserman-Schultz
17	and Senator Rubio for their efforts, but
18	after spending a lot of time with them,
19	I think those are the four buckets.
20	The property being monetized by
21	government, it's a very, very unlikely
22	scenario. There's just no deliver
23	mechanism to be able to accomplish that.

THE COURT: Well, like I said at

prior hearings, I was not optimistic that



24

2.0

there would be the political will and/or
ability to pay fair market value for this
property as a purchase either for a
memorial or other public use, so nothing
you're telling me, Mr. Kadre, is coming as
any surprise.

I am certainly glad to hear and generally aware of fact that Senator Rubio and Senator Scott as well as Congresswoman Debbie Wasserman-Schultz and the Mayor have been extremely proactive in this and doing everything they can in order to explore all avenues for victim relief, which is -- which I'm very encouraged to hear.

You know, these four avenues that you have laid out this morning look like they could be promising, certainly much more doable than a purchase of the property for fair market value, and they're all interesting areas of exploration, so I'm glad to hear that you're spending some time on this, that you've had face time with all of these governmental leaders, and they're on board in trying to deliver some form of assistance to these victims.



1 So, Mr. Barreto, do you have 2 anything you'd like to add? 3 MR. BARRETO: Yeah, Judge, thank 4 I'd just like to add one other 5 thought, was that we did meet with Mayor Burkett in Surfside and their outside 6 7 counsel, Steve Huffman, and kind of went 8 over everything with respect to potential 9 upzoning of the property to get more added 10 value out of the property. 11 However, Surfside, through the 12 Mayor, had no interest in doing that. So I just want to kind of note for the record 13 14 we did meet with the Town itself. you, Judge. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. Well, again, 17 I thank you for your efforts. I knew that 18 when I appointed you, that you would jump 19 on this immediately, and you have access to 20 and discussions with the appropriate governmental leaders, and I'm glad you've 21 22 been able to give us some clarity so soon, 23 because it helps the Court in framing the 24 direction of the case and knowing what the

options are to compensate these victims.



1	So I'm very pleased to hear that
2	you've had the access that I knew you would
3	have, and that our governmental leaders
4	have been so responsive to this cause, and
5	that we can explore these possible avenues
6	of recovery for the victims of this
7	tragedy. So thank you, again, for your
8	service, and continue on with the mission
9	and report back to me if there are
10	developments on these other potential
11	assistance avenues.
12	Mr. Fay, are you with us?
13	MR. FAY: Yes, Your Honor, I am.
14	First of all, thank you
15	THE COURT: Tell me where we are on
16	the private sector track here.
17	MR. FAY: Perfect. I've got three
18	updates. The first one is we continue to
19	receive lots of interest, and I continue to
20	have many phone calls as well as meetings
21	in reference to the property as we speak.
22	Most importantly, our offering
23	memorandum, which is our sales package for
24	the property, is completed pending one item

right now. The package has been sent to

2.0

Receiver Goldberg for his review, but the pending item right now is the confirmation from the City of Surfside on the current zoning.

Receiver Goldberg sent a letter to

Receiver Goldberg sent a letter to the City on July 30th, and we are awaiting that response right now. This is very important for our sales effort to totally understand the current zoning and what it is right now. While we believe we know, but we need confirmation.

The last and most important situation we have right now is we've received a letter of interest from a stalking horse right now at 110 million. They're willing to go to 120 million pending being selected as a stalking horse as well as certain terms and conditions of being that stalking horse.

Receiver Goldberg and Attorney
Singerman know this as well as Manny Kadre
and Rodney Barreto and our team. So we are
working diligently with that and wanted to
update the Court on that. Once we --

THE COURT: That's the best news



1 I've heard so far today. That's fantastic. 2 You've checked out this proposed stalking 3 horse bidder, and they're a viable company 4 that has the wherewithal to close on a 5 transaction of this magnitude? 6 MR. FAY: Your Honor, in preliminary 7 research, yes, we do believe that to be 8 true, and, yes, we do believe that there 9 could be others that could step up to the 10 stalking horse position possibly, but this 11 is the first one, and this is the one that 12 we believe that we would like to go down 13 the track with. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So are you going 15 to actually begin negotiating an agreement 16 with this potential stalking horse bidder 17 to come in for a landing on this 18 120 million bid subject to a higher offers 19 with a breakup fee or whatever 2.0 consideration they will -- you can 21 negotiate with them? MR. FAY: Correct, Receiver Goldberg 22 23 and our team will be doing that during this 24 week. We have a status call at 3:00 today

on that, so we will be going through our



1 strategy on a status call on that. 2 THE COURT: Okay. And I assume you 3 still need zoning confirmation with respect 4 to that bidder as well, correct? 5 MR. FAY: Yes, Your Honor, it's very 6 important to have that. 7 THE COURT: So Mr. Goldberg sent 8 this letter July 30th asking the Town of 9 Surfside to basically confirm what the 10 existing zoning parameters are on the 11 property? 12 MR. FAY: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: And there's been no 14 response from the Town of Surfside? 15 I have not received any, MR. FAY: 16 and I don't believe Receiver Goldberg has, 17 but he can answer that. 18 MR. GOLDBERG: As of last night --19 as of last night, we had not received a 20 response, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So let me speak 22 to the Town of Surfside. Counsel, why has 23 my receiver not received a response to a 24 simple inquiry to confirm the existing

zoning on this real estate so we can move



1 forward and try to get these victims 2 compensated? What seems to be the holdup? 3 MR. RECIO: The request is with our 4 planner. He's working on it. It's -- it's 5 not a simple response. There are several items that are included within it. If it's 6 7 just about confirming the comprehensive 8 land use and zoning, we can provide that 9 There are some other factors, you 10 know, just for you to be aware of, 11 Your Honor. 12 With respect to changing the zoning 13 or future zoning that Mr. Barreto raised, 14 you know, we will clarify in this -- our 15 planner will clarify that our charter 16 limits what we can change in this. 17 We cannot increase density, intensity, or 18 height, which is most of what zoning 19 covers. 20 So that would require a vote of the electorate to alter, so, you know, I just 21 22 wanted to clarify that, what Mr. Barreto 23 had said before, and we shared that with 24 him and Mr. Kadre.

With respect to the actual zoning



verification, our planner is working on 1 2 that, Judge. We will get it -- we will 3 issue it as soon as we can. THE COURT: What I'm trying -- I'm 4 5 trying to figure out what the issue is. In 6 other words, it sounds to me they just 7 asked the City for a type of estoppel or 8 whatever you want to call it, or a letter 9 just confirming what the existing zoning 10 density and availability is. 11 It would seem to me that would be 12 fairly simple to turn around and respond 13 and simply confirm what the zoning -- what 14 permissible uses there are and the density 15 permitted under the existing zoning. So 16 why can't we get that turned around 17 quickly? 18 I mean, I don't want to lose 19 bidders, and I don't want to lose 20 opportunities to compensate these victims 21 because the Town of Surfside is not turning 22 around what should be readily available 23 information. So when is the receiver going

to get a response to the July 30th letter?



Give me a date.

24

1 MR. RECIO: Understood, Your Honor. 2 The request goes into more than just 3 confirming the zoning. If it's just 4 confirming the zoning, I think we can turn 5 it around quickly, but the request goes 6 into vested rights, Town agreements, 7 rezoning initiatives. 8 There is currently a push to change 9 the zoning townwide that would have to be 10 analyzed if we're going to respond to all 11 these. If it's simply a question of 12 confirming what is the existing zoning and the existing comprehensive plan --13 14 THE COURT: Wait. That's kind of 15 like cryptic. What do you mean "a push to 16 change the zoning townwide"? What are you 17 talking about? 18 MR. RECIO: There's been a zoning 19 code rewrite that's been going for about a 20 year and a half now. It's an effort --21 it has nothing to do with the Champlain 22 Towers South. It is townwide, and that's 23 in progress right now. There's actually a 24 workshop on that in September. It would be

the seventh, I think, workshop, and we've



1 been requested to issue -- our planner is being requested to issue, I guess, guidance 2 3 regarding the rezoning initiative and what 4 that would -- how that would impact the site. So there's a little more --5 THE COURT: Well, listen, again --6 7 again, I don't know what you're talking 8 about, but let me make something clear. 9 I would hope that after this tragedy, the 10 Town of Surfside is not seriously 11 considering taking any action which would 12 make this property less valuable and, 13 therefore, you know, lessen the amount that 14 might be available to compensate the 15 victims of this tragedy. I would -- I 16 would hope that the Town is not seriously 17 contemplating doing that at this particular 18 time. Now, you know, I can't tell the Town 19 20 of Surfside what to or not do, and whatever 21 it does we'll deal with it in due course, but like I said, it would shock this Court 22 23 to find out that given what has happened 24 here, the Town of Surfside would seriously 25 be contemplating doing anything that could



1 diminish or in any way reduce the value of 2 that real estate. 3 MR. RECIO: I understand 4 Your Honor's position. 5 THE COURT: I hope my -- I hope my 6 sentiments are made clear to the powers 7 that be that it doesn't seem, at least to 8 this Court, that now is the time to be 9 affirmatively taking action that will have 10 the effect of reducing the value of this 11 real estate. 12 Now, I don't know what the 13 receiver's letter asks other than zoning, 14 but if it asks for things that are more 15 complicated than simply confirming the 16 zoning, maybe what the Town can do is send 17 a response confirming the zoning and deal 18 with those other issues in a subsequent 19 correspondence. How about that? 20 MR. RECIO: I think that's a good 21 solution, Your Honor. I will work with the 22 planner to get that out as soon as 23 possible. 24 THE COURT: Can we get that out by 25 the end of the week? Can the receiver



1 expect a response to his inquiry and just a 2 confirmation of existing zoning by the end of the week? 3 4 I can't speak for the MR. RECIO: 5 planner, Your Honor, but I will do whatever 6 I can do to help that along, and I will 7 convey the urgency of this to the planner. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate 9 that, counsel. Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. RECIO: 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. 12 Mr. Fay, you are authorized to move with 13 dispatch to negotiate what you believe is 14 an acceptable stalking horse bid agreement 15 with this prospective buyer at the range 16 that you discussed subject to my approval. 17 Hopefully, you'll get the 18 information from the Town of Surfside that 19 this potential buyer needs, and you can 20 negotiate that contract as quickly as 21 possible. I'd like to get the process 22 moving. Okay? 23 MR. FAY: Yes, Your Honor. 24 you very much on the clarification as well 25 on the zoning, because that is imperative



1 for us on the sales process as well as to 2 drive value. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Like I said, I 4 don't want to make this a prolonged 5 negotiation and try to squeeze every 6 nickel. I want this done, and my biggest 7 concern is somebody who has the ability to 8 close at fair market value. I want to 9 compensate these victims as soon as 10 possible. 11 So I'm instructing you to move as 12 quickly as possible to reach an acceptable 13 agreement so we can start a short auction 14 process, and if nobody outbids this 15 stalking horse buyer, we can move to a 16 closing as soon as possible, and 17 if somebody outbids them, that's fantastic. 18 But you go ahead and work on that 19 agreement and try to get something in place 20 as soon as possible. Okay? 21 MR. FAY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank 22 you. 23 THE COURT: All right. Excellent. 24 That's good news. Let me hear from class counsel. 25 How



1 are we doing with the investigation? 2 we still on track for a consolidated filing 3 on August 16th, Ms. Furst? 4 MS. FURST: We are, Your Honor. 5 We intend to file our amended class action 6 complaint on Monday as per your order and 7 are otherwise undertaking work -- the work 8 of investigating the claims and the 9 potential defendants to prepare for what 10 will ultimately be another amended pleading 11 down the road, but we will definitely meet 12 Monday's deadline. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Excellent. 14 Excellent. Mr. Tropin, anything you'd like 15 to add? I agree with Ms. Furst. 16 MR. TROPIN: THE COURT: Good. 17 Good. So we'll 18 look forward to that consolidated filing. 19 All right. Before I turn to any 2.0 victims who want to be heard today or 21 anything else, do any of the defense 22 counsel or any insurers have anything 23 they'd like to report to the Court or any 24 information they'd like to provide? 25 Excellent. Okay. As I do every



1 Wednesday, let me now open the floor to 2 hear from any victims or any other 3 concerned parties who may wish to address 4 the Court on any matters that they feel 5 should be brought to my attention. 6 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: Your Honor, it's 7 Oren Cytrynbaum. If I can speak? 8 THE COURT: Mr. Cytrynbaum, how are 9 you today? 10 I'm doing fine. MR. CYTRYNBAUM: 11 Thank you. I appreciate the continued 12 efforts, and -- sorry, just removing my 13 call -- I want to bring up a couple of 14 issues that have been brought up internally 15 with the group. First of all, I want to thank you 16 17 for your response about the Town of 18 Surfside, because I got a disturbing call 19 this morning from one of the residents' 2.0 family members who mentioned that yesterday 21 at the Surfside -- there was a hearing at 22 the Town of Surfside that they wanted to 23 downzone the property, which is absolutely 24 insane under the circumstances as you've 25 addressed as well.



My understanding is, and you were asking questions about the zoning, and of course this has to be confirmed, but my understanding is the current zoning allows for 109 units per acre, and they are talking about downzoning down to 45 units an acre.

It would be a complete disaster in terms of the value of the property, because you'd be limiting the size of the units, you'd have to have bigger units, so forth and so forth. Terrible.

And in my opinion, it could be a conflict of interest, considering they're a defendant in the case, so I do hope that we're keeping an eye on this one. I heard what you had to say, and I completely agree.

Internally, I just want to bring to the Court's attention that when it comes to the split of the insurance funds, property insurance funds for the building as well as the sale of the land, that there have been internal discussions that -- and we were discussing in the court last time, that



2.0

it would either be based on fair market value, the percentage ownership of the association, kind of how we were specially assessed for our assessments.

Some of us feel that the percentage ownership is the appropriate method of -you know, we each own a percentage of the association, so any percentage of insurance or land should be based on our percentage ownership and not market value, and of course, others believe it should be based on market value.

I would suggest to the Court maybe there could be a method in place, since this is technically our property and our insurance proceeds, it should be put to a vote of the owners, and majority should rule on how we should split the funds, because it should be our decision in some form.

So I just want the Court to take that into consideration when deciding maybe how to divvy up insurance proceeds and land sale proceeds down the road.

I also wanted to bring to the



1	Court's attention, there's a lot of
2	disagreement in the group or frustration,
3	I should say, in the group about all the
4	donations and support we've been receiving
5	from the charities and different
6	organizations.
7	A lot of people feel they've been
8	missing out or they haven't been aware of
9	such thing, and the coordination of such,
10	there might have been some people receiving
11	and other people not receiving from the
12	same charities, and we need, maybe, a
13	method in place to make sure that everybody
14	is being donated equally.
15	Because it is unfair if one party
16	receives a lot more help than another
17	party, and I know there's different classes
18	of residents, renters, secondary
19	homeowners, and maybe there should be a
20	method in place, kind of in the way the
21	receiver has been handling the requests for
22	special assistance from the receiver's
23	office.
24	So, you know, it's sad to say that
25	in the beginning all the owners were



- kumbaya, helping each other, one voice, you know, one love, and now there's a lot of nastiness, I should say, going on within the owners, which is very sad to see at this point.

 You know, there's a lot of internal
 - You know, there's a lot of internal fighting, and I'd love to help avoid that in any way possible.
 - THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me briefly address the points you've raised.

 I think my sentiments had already been made clear on the zoning issue, so there's no need to add anything further to that.

As far as the decision on how unit owners will be compensated for their units, whether that will be based upon appraised value, taking into account different floors and different views and things of that nature; or whether it will be based on the declaration and the percentage owned by each unit, as I said at a prior hearing, that's an interesting issue. It can be done either way according to the statute.

I assume some people who live on the top floor and have an ocean view would be

1 advocating for an appraisal method, where 2 other people who live on lower floors 3 facing Collins Avenue would be advocating 4 for use of the declaration. 5 So there's an old saying, "Where you 6 stand depends upon where you sit, " and here 7 where you stand may depend upon where your 8 condo is at. So we're having an appraisal done. 9 10 Mr. Goldberg is having each unit appraised 11 in a way that's typically done here, which 12 is you appraise one line of the 13 condominium, and then you just make 14 adjustments per floor. So we'll see 15 if that appraisal results in materially 16 different values than the square footage 17 method. 18 It may be that the differences are 19 rather insignificant and that there's 2.0 really not much of a debate there. 21 If it turns out that after the appraisal is 22 done and if compared to the square footage 23 method there is material differences in 24 what the distribution would be, then I'll

hear from all interested parties and make a



2.0

decision, and one of the options may very
well be as you suggested, to put the matter
to a vote.

Now, the problem with that is we have a lot of unit owners who have been lost in this tragedy and have estates and other things, so a vote may be -- may be complicated, and the best way to do it may very well be for me to just hear from all concerned and then make a decision.

So I'm not going to assure you that that will be put to a vote or not, but it's certainly one interesting avenue to explore.

As far as the charities go and the contributions, that's really something the Court has no jurisdiction over. It's unfortunate if charities and other people or organizations are making contributions, and they're not being handled in any coordinated effort or disbursed in a -- you know, in a manner that is consistent and to the benefit of all unit owners, but that's really not something the Court can step into, because I have no jurisdiction over



HEARING

1 anybody who wants to make a voluntary 2 contribution or as to how those voluntary 3 contributions will be distributed, but like 4 I said, I would have thought at this point 5 that there would be some mechanism put in place where charities could be directed to 6 7 one source and distributed according to 8 some coherent plan as opposed to just some 9 unit owners. 10 But like I said, I don't really know 11 what's going on with that, and I have no 12 jurisdiction to address it. 13 I appreciate you bringing your concerns up, 14 and we'll explore these issues in greater 15 detail down the road. MR. CYTRYNBAUM: Okay. 16 I appreciate 17 everything you're saying. I understand, 18 and I'm in agreement. Like I said, not 19 that you can answer every question I always 2.0 bring up or issue I bring up to the Court, 21 I just like to put it out there, because 22 there's more people on these Zoom meetings 23 than our internal meetings always and other 24 parties that might be listening in so

that's why I address it.

THE COURT: No, and you really --1 2 you always raise very interesting points, 3 and sometimes give us food for thought that 4 needs to be taken into the equation and 5 accounted for, so I appreciate it. 6 why these sessions I like to hear from any 7 victims who have anything to offer, 8 because, you know, the more input we get 9 into the process and the more issues that 10 are raised, the quicker we can address them 11 and come in for a landing. So thank you 12 again. 13 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: One last thing. 14 terms of the offering memorandum and 15 potential land sale and the stalking horse, 16 I do have some issues on that end, but I 17 don't want to address them right now with 18 the Court. I'm going to have a call with 19 Mr. Fay after the hearing to address them 20 with him, because I don't want to open a can of worms without, you know, first 21 22 conferring with him. 23 THE COURT: All right. Well --24 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: There may be an 25 issue I want to address next week with



1 regards to those before any approvals are 2 made in terms of a final offering 3 memorandum or stalking horse, so just 4 putting that out there. 5 THE COURT: Well, I have -- I have 6 authorized Mr. Fay to proceed with the 7 contract. It's always subject to Court 8 approval, and before any agreements are 9 approved by this Court, I've assured you 10 and will assure you again, that I will hear 11 from all interested parties. 12 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: Terrific. Thank 13 you for your great work, Judge, 14 we appreciate it. 15 Thank you, THE COURT: 16 Mr. Cytrynbaum. 17 Any other victims or family members 18 that would like to address the Court this 19 morning on any matters? 20 Okay. Very good. So, folks, thank you for appearing, and thank you for the 21 22 update, Mr. Goldberg and counsel. We will 23 be in adjournment. I will have Maria 24 circulate a Zoom link for next Wednesday. 25 I think it's important that we keep these



1 weekly statuses so everybody is informed 2 and we keep things moving in the right direction. So I will circulate a Zoom link 3 and set a hearing for 9 a.m. next 4 Wednesday, and I look forward to seeing you 5 6 then. 7 In the interim, you know that any 8 emergencies that need to be brought to my 9 attention, you know how to get to me. 10 Anything that comes up in the interim 11 between these status conferences, make sure 12 it's brought to my attention promptly. 13 SENATOR PIZZO: Your Honor, 14 I apologize. Jason Pizzo, just briefly 15 if I may? 16 THE COURT: Yes, Senator Pizzo, how 17 can I help you this morning? 18 SENATOR PIZZO: Just briefly, we've 19 received a lot of calls, and as I indicated 20 to Your Honor last week, some people 21 believe they are late to the process who are appearing now, they're obviously 22 23 included. 24 One sort of request I just wanted to 25 raise, if Your Honor wouldn't mind speaking



1 to it. A lot of people are still sort of 2 approached, texted, called about entering 3 into agreements or contracts with attorneys 4 on a contingency basis. I know Your Honor had made mention 5 6 of something in your July 5th hearing and 7 again on the 7th, and I'm loathsome to give 8 any type of legal advice, obviously, given 9 my position, but when we have victims, victims' families, and survivors approached 10 11 by law firms to sign, you know, contingency 12 agreements. 13 I don't want to give any advice, 14 whatever, but I know Your Honor had made 15 some mention, so --16 THE COURT: I think that's -- no, 17 I appreciate you raising that, Senator, and 18 I'm hoping that people aren't being 19 approached, because we have solicitation 20 rules that govern members of the Bar. So I 21 don't know in what way they're being 22 approached, and I can't give legal advice 23 either, but let me just make a couple of 24 things clear so everybody listening



understands.

There will be a consolidated class action complaint filed in this case. Every victim, whether they're a property owner victim only or somebody who's lost a life as well as property or somebody who has suffered a disruption of their living arrangements or other injuries that is not a loss of life will be defined in those classes, and they will be what is called putative class members.

So their rights and their claims will be included in the complaint to be filed by the class action lawyers pursuant to the fee arrangement that's been approved by this Court.

Now, given that, that does not mean people cannot also go out and get their own lawyers and agree to pay them a contingent fee out of any recovery. I don't know why people would do that when they have these lawyers working, representing their interests on the arrangement approved by the Court, because doing so will only cost these victims additional monies to pay lawyers who really aren't going to be in a



2.0

position to do much, because individual claims are being stayed until these class actions are resolved.

And I've made it very clear that individual actions seeking recovery from these limited funds will not be permitted until and unless this Court decides that the case cannot be certified as a class or it grants certification and people are given the right to opt out.

So for people to agree and obligate themselves to pay other lawyers' contingency fees makes no sense to this Court, but I can't give legal advice, and if people think that hiring their own lawyer to look out for their interest is worth the percentage of the recovery that those lawyers will be requesting, they are free to hire their own lawyers, but until and unless they opt out of this case, assuming they're given permission to, their lawyers aren't going to be able to do much for them.

So that's all can I tell you on that subject.



1 SENATOR PIZZO: Thank you, 2 Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay? All right. 4 Anything else anybody wants to add? 5 MR. PENA: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. PENA: Good morning. My name is 8 Marcello Pena from 708. How are you? 9 THE COURT: Good, Mr. Pena. How are you this morning? 10 11 MR. PENA: Good. Thank you. Thank 12 you. Your Honor, I want to bring to your 13 attention there are a lot of residents that 14 we would like to stay in Surfside and 15 rebuild. There's quite a few signatures 16 collected during the past week, and 17 we would like to be part of these 18 negotiations with the participants, with 19 the -- with all the developers that they're 20 applying for an opportunity to rebuild in 21 Surfside. 22 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Pena, we've 23 addressed that before. I know 24 Mr. Cytrynbaum has brought that to my 25 attention at prior hearings. I don't know



if you were there. I've told you or I've 1 2 told people, maybe you, maybe not, that 3 I think that the prospect of a certain 4 subset of surviving unit owners 5 participating in a redevelopment is 6 extremely low. 7 And one thing that I have told 8 everybody during these hearings, and I'll 9 tell you, one thing you get from this Court is honesty, and I'm not going to mislead 10 11 you or have you spend tremendous energy or 12 time chasing -- you know, tilting at 13 windmills, so to speak. 14 So for a lot of reasons, legal, practical, financial, I would encourage you 15 16 to talk to Mr. Goldberg and Mr. Fay, but 17 trying to put together a construct where 18 somebody would pay this kind of money for the property, joint venture with 10, 20, 19 20 30, 40 surviving unit owners, and secure 21 financing and having to take out the other 22 unit owners who are not along for this 23 ride, I think, would be impossible 24 logistically. So I don't want to mislead you and think that that's a real prospect. 25



1	Now, of course, if the land is
2	purchased and insurance proceeds are
3	secured and people get paid the fair value
4	for their units, which I hope will be the
5	case, they're free to take that money,
6	their equity, and the fair value of their
7	units and buy in Surfside, including any
8	redevelopment of this property if somebody
9	desires.
10	But again, logistically and
11	financially, the prospect of surviving unit
12	owners participating as owners or partners
13	or shareholders of some new entity that's
14	going to go out and get financing for
15	\$300 million or whatever it's going to take
16	to redevelop this property is a long shot
17	at best.
18	So I don't want to mislead you on
19	that. Okay?
20	MR. PENA: So it's okay for somebody
21	to spend \$200 million and sell it for \$900
22	million and profit that much, and ask the
23	residents that we were left with nothing
24	don't even have a consideration to go and

participate with the builder. Because

1 that's going to be a tremendous, a huge 2 profit. 3 THE COURT: Yes, everything you said 4 is true. 5 MR. PENA: Huge profit. THE COURT: What the victims -- what 6 7 the victims in this case have a right to, is to be compensated for the fair value of 8 9 their property and for the value of their 10 loss in terms of injuries and death. That's what the victims of this case have 11 12 an absolute legal right to. 13 They do not have a legal right to 14 participate in the upside of somebody who 15 comes in and pays fair market value for 16 this property. So what you said is 17 absolutely correct. 18 MR. PENA: All right. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? 20 Anybody else? 21 MS. ROSENBERG: Yes, I would like to 22 speak, Judge Hanzman. 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MS. ROSENBERG: Hi. 25 THE COURT: Who is speaking?



1 MS. ROSENBERG: My name is Eileen 2 Rosenberg. Good morning. 3 THE COURT: Hi, Ms. Rosenberg. 4 MS. ROSENBERG: I haven't had a good 5 morning since that news on Thursday 6 morning. I was here in Brooklyn, New York. 7 My daughter was in the building visiting 8 for a very short period of time. She only 9 planned on staying a short period of time. 10 She, unfortunately, picked a few wrong days 11 off a calendar, and I lost my daughter and 12 my son-in-law. 13 I'm so sorry to hear THE COURT: that, Ms. Rosenberg. 14 I'm so sorry for your 15 loss. 16 MS. ROSENBERG: It's a loss of life. 17 I lost my life, because my daughter is my 18 life, and this is only the second 19 proceeding I've been privy to. I left my 20 house in Brooklyn, New York with a license 21 in my hand, nothing else, and I stayed in 22 Surfside until I got the terrible news that 23 my daughter was found. 24 And then I returned here to Brooklyn to give her a proper funeral and burial as 25



quickly as possible. I'm Jewish and 1 2 religious and my daughter was throughout 3 her life, and that's the way it was done 4 according to Jewish law. 5 This is only the second time I'm on 6 the Zoom, and I just today would like to 7 say thank you for the way you are handling 8 this. Thank you for your no-nonsense 9 approach. Thank you for trying to take care of all matters expeditiously. Thank 10 11 you for being here to make sure that 12 everything is done correctly and that you 13 take the best care of us. 14 I really appreciate it. Nothing 15 will bring my daughter back, but 16 I appreciate everything that you're doing. 17 Thank you, THE COURT: 18 Ms. Rosenberg, and I'm heartbroken to hear 19 of your loss. I can't imagine the grief 20 that you are -- that you are feeling --21 MS. ROSENBERG: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: -- for the loss of your 23 beautiful daughter and son-in-law, and I'm 24 just so sorry, I'm so sorry to be meeting 25 you under these circumstances.

1 MS. ROSENBERG: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: But I -- but appreciate 3 your kind words, and I appreciate your 4 taking the time to get on the Zoom call and 5 talk to me, and I hope you join us in the 6 future, and if there's any concerns or 7 issues that you see that we should address, 8 I hope you don't hesitate to bring them to 9 my attention. Okay? 10 MS. ROSENBERG: Thank you for your 11 compassion, thank you for your invitation, 12 and thank you for understanding the 13 difficulty that I'm going through. 14 THE COURT: God bless you in these 15 difficult times. 16 MS. ROSENBERG: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. MARTINEZ-CID: Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MR. MARTINEZ-CID: With the Court's 21 permission, I'd like to address the issue 22 that Senator Pizzo and the Court were 23 talking about earlier as to individual 24 attorney's fees. I just want --25 THE COURT: Sure.



1 MR. MARTINEZ-CID: Sure. I iust 2 wanted to avoid any concerns. Certainly, 3 on the wrongful death cases, I think the 4 majority of decedents have already 5 contacted and hired individual counsel, and many others are still considering it. 6 7 I think every attorney that is 8 working on this matter has been incredibly 9 mindful of not only the special 10 circumstances of this case, but of the fact 11 that we are hopeful that much of this can 12 be resolved in a class action setting that 13 will not require individual work, and 14 I think that many or all of the contingency 15 fee agreements take that into account, and 16 so folks would not be, I would hope, as the 17 Court mentioned, signing on to take 18 additional fees. 19 But I still want to make sure that 20 folks understand that there are very good 21 lawyers available, a lot of lawyers that 22 are willing to assist individually without 23 those fees. I'm just concerned about folks 24 going unrepresented, that, in death cases,

could use the assistance of a lawyer that



HEARING

1	would not cost them more because of what,
2	you know, the discussion was with
3	THE COURT: Yes, and as I said
4	before, whether somebody hires an
5	individual lawyer and on what terms is a
6	matter of their private decision-making
7	choice, and not one that this Court would
8	interfere with, but people should be
9	informed of a couple of things.
10	Number one, think we all recognize
11	at this point, absent some sea change,
12	which I don't anticipate but which could
13	occur, it is unlikely there will be
14	sufficient funds available to compensate
15	everybody for the true value of their death
16	and injury claims.
17	Not that not that any
18	compensation could ever do so, but for the
19	amounts that would be the amounts that
20	likely would be recoverable in this case
21	are not going to, in my view, adequately
22	compensate all the victims of this tragedy
23	for death claims and injury claims.
24	And with that in mind and with the
25	reality that there will be a limited fund,



as I said before, I'm not going to be permitting people to be pursuing individual claims and dissipating assets that could be available to the group until and unless I either decide that this case is not subject to certification or I decide that it is, and that people have the right to opt out.

So while people may want to bring individual lawyers on board now, they should know what the rules of the game are and what those lawyers can or cannot practically do for them before they agree to give up a large percentage of their recovery to those lawyers. That's all I want people to be advised of.

Now, if they have all the facts and they have the correct legal landscape, and then they decide to go hire an individual lawyer and pay he or she a percentage of their recovery, they're free to do that. You know, in this country people have a constitutional right to contract, and they can contract and agree to anything that's permissible under the Bar rules.



1 All I'm encouraging lawyers to do is 2 make sure that they adequately disclose to 3 these prospective clients what the 4 practical realities are of this case and 5 what the legal landscape currently is. 6 That's it. Okay? 7 MR. MARTINEZ-CID: Thank you, 8 Your Honor, and I can assure you that, at 9 least to my knowledge, and I know Ms. Furst and Mr. Tropin, all of us have worked hard 10 11 to make sure everyone understands that and 12 is treating it appropriately. Wе 13 appreciate that, Your Honor. 14 MS. SELTZER: Can I --15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MS. SELTZER: Hi. My name a Monique 17 Seltzer. I'm the parent, like Eileen 18 Rosenberg, that lost my son. I apologize 19 if you have addressed my question, but I'm 20 going to ask it again. Will all victims be 21 handled equally? You know, there's the 22 property owners, there's the renters, and 23 then there's deaths like Eileen's daughter 24 and my son. 25 THE COURT: I'm so sorry for your



loss, Ms. Seltzer. You know, I can't 1 2 even -- I can't even comprehend the grief 3 that you must be feeling at this point, and 4 I'm so sorry to be meeting you under these 5 circumstances. 6 So let me address your question. 7 The Court's made no decision on how any 8 recovery will be allocated. That's going 9 to be done down the road, but there are different people that are in different 10 11 positions, as you know. 12 There are people that lost --13 everybody that owned a unit there lost 14 their property; in other words, they lost their homes and their real estate. 15 16 are other people who, in addition to that, 17 also suffered a loss of life who were 18 owners, and there were people that suffered 19 a loss of life who were not owners but who 20 were occupants, either tenants or quests or 21 things of that nature, and everybody has 22 claims. 23 And as I said before, I believe, and 24 hopefully I'm wrong, you know, it would be

fine if I'm wrong, but I believe that there

1 will not be enough recovery available in 2 this case to adequately compensate every 3 victim for the extent of their loss. 4 Again, I hope I'm proven wrong. 5 I've got the best lawyers in my view in the country appointed in this case who I know 6 7 will leave no stone unturned, who will 8 investigate every potential claim, only 9 bring claims that are viable, and that 10 nothing will be missed here. 11 I have every confidence in that, but 12 having said that, as great as these lawyers 13 are and as great as their investigative 14 skills are, they can't create lawsuits out 15 of whole cloth. There has to be a legal 16 basis before anybody can be held 17 responsible for any tragic event no matter 18 how much damage it causes. 19 So despite what will be their 20 efforts and despite the fact that I have 21 every confidence that every viable claim will be pursued, I don't think at the end 22 23 of the day there will be enough money to 24 adequately compensate every victim for



every aspect of their harm.

Т	So naving said that, there will have
2	to be allocation issues made in this case
3	down the road. Now, I've said before that
4	in my preliminary opinion, subject to being
5	open-minded and having my mind changed
6	if I'm incorrect, certain of the assets and
7	recoveries, namely the value of the real
8	estate and the insurance policy that
9	insured the property itself and only the
10	property, my tentative assessment is that
11	those funds will likely have to be used to
12	compensate owners for the value of their
13	condominiums, and that it would not be
14	appropriate or legal to use funds from the
15	value of the property and the insurance
16	policy that insured the structure itself to
17	pay other claims before people are
18	adequately compensated for the value of
19	their units.
20	So again, I'm not making any
21	allocation decision today. That will be
22	something that's addressed down the road,
23	but I've told all the victims in this case

that one thing you're going to get from me

in every hearing is honesty, and I honestly



24

believe, subject, again, to reviewing any 1 2 law to the contrary, but based upon my 3 preliminary research that I've done on my 4 own and my general knowledge, it appears likely that the value of the real estate 5 6 and the property insurance coverage will 7 go, first, to compensate people for 8 whatever equity they had in their units and 9 compensate lenders who have liens on those 10 units. 11 Now, again, we'll look at that down 12 the road. Now, I'm hoping that in addition 13 to be able to pay everyone fair market 14 value for their property, there will be funds, either from the land sale or from 15 16 insurance proceeds or from third-party 17 recoveries, that will be available to 18 compensate people for their other types of 19 losses, whether it be loss of life, whether 2.0 it be post-traumatic stress disorder, 21 whether it be people who suffered injury 22 who were renters or tenants. 23 I'm hoping that there will be 24 recovery for those groups of harm as well, 25 but we'll have to see how it goes, and



we'll have to deal with those issues down 1 2 the road. So Ms. Seltzer, I, again, am 3 4 heartbroken by your loss. I wish to God 5 that I was not meeting you under these 6 horrific circumstances, but I hope I've 7 been able to answer your question. 8 MS. SELTZER: Thank you, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Any other victims 10 or unit owners or family members who would 11 like to address the Court? I'm getting a 12 question popping up about the mortgage 13 forgiveness efforts. 14 Mr. Kadre, have you been able to see 15 these questions that many victims and unit 16 owners have been posting? 17 I have, Judge, and I've MR. KADRE: 18 answered with my email, and I'm happy to 19 speak to anyone individually who may have a question. So I will tell you the mortgage 20 21 forgiveness idea, which was brought on by Congresswoman Wasserman-Schultz and is now 22 23 being vetted by both her office and Senator 24 Rubio's office, is extremely complicated.

Obviously there are a lot of people



- 1 in the building who had mortgages, and many 2 who did not. First of all, it's going to 3 require an act of Congress to get a 4 mortgage forgiveness bill put up. Mr. Goldberg and I had a long conversation 5 6 with the Congresswoman to address some of 7 the complications of it. 8 Mr. Goldberg and I, in speaking with 9 the Congresswoman and individually amongst 10 ourselves, decided that it was best to go 11 after mortgage forgiveness, because in the 12 end, money is fungible. Our efforts are to 13 try to get as much money for the victims as 14 we can, and we assume that if the mortgage 15 forgiveness effort is successful, which 16 will be very difficult, it is a very heavy lift. 17 18 At some point the Court will balance 19 the equities. Right? And Mr. Goldberg and 20 I will sit in a room, bring this forward --21 with Mr. Barreto, bring this forward to the 22 Court, and the Court will balance any 23 mortgage forgiveness that any victim is 24 able to avail themselves of.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Kadre, let me weigh



1 in on that for just a second. Okav? 2 MR. KADRE: Sure. 3 THE COURT: While these -- first of 4 all, I don't want to say or do anything, 5 obviously, that would in any way discourage 6 or interfere with any efforts to get any 7 victims any assistance. As I said from the 8 beginning, as much assistance as you can 9 secure, the better. Obviously, the mortgage forgiveness 10 11 bucket, as you put it, would give some 12 victims a preference over others. 13 There are some victims who are going 14 to say, why should somebody who decided to have debt on their unit be in a better 15 16 position than me, because I decided to take 17 my assets and pay for my unit in cash. 18 So there's obviously an issue with 19 mortgage forgiveness, because it gives a subgroup of victims relief that others will 20 21 not be able to benefit by, and whether 22 I can make adjustments or not is a whole 23 different story. But if there are a number 24 of options you and Mr. Barreto are

exploring, and there's no -- and all of



them or some of them can be achieved, maybe 1 2 the direction of a victim compensation 3 fund, it could be adequately distributed to 4 all victims as opposed to those who have mortgages being forgiven might be -- might 5 6 be the direction to push, no? 7 MR. KADRE: Well, Judge, you know, 8 politics is complicated and unpredictable, 9 and I think that the goal here is to pursue both efforts, because we do not know which 10 11 one will succeed. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. KADRE: I'm assuming there will 14 be a formula to be able to balance the 15 person, you know, by example, in 801 who 16 had their \$500,000 mortgage paid off and 17 the person in 802 who had no mortgage. 18 But I certainly -- I would not like 19 to limit Mr. Goldberg or Mr. Barreto and 20 myself from trying to go after these 21 buckets of money, because in the end they 22 will all become -- you know, money will 23 become fungible, and a victim's claim bill 24 has been done rarely in the United States.

Mortgage forgiveness has been done before.



Now, I will tell you, this was all 1 2 spurred on by a couple of calls to the 3 congresswoman where she was getting calls 4 from constituents where banks were calling 5 victims to get their mortgages paid, which 6 I found unusual, but apparently it is 7 happening despite the fact that, you know, 8 they're in this tragic situation that they 9 are. 10 So with the Court's permission, you 11 know, I wouldn't like to be limited. It's 12 a very heavy lift, but I think that the 13 more money we can get in different buckets 14 or attempt to get, the better. 15 THE COURT: I agree 100 percent. 16 And like you said, if there are people that 17 get a substantial benefit by mortgage 18 forgiveness that other unit owners don't 19 get, then certainly in the allocation 20 process, maybe there's ways to account for 21 that, but I don't want to -- obviously 22 anything that's available to any victims is 23 of assistance, and you go out and get 24 anything that's possible and do everything



you can to secure whatever relief is

1 politically feasibly and available. 2 MR. KADRE: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: Your Honor, it's Oren Cytrynbaum again. If I can have the 5 6 floor one more minute again. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cytrynbaum? 8 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: I'm sorry, I'm just 9 trying to turn on the video. Okay. 10 we go. I just want to address something 11 that you brought up with one of my 12 fellow -- Ms. -- that your suggestion that, 13 yes, all owners should be -- and victims at 14 fair market value, and -- with fair market 15 value to a certain extent, but you have to 16 keep in mind that we didn't choose to sell 17 this land right now, and we're not --18 sorry, can you hear me, because --19 THE COURT: Yes, yes. MR. CYTRYNBAUM: So we didn't choose 20 21 to sell our land. It's not a traditional 22 sale in that sense in that we all agree, 23 oh, let's sell our property today and get 24 fair market value. What we lost is irreplaceable. It's above fair market 25



- value, because no matter what funds
 we receive, we cannot replace our units
 with whatever we receive for the same type
 of property, beachfront property in a
 location like this.

 And I understand the difficulty of
 - And I understand the difficulty of getting units back. You know, like the first hearing, it's a Herculean task.

 I completely agree with you on that, but you shouldn't dismiss the possibility of a potential profit share with a developer.
 - I'm not saying to his profits in a major way, but there can be a structure put in place that if a developer does make a few hundred million dollars profit, maybe there is a bidder out there that will be willing to come up with a structured formula that can potentially share some of the upside.
 - Because, again, you told us to fill the buckets as much as possible, and if we just give our land at fair market value without an additional kicker down the road, we might miss out on the opportunity to fill those buckets even more. I just want

1 the Court to keep that in mind. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Cytrynbaum, you and 3 I have talked about that before, and I said 4 it at a prior hearing, and I'm going to say 5 it again, because one thing -- again, I'm 6 going to give you a brutal honesty. 7 You know, this profit is a very 8 elusive concept, and everybody seems to 9 think that somebody who pays 120 or 10 130 million for this property, and then 11 takes out construction loans and personal 12 quarantees for another 100 or 150 million 13 to build, and then spends another 14 30 million in sales efforts is going to 15 make this hugely wild profit that people 16 should partake in on the upside. 17 Well, that's nice to say, but a lot 18 of real estate developers have taken 19 massive risk on properties such as this and 20 either not made a profit or gone 21 underneath. 22 So, you know, to -- again, to have 23 this profit in mind that may or may not 24 ever come to fruition five, six, seven

years down the road with nobody knowing



1 what the real estate market is going to 2 look like, you know, it's just -- it's 3 really not what the law and what my charge 4 accounts for. What the law and my 5 receiver's charge and my charge accounts 6 for is getting people compensated for their 7 loss now, not based upon a hypothetical 8 hopeful profit that some unknown developer 9 may reach in the year, you know, 2030. 10 And a developer would say to you, 11 okay, you want to put your equity in along 12 for the ride? Are you going to take the 13 loss if this profit is unsuccessful and 14 walk away with nothing? Now, if there's a 15 unit owner out there who wants to say I'll 16 put my equity in, and I'll take an 8-year 17 ride with this hypothetical developer, and 18 if he or she loses money, I walk away with 19 nothing, you're free to speak to that 2.0 developer. Maybe -- you know, maybe there's 21 22 something to be done there, but I have to 23 look out -- I have to look out for the 24 interests of everybody, and my guess is 25 that most of these victims are not going to



- want to take a risk or take a ride with
 some unknown developer that may or may not
 make some profit in eight years.
- 4 I mean, I just -- you know, you're bringing up interesting concepts and very 5 6 creative ideas that might be -- that might 7 be great in the private sector, but we're 8 not in the private sector. We're in a 9 lawsuit, and we're in a proceeding, the 10 intention of which and the goal of which is 11 to compensate people for their losses as 12 quickly as possible.
 - Because like the lady who came to see me a couple of weeks ago with her 8-year-old son who is living in hotel rooms month-to-month until she can get equity for her unit and the ability to buy a new place, is not going to want to wait for the year 2030 to see if a developer makes a profit.
 - So I have to look out for the group as a whole, Oren. And I know that your ideas are really good and really creative, and, you know, if this were private transactions that didn't affect a group,



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 that may be great. You tell the developer, 2 you say, listen, I've got \$1 million equity 3 in Unit 801, I want to take a ride with you. Give me a credit for my money, give 4 5 me one percent of your deal, two percent. 6 That might be great in a private 7 transaction, but I have to look out for all 8 the victims as a whole, and I hope you 9 understand that. 10 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: I completely 11 understand, but I think maybe my comments 12 were misunderstood, because everything 13 you're saying, we got to get everyone 14 helped out right now. I'm not saying you 15 should limit, I'm saying let's sell at fair 16 market value with the highest bidder, 17 everyone will get paid out, but it could 18 have a provision in that contract -- fine, 19 every -- everyone is on -- -- so a kicker 20 down the road should -- if there's certain 21 hurdles met on profit, maybe some of that 22 comes back -- to owners down the road. 23 THE COURT: Like I said, Oren -- and 24 I hope you don't mind that I call you by

your first name, I feel like I know you by



now, but -- but when Mr. Fay goes to the market, the way these stalking horse bids work, let's assume hypothetically he get a stalking horse contract at 120 million, and we put it out.

If a developer comes back and says, hey, Judge, we'll pay 120 million, and we'll give the victims 3 percent of our profit, and they show me a projection, then whoever wants to advocate for that offer is free to advocate for it, and the developers are free to put that in their proposals, but I can't force people who are going to pay fair value for real estate and take tremendous risk in volatile real estate markets to promise contingent upsides to the people they're buying the land from.

I can't force that, but if somebody puts that in their bid and says, Judge, not only will we pay 120 million, we'll pay 120 million and we'll kick back 5 percent of our net profit to the victims when this building is done, and there's a mechanism to determine that profit, then great, let's get it.



1 I mean, I'm not trying to dissuade 2 people from compensating victims, don't get 3 me wrong, but I don't want to kill the 4 process and delay the process and smother 5 bidders with these complicated structures 6 and complicated profit participations and 7 equity participations by a subsets of unit 8 owners, because I honestly believe that 9 that will just -- that that would just 10 derail things. 11 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: I don't want to 12 derail the process. 13 THE COURT: I think that will just 14 put a fly in the ointment that will derail 15 the entire process. 16 I don't want to do MR. CYTRYNBAUM: 17 that, and I don't want to derail, and 18 I've actually -- expectations from the 19 owners, because there is a lot of -- a lot 20 of people that want -- and I've been taking 21 the stance and position saying, look, 22 we can't do that -- I'm just saying. 23 THE COURT: Listen, I understand --24 I understand that some people have a visceral reaction, which is we've lost our 25



- 1 real estate, we've lost our family members, 2 and now some developer is going to come in 3 and make a lot of money, and that's a 4 visceral, irrational reaction, because the 5 goal of this case and the goal of this 6 receivership is to get people compensated 7 to the fullest extent possible. 8 If somebody comes in and takes 9 extreme risk and lays out 120 million or 10 more for a piece of real estate, quarantees 11 hundreds of millions in construction loans, 12 buildings a building, waits seven or eight
- 14 That's what we do in America.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have a capitalist structure, and that's why people are incentivized to pay 120 million or more for this asset, and I can't demand that they, after taking that risk, agree to say, okay, because of this unique circumstance, we're going to pay more than fair value.

years, and make as profit, that's great.

We're going to pay the entire amount the property is worth today, we're going to take all this risk, and then on top of that, because of this unique circumstance,



1 we're going to agree to kick back our 2 profit. I can't force people to do that. 3 If they're willing to do that to sweeten 4 the deal, all the power to them, but 5 I can't -- I can't demand that as part of 6 an effort to get people fairly compensated. 7 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: I'm not asking the 8 court to -- my role is voice -- the voices 9 of the people asked me to voice this. 10 regardless if I agree or not agree with what someone wants, because -- different 11 12 sides of the issues I'm bringing up, I 13 don't fully -- but I've promised owners 14 I would voice them for them to you, so --15 THE COURT: Yes, I hope -- I hope 16 the owners are listening to what I'm 17 saying, because I can completely understand 18 and can -- I completely understand their 19 sentiment. Like I said, we've lost our 20 homes, we've lost our loved ones, and now 21 some big developer is going to swoop in and make hundreds of millions of dollars. 22 23 I understand that visceral reaction. 24 You know, the fact that there's 25 profit potential is what is going to



enable, hopefully, a large sum of money to 1 2 be paid for this property and compensate 3 these unit owners. 4 So absent that large potential, 5 nobody is going to invest the kind of money 6 in this property that we need to get people 7 the value of their unit and hopefully 8 compensated for their injury and death 9 claims. 10 So, you know, is it possible that 11 some developer takes all this risk and 12 makes a lot of money in the future? Yes, 13 that's possible. I'm glad that's possible, 14 because without that possibility, we wouldn't have offers for the kind of 15 16 money that we hopefully are going to get 17 here, and I hope everybody understands that 18 and thinks it through. 19 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: I'm just putting 20 it out there so anybody that's listening, 21 because the owners have asked me to relay 22 this again --23 THE COURT: And believe me, when --24 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: -- disagree, you 25 know?



THE COURT: Yes, and believe me, 1 2 before any deal is approved -- before any 3 deal is approved, Oren, you know, and I've 4 given you my assurances, that every owner and victim that wants to be heard will have 5 their day. 6 7 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: Sometimes my voice 8 is not necessarily my voice. I'm making a 9 promise to the other owners to make sure what they want here is heard, because they 10 11 don't communicate as well as I do. 12 sometimes what I'm saying is not 13 necessarily what I feel also, so it's not 14 to be --15 THE COURT: And I appreciate that 16 you're acting on behalf a lot of people 17 that have conflicting interests in trying 18 to present their point of views, and 19 I appreciate that, and I want you to be 20 here weekly and continue to do so. Okay? 21 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: It's not an easy 22 task, Judge. 23 THE COURT: Believe me, 24 I understand. I understand in many ways, 25 so --



1 MR. CYTRYNBAUM: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? Anybody else who, before we adjourn for 3 4 this morning, which we need to do shortly, 5 anybody -- any other unit owners or family members who would like to bring any 6 7 concerns to the Court's attention? 8 MR. ROSE: Yeah, I would like to 9 speak. This is Randy Rose, a unit owner, 10 1103. I've been in the real estate 11 business for over 40 years, and when you 12 talk about fair market value for each unit, 13 to determine that would be what the unit is 14 today. 15 None of us wanted to sell. 16 We're -- basically, a tragedy happened. 17 when you do sell as a bulk, when a building 18 is purchased -- which I was the -- I was 19 owner in Winter Gardens with Arte that 20 Surfside purchase, and I was the vice 21 president on the board and looking over the 22 contracts. 23 So what happens when everything is 24 being sold in bulk, you're going to get a 25 lot more than your current market value.



1 So I want you to understand that when we go 2 to sell, we're going to get a lot more than 3 if you were breaking each unit 4 individually. 5 Also, the proper way to do it, 6 I believe, is percentage of ownership, and 7 I think if you divide it up when the time 8 comes, which I also feel that the land is 9 worth in a bulk sale over 150 to possibly 10 \$200 million, and it's also going to 11 determined, if we really have 83,000 square 12 feet of land to sell or if we're going to 13 have to take a portion of it, let's say a 14 5,000 square feet and make a memorial out 15 of it, we don't really know. 16 So I think, you know, once you 17 determine you've got clear marketable, 18 insurable title, and we're going to sell 19 83,000 square feet of land, then somebody has the right to go ahead and bid on it. 20 21 They're knowing what they're bidding for. 22 We know the Town of Surfside in 23 general, the Mayor and some of the 24 commissioners are anti-development, so for



them to even think about trying to

downsize -- you know, what they should 1 2 think about is they don't want the land or 3 think it's worth so much value, then turn 4 it over to Miami Beach or something. 5 You know, they could take 88th 6 street at Collins Avenue and make a park 7 and memorial out of that and upsize the 8 value of the property so people could get 9 more money, but if you do a percentage of 10 ownership, and it's sold for at least \$150 11 million, we're going to get above --12 if you're analyzing today's fair market 13 value -- but I wanted to stay there for 20 14 or 30 years. My grandchildren live nine 15 blocks away, and I planned on keeping this 16 thing for a long time. 17 My unit was completely renovated, 18 and updated, so to try to determine 19 it individually, I don't think that makes 20 sense. And I believe that if we get the kind of money that we do, there might be a 21 22 10 or 15 percent off the top we can 23 contribute to the wrongful deaths or the 24 pain and suffering, even though you

mentioned that might not be a way to do

1 that, but I just would like the Court to -2 I appreciate everything that they're doing.

I think they're rushing the sale a little bit too fast, this stalking horse bid. Well, I mean, I don't know much about that except we were in the horse racing business for many years, but, you know, to me, a base of 110 or \$125 million is low. So that's an interesting concept.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Rose, let me make a couple of comments in response to your concerns. First of all, first and most importantly, I'm very thankful that you are a survivor of this and that you were not injured or, God forbid, killed in this collapse, so thanks for that.

I appreciate your expertise and your comments, but let me make a couple of points clear. This Court is not in the real estate investment business, and I have no intention of holding this real estate, hoping that the market goes up. I'm not a real estate speculator, and the class here is not going to be speculating on this real estate.



2.0

So whoever comes up with the highest stalking bid is going to get a stalking bid contract. The property will be put out to the marketplace, and we have people that have worldwide access to the marketplace.

So every potential buyer worldwide will know about the availability of this property, and the market will set its price, but I'm not going to hold this real estate hoping that six months or a year from now the market is stronger, because just as it might be stronger in six months or a year from now, it could collapse.

And like I said, neither this Court nor these victims are going to be speculating on the value of the real estate. It's going to be sold, and the money is going to be used to compensate victims for their equity in their units and their other injuries.

Now, as far as you're concerned about using the square footage versus appraised value, like I said earlier, you make a very valid point that maybe the square footage and the declaration is the



appropriate approach. Other people are 1 2 going to suggest that appraised value is the appropriate approach. When and if 3 4 there's a distribution to be made, 5 if there's a material difference between those two methods, the Court will hear from 6 7 anybody concerned and decide whether the 8 value of the units should be determined on 9 fair market value or square footage 10 approach. 11 Now, I know a lot of people never 12 intended to sell. And believe me, I wish 13 this tragedy had never happened and 14 I wasn't sitting here presiding over the 15 case, but it did, and I have to deal with 16 the facts as they are, not as I hope they 17 would be, and the facts as they are is this 18 is for up for sale, because there's no 19 longer a condominium building there. 20 So I appreciate that you wanted to 21 stay there for 20 years. I wish you had 22 been able to do that, and I wish that 23 building had stayed intact and nobody had 24 been harmed and I had never seen this case, 25 but I did, and it is what it is, and



we have to deal with the facts on the ground as they exist in real life, not what I hope or wish would have occurred.

And the fact is in real life we have people who have lost their homes, we have people that have lost their lives. Many of these victims do not have the wherewithal to simply go out and buy new condominiums or new homes without recovering the value of their property, and my receiver's job is to gather and marshal as many assets as possible to monetize those assets and pay people the fair value of their home so they can go out and either rent or buy new residences and care for their families, and that's precisely what this Court is going to do.

Now, you may be right, maybe the property is worth 150 to \$200 million.

I hope you're right. You sound very experienced in these things, having been in the industry as long as you have, but the market will tell us that. I believe in the market, and I believe that when you put out a piece of property worldwide, and you



2.0

advise all bidders of the availability of that property, then the market will set the price.

I won't set it, and nobody in this case is going to set it. It's going to be set by the marketplace, and like I said, before any contracts are signed or anything is done, the Court is going to hear from all concerned parties, both in terms of the terms of any contract and before any allocations are made. I'm going to hear from all concerned parties on what they think the allocation should be.

So there will be no decisions made without due process and without everybody having a wholesome right to be heard on all points. I appreciate your input, I'm glad that you're here with us, and I encourage you to continue to participate and give me the benefits of your thought, having been in this industry for 40-plus years and having been on the development side, and I appreciate your insight.

MR. ROSE: Thank you very much, and I appreciate all the time that the Court is



I was out of the country for the 1 2 last two meetings, that's why I didn't 3 participate, and I just hope that we can 4 determine exactly what we have to offer for 5 all the future developers to come and buy, 6 so they know exactly what they're buying 7 and we don't have much contingencies in it. THE COURT: Well, I'm confident the 8 9 Town of Surfside will get us that 10 information we need, hopefully, by the end 11 of the week, and like I said before, I'd be 12 shocked, to say the least, if the political 13 leaders of Surfside are contemplating doing 14 anything that could adversely effect the 15 value of this real estate and impair the 16 ability of these victims to be adequately 17 compensated. 18 I'd be beyond shocked, but thank 19 you, again, Mr. Rosen. Please join us 20 weekly. Okay? 21 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: All right. Okay. 23 Ladies and gentlemen, at this point we need 24 to adjourn for the day. I will see you all 25 back here, if not before on any pressing



```
1
     matters, I'll see you all back next
 2
     Wednesday, and we'll get an update from
     Mr. Fay and Mr. Kadre and the receiver, and
 3
     we'll keep moving -- we'll keep moving
 4
 5
     forward with dispatch. Everybody have a
 6
     nice day.
 7
           (Thereupon, the hearing was
 8
     concluded at 10:44 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA :
4	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE :
5	
6	
7	I, Matthew J. Haas, shorthand
8	reporter, do hereby certify that I was authorized
9	to and did stenographically report the foregoing
10	proceedings and that the transcript is a true and
11	complete record of my stenographic notes.
12	
13	
14	
15	Dated this 11th day of August,
16	2021.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	,
22	- Milliano
23	MATTHEW J. HAAS
24	Court reporter
25	



August 11, 2021 Index: \$1..acceptable DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH

	_ 10:44	2030		88th
	102:8	84:9		95:5
\$	<u>—</u> .	85:19	5	93.3
_	11			
\$1	10:14,15	25	5	9
86:2	110	28:12	10:19	
\$125	36:15		87:21	9
96:8	96:8	3	5,000	22:13
\$150	1103		94:14	57:4
95:10	93:10	3	5th	9/11
		28:12	58:6	25:15
\$200	120	87:8	30.0	32:6
63:21	36:16			
94:10	37:18	30	6	9th
99:19	83:9	62:20		27:21
\$300	87:4,7,	83:14	65	
63:15	20,21	95:14	10:12	A
421	89:9,17	30th		
\$31	130	36:6 38:8		
12:2	83:10	40:24	7	a.m.
\$320,000	15	21		57:4
10:21	95:22	31 12:1	708	102:8
\$386,000	95.22	12.1	61:8	ability
10:23	150	3:00	7th	33:2 45:7
	83:12	37:24	58:7	85:17
\$500,000	94:9		30.7	101:16
79:16	99:19	4		absent
\$66,000	16th		8	69:11
10:22	13:10			91:4
4000	46:3	40	8-year	
\$900		62:20	84:16	absolute
63:21	1901	93:11	0	64:12
	_ 25:14	40-plus	8-year-old	absolutely
1		100:21	85:15	47:23
	_ 2	45	80	64:17
10		22:15	10:12	abundantly
10:13	20	48:6	801	29:15
62:19	62:19		79:15	⊿ッ・⊥つ
95:22	95:13	48	86:3	accept
	98:21	25:18		16:7,17
100		4th	802	17:2
80:15	2000	27:11	79:17	acceptable
83:12	25:15	_,	83,000	10:8
109	2020-15089		94:11,19	28:20
48:5	6:4			44:14
			8700	45:12
			27:25	10.12



August 11, 2021 RS SOUTH Index: acceptance..anticipate

acceptance	7:14	adjourn	agency	100:13
15:20	add	93:3	25:6	allocations
accepting	34:2,4	101:24	agree	100:11
29:9	46:15	adjournment	16:22	. 7.1
	51:13	56:23	18:7	alter
access	61:4		46:16	19:10 21:16
19:1,24 27:15	added	adjudicate 30:3	48:18	39:21
34:19	34:9	30.3	59:18	39.41
35:2 97:5	34.3	adjudicatio	60:11	altering
33.2 97.3	addition	n	70:13,24	21:4
${ t accomplish}$	23:22	29:5,19	80:15	24:23
32:23	72:16	adjustments	81:22	amended
account	75:12	52:14	82:9	46:5,10
51:17	additional	78:22	89:19	·
68:15	59:24		90:1,10	America
80:20	68:18	adopted	agreed	89:14
	82:23	21:5	12:15	American
accounted		25:17	14:8 17:2	8:24
55:5	address	advance	19:12	11:24
accounts	10:3	29:5,9	22:2	12:7
84:4,5	19:15			
	26:14	adversely	30:3,15	amount
achieved	31:1 32:3	101:14	agreement	42:13
79:1	47:3	advice	11:23	89:22
acre	51:10	58:8,13,	29:3,16	amounts
48:5,7	54:12,25	22 60:14	37:15	69:19
	55:10,17,		44:14	
acronym	19,25	advise	45:13,19	analyzed
25:4	56:18	100:1	54:18	41:10
act	67:7,21	advised	agraamanta	analyzing
32:3 77:3	72:6	28:22,23	agreements 15:19	95:12
	76:11	70:16		- /
acting	77:6		41:6 56:8	and/or
92:16	81:10	advocate	58:3,12	10:5
action	addressed	87:10,11	68:15	15:17
7:15,18	47:25	advocating	ahead	33:1
42:11	61:23	52:1,3	45:18	Anderson
43:9 46:5			94:20	11:22
59:2,13	71:19	affect	albeit	
68:12	74:22	85:25	albeit	announce
	adequately	affirmative	14:6	7:25 22:2
actions	69:21	ly	allocated	anti-
60:3,5	71:2	43:9	72:8	development
actual	73:2,24		allagetien	94:24
39:25	74:18	afternoon	allocation	
-	79:3	27:11	74:2,21 80:19	anticipate 69:12
Adam				



August 11, 2021 Index: apologize..Barreto DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH

apologize	approached	73:25	assure	avenue
6:22 23:4	58:2,10,	assessed	53:11	52:3
57:14	19,22		56:10	53:13
71:18		49:4	71:8	95:6
	appropriate	assessment	-	
apparently	ly	74:10	assured	avenues
20:13	71:12		24:20	33:13,15
80:6	approval	assessments	29:1 56:9	35:5,11
appearances	44:16	49:4	attempt	avoid
6:5	56:8	asset	80:14	51:7 68:2
.		89:17		
appeared	approvals		attempted	awaiting
9:9	10:15	assets	19:23	36:6
appearing	56:1	70:3 74:6	attention	aware
56:21	approved	78:17	20:23	17:23
57:22	10:13	99:11,12	47:5	21:7 33:8
	19:13	assist	48:20	39:10
appears	21:5 56:9	68:22	50:1	50:8
25:23	59:14,22		57:9,12	30 0
75:4	92:2,3	assistance	61:13,25	
application	92.2,3	9:16	67:9 93:7	В
s	approximate	10:11,25	01.9 93.1	-
11:8	12:1	11:2	attorney	back
11.0	approximate	33:25	36:20	35:9
applying	ly	35:11	68:7	66:15
61:20	28:12	50:22	attornoula	
appointed	20.12	68:25	attorney's 67:24	82:7
31:5	areas	78:7,8	67.24	86:22
34:18	33:20	80:23	attorneys	87:6,21
		3	58:3	90:1
73:6	argued	Associates		101:25
appraisal	30:17	28:2	auction	102:1
52:1,9,	Aron	association	45:13	background
15,21	9:2	49:3,8	August	10:2
			27:11,20	
appraise	arrangement	assume	46:3	balance
52:12	59:14,22	21:6 25:4		77:18,22
appraised	arrangement	38:2	authorized	79:14
51:16	s	51:24	44:12	banks
52:10	16:7,17	77:14	56:6	80:4
97:23	59:7	87:3	avail	00.1
98:2		assuming	77:24	Bar
	Arte	15:6		58:20
apprised	93:19	60:21	availabilit	70:25
29:12	asks	79:13	У	Darrota
approach	43:13,14	13.13	40:10	Barreto
66:9	7J.TJ,T4	assurances	97:7	10:5
	aspect	92:4	100:1	30:22
98:1,3,10				31:5,15



IAMELAIN TOWN	LING SOUTH		iliuex. baseca
9:3,6,11	bill	48:19	87:23
	77:4	49:25	89:12
			93:17
			98:19,23
J2 · 10	-		00.10,20
benefit	26:23		buildings
10:18	hi+		89:12
26:18			bulk
53:23		·	
78:21	96.4	93:6	93:17,24
80:17	bless	bringing	94:9
	67:14		burial
			65:25
10:21			
100:20	95:15	90.12	Burkett
Porgor	Bluegreen	Brooklyn	34:6
	-	65:6,20,	Bush
0:10	27.25	24	25:16
bid	Blumenthal		25.10
37:18	8:10,15,	-	business
	16		16:3
		31:15	93:11
		47:5,14	96:7,20
		57:8,12	50 7720
90.5 91.2		61:24	buy
bidder	93:21	76:21	31:8 63:7
37:3,16	boro	81:11	85:17
38:4			99:8,14
82:16			101:5
	24:23	83:6	-
	bounds	bucket	buyer
	20:2		44:15,19
40:19		70.11	45:15
88:5		buckets	97:6
100:1		31:22	buying
h: 332	12:11,15	32:13,19	87:17
-	breaking	79:21	101:6
94:21		80:13	101.0
bids			
87:2	94.3		С
	breakup		
	37:19	83:13	
		builder	calendar
22:3	briefly	63:25	65:11
	10.00	03.43	
90:21	19:22		call
	51:10	building	call 12:12
bigger		building 25:7,18	12:12
	51:10 57:14,18	25:7,18	12:12 28:11
bigger	51:10	-	12:12
	9:3,6,11 16:5,8 19:15,18 92:16 benefit 10:18 26:18 53:23 78:21 80:17 benefits 10:21 100:20 Berger 6:10 bid 37:18 44:14 87:19 94:20 96:5 97:2 bidder 37:3,16 38:4 82:16 86:16 bidders 40:19 88:5 100:1 bidding 94:21 bids 87:2 big 11:20	16:5,8 77:4 19:15,18 79:23 92:16 binding benefit 26:23 10:18 26:18 26:18 26:10 53:23 96:4 80:17 bless 67:14 benefits 10:21 blocks 100:20 95:15 Berger Bluegreen 6:10 27:25 bid Blumenthal 37:18 8:10,15, 44:14 16 87:19 board 94:20 33:24 96:5 97:2 70:10 bidder 93:21 37:3,16 bore 38:4 23:9 82:16 24:23 86:16 bounds bidders 20:2 40:19 88:5 Boyle 100:1 1:22 12:11,15 bidding 94:21 breaking 23:13 bids 94:3 87:2 breakup big 37:19	9:3,6,11 bill 48:19 16:5,8 77:4 49:25 19:15,18 79:23 54:20 92:16 binding 66:12 benefit 26:23 67:8 70:9 10:18 bit 73:9 26:18 26:10 77:20,21 53:23 96:4 93:6 53:23 96:4 93:6 80:17 bless bringing 67:14 54:13 80:17 blocks 85:5 100:20 95:15 90:12 Berger Bluegreen 65:6,20,24 6:10 27:25 65:6,20,24 bid Blumenthal 31:15 87:19 board 47:5,14 96:5 97:2 70:10 61:24 96:5 97:2 70:10 61:24 bidder 93:21 76:21 37:3,16 bore 81:11 86:16 bounds bucket bidders 20:2 78:11 88:5 Boyle buckets 10:1 11



August 11, 2021 Index: called..collapse DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH

47:13,18 70:5 71:4 84:3,5 40:7 25:3 55:18 73:2,6 charges claim 29:15 67:4 74:2,23 25:19 10:12 42:8 43 86:24 89:5 11:2 18:8 51:12 called 98:15,24 charities 73:8,21 58:24 22:14 50:5,12 79:23 60:4 30:17 cases 54:6 claimants 96:19 58:2 59:9 68:3,24 8:2 client	:6
67:4 74:2,23 25:19 10:12 42:8 43 86:24 89:5 11:2 18:8 51:12 called 98:15,24 charities 73:8,21 58:24 22:14 50:5,12 79:23 60:4 30:17 cases 58:2 59:9 68:3,24 8:2	:6
67:4 74:2,23 25:19 10:12 42:8 43 86:24 89:5 11:2 18:8 51:12 called 98:15,24 charities 73:8,21 58:24 22:14 53:15,18 79:23 94:17 30:17 cases 54:6 claimants 96:19 8:2 59:9 68:3,24	:6
86:24 89:5 98:15,24 charities 11:2 18:8 58:24 22:14 50:5,12 79:23 60:4 30:17 cases 54:6 claimants 96:19 86:24 98:15,24 charities 73:8,21 60:4 53:15,18 79:23 94:17	
called 98:15,24 charities 73:8,21 58:24 22:14 50:5,12 79:23 60:4 30:17 58:24 53:15,18 94:17 58:2 59:9 68:3,24 54:6 claimants 96:19	
22:14 100:5 50:5,12 73:8,21 79:23 60:4 79:23 94:17 79:25 79:26 79:27 79:28 79:29 79:	
22:14 30:17	
30:17 cases 54:6 claimants 96:19 58:2 59:9 68:3,24	
58:2 59:9 68:3,24	
('Darison aliont	
calling cash	
6:2 80:4 78:17 9:10,11 claims 21:7,9	
charter 10:12,14,	
calls catalogued 17,18,25 71:3	
35:20 22:24 46:8 26:17	
57:19 23:22 59:11 close	_
80:2,3 60:2 37:4 45	:8
chartered 69:16,23	
18:9.16 25:8 70:3 45:16	
chasing 72:22	
capitalist category 62:12 73:9 cloth	
89:15 23:12 74:17 73:15	
care Cava check 91:9 co-chair	
66·10 12	
99:15	
certificati , , , On co-lead	1 7
checked 44:24 7:0.14	Ι/
Carlton on $37:2$ 7:8,14,	
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code	
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 39:14,15, 41:19	
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 69:7 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 22	
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 6:7 60:8 Cohen	4
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 Cohen carriers Champlain choose clarity 7:11 8:	4,
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: carriers Champlain 81:16,20 34:22 7:11 8:	4,
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 6:7 60:8 Cohen carriers Champlain 81:16,20 34:22 9:8 11:19 chance circulate class coherent	4,
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 class coherent case 14:2 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8	4,
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 Cohen 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 6:4 14:12 chance 57:3 15,18,20 collabora	
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 Champlain choose Clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 circulate class coherent 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 54:8 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora	
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 69:7 22 carriers Champlain choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 6:4 14:12 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change 45:25 collabora 15:3,12 12:4 6:6:5 0n 16:1,11, 39:16 28:16	
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 69:7 22 Cohen carriers Champlain 81:16,20 34:22 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance 6:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 6:4 14:12 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change 45:25 collabora 15:3,12 12:4 46:5 on 16:1,11, 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16	
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 6:4 14:12 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change 45:25 collabora 16:1,11, 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 41:8,16 41:8,16 39:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 60:41 6:60:41 6:60:24 6:60:24 6:60:24 6:60:24	ti
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose Carity 7:11 8: 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance circulate class coherent 6:4 14:12 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change 45:25 collabora 16:1,11, 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 41:8,16 41:8,16 13 60:2,8 collabora 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 collabora 7:8,14, 7:8,14, 7:8,14, 7:11 8: 39:14,15, 34:22 5 5 6:6 7:9, 54:8 5 6 6:6 7:9, 54:8 5 6 6:10,00 7:00 7:00 7:00 7:00 7:00	ti
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 Cohen 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance circulate class coherent case 14:2 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora 16:1,11, 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 96:23 27:22	ti
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 Cohen 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance circulate class coherent 6:4 14:12 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change 45:25 collabora 16:1,11, 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 96:23 27:22	ti ti
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: carriers Champlain 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 case 14:2 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora 15:3,12 12:4 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 41:8,16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 66:25 classes collabora 59:2 74:5 66:25 classes collabora	ti ti
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified 69:7 22 Cohen carriers Champlain 81:16,20 34:22 Cohen 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 circulate class coherent 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 6:4 14:12 change 57:3 15,18,20 coherent 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora 16:1,11, 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 66:25 classes collabora 59:2 74:5 66:25 classes collabora 60:8,20 changing 68:10 50:17 vely	ti ti
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: carriers Champlain 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance circulate class coherent case 14:2 57:3 15,18,20 54:8 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora 15:3,12 12:4 e 46:5 on 25:18:5 41:8,16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 66:25 classes collabora 59:2 74:5 66:25 classes collabora 60:8,20 changing 68:10 50:17 vely 64:7,11 39:12 72:5 76:6 59:9 29:18	ti ti
Carlton on 37:2 7:8,14, 8:5 60:9 70:6 choice 39:14,15, 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: carriers Champlain choose clarity 7:11 8: 9:8 11:19 chance 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 case 14:2 57:3 15,18,20 coherent 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora 16:1,11, 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 66:25 classes collabora 59:2 74:5 66:25 classes collabora 64:7,11 39:12 72:5 76:6 59:9 29:18 68:10 charge City clear collabora	ti ti
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified choice 39:14,15, 41:19 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: carriers Champlain choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 circulate class coherent case 14:2 56:24 6:6 7:9, 54:8 54:8 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora 6:4 14:12 change s9:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 28:16 15:3,12 12:4 e 46:5 on 15:3,12 12:4 e 46:5 on 15:3,12 12:4 e 46:5 on 21:2,17 39:16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 68:25 classes collabora 59:2 74:5	ti ti
Carlton on 37:2 Clarify code carrier certified choice 39:14,15, 41:19 41:19 6:7 60:8 choose clarity 7:11 8: 7:23 8:9 6:3 41:21 81:16,20 34:22 5 9:8 11:19 chance circulate class coherent case 14:2 57:3 15,18,20 coherent 6:4 14:12 change circumstanc 45:25 collabora 6:4 14:12 change 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 15:3,12 12:4 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 25:18:5 41:8,16 89:20,25 59:1,10, 28:16 21:2,17 69:11 circumstanc 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 68:12 ve 48:15 changed 47:24 66:25 classes collabora 59:2 74:5 66:25 59:9 29:18 64:7,11 68:10 <td>ti ti</td>	ti ti



DIVEZINEN VS CI	IAMELAIN TOW	LING GOOTH	muex. cc	mapsesconstruct
25:18	69:14,22	79:8	conditions	Congress
96:16	73:2,24	88:5,6	36:18	25:16
97:13	74:12		_	32:3,7
	75:7,9,18	complicatio	condo	77:3
collapses	85:11	ns	52:8	
25:7	91:2	77:7	condominium	congression
collected	97:18	comprehend	52:13	al
61:16		72:2	98:19	31:25
11	compensated		condominium	congresswom
collections	39:2	comprehensi		an
11:18	51:15	ve	S	31:12
Collins	64:8	39:7	74:13	32:16
52:3 95:6	74:18	41:13	99:8	33:9
	84:6 89:6	computer	conference	76:22
comments	90:6 91:8	6:18	29:8	77:6,9
86:11	101:17			80:3
96:11,18	compensatin	concept	conferences	
commissione	g	83:8 96:9	57:11	connection
rs	88:2	concepts	conferring	18:5
94:24	00.2	85 : 5	55:22	considerati
	compensatio		-1-	on
committee	n	concern	confidence	37:20
17:9	32:5	20:16	73:11,21	49:22
committees	69:18	45:7	confident	63:24
16:14	79:2	concerned	101:8	
	complaint	15:21	6 1	considered
communicate	17:1 46:6	20:18	confines	24:21
92:11	59:2,12	26:10,19	27:1	28:10
companies	39.2,12	47:3	confirm	considers
12:5 14:4	complete	53:10	38:9,24	28:20
	13:13	68:23	40:13	
company	25:24	97:21	61	consistent
8:18,25	48:8	98:7	confirmatio	21:18
37:3	completed	100:9,12	n	53:22
compare	35:24		36:2,11	consolidate
24:2,4	33.24	concerns	38:3 44:2	d
a a mara a a	completely	20:6	confirmed	46:2,18
compared	22:1 30:3	22:16	48:3	59:1
52:22	48:17	54:13		
compassion	82:9	67:6 68:2	confirming	constituent
67:11	86:10	93:7	39:7 40:9	s
	90:17,18	96:12	41:3,4,12	80:4
compensate	95:17	concluded	43:15,17	constitutio
31:9	gompl:gotod	102:8	conflict	nal
34:25	complicated	102.0	48:14	70:23
40:20	43:15	Concrete		10.43
42:14	53:8	8:20 28:1	conflicting	construct
45:9	76:24		92:17	62:17



DILLINEIX VS CHAWIF LAIN TOVVEIXS SOUTH			JOTTI Index. constructionCourtinap		
constructio	contrary	corresponde	8:3,7,12	60:7,14	
n	75:2	nce	9:7,13	61:3,6,9,	
83:11		43:19	10:9,24	22 62:9	
89:11	contribute		11:6,16	64:3,6,	
.	32:10	cost	12:9,18	19,23,25	
Consultants	95:23	14:4	13:1,18,	65:3,13	
9:3	contributio	59:23	24 14:9,	66:17,22	
Consulting	n	69:1	24 15:14	67:2,14,	
27:24	54:2	Cotton	16:12,24		
	contributio	8:24	17:6,11	25 68:17	
contacted			18:2,23	69:3,7	
24:7 68:5	ns	counsel	19:13,17,	71:15,25	
contemplati	53:16,19	6:6,7,11,	20 20:21	76:9,11	
ng	54:3	25 7:2,8,	21:6,10	77:18,22,	
42:17,25	convened	12 8:2	25:1,11	25 78:3	
101:13	28:11	9:8 11:23	26:1,8,	79:12	
		15:19	13,22	80:15	
contingenci	conversatio	17:1,2,10	13,22 27:6,9	81:3,7,19	
es	n	19:13	29:19,23,	83:1,2	
101:7	23:15	34:7	25 30:13,		
contingency	77:5	38:22		86:23	
58:4,11	convey	44:9	21 31:1	88:13,23	
60:13	21:8 44:7	45:25	32:24	90:8,15	
68:14		46:22	34:16,23	91:23	
	cooperation	56:22	35:15	92:1,15,	
contingent	17:22	68:5	36:24,25	23 93:2	
59:18	cooperative	country	37:14	96:1,10,	
87:16	_ 16:11	70:22	38:2,7,	19 97:14	
continue	22:1,7,11	73:6	13,21	98:6	
20:9,18		101:1	40:4	99:16	
35:8,18,	coordinated	101.1	41:14	100:8,25	
19 92:20	53:21	County	42:6,22	101:8,22	
100:19	coordinatio	18:19	43:5,8,24	Court's	
	n	20:11,12	44:8,11	19:4 27:2	
continued	50:9	22:6	45:3,23	48:20	
47:11		28:23	46:13,17,	50:1	
contract	corporation	30:5	23 47:4,8	67:20	
44:20	S	couple	48:25	72:7	
56:7	32:12	29:25	49:13,21	80:10	
70:23,24	correct	47:13	51:9	93:7	
86:18	11:3,4	58:23	53:17,24		
87:4 97:3	37:22	69:9 80:2	54:20	Court-	
100:10	38:4	85:14	55:1,18,	appointed	
	64:17		23 56:5,	9:25	
contracts	70:18	96:11,18	7,9,15,18	Courtmap	
58:3		court	57:16	12:19	
93:22	correctly	6:2,9,16,	58:16	- -	
100:7	66:12	23 7:4,19	59:15,23		



cover	daughter	decide	14:12	26:24
9:14	65:7,11,	70:5,6,19	88:4	detail
overage	17,23	98:7	delayed	54:15
11:22	66:2,15,	decided	28:24	
75 : 6	23 71:23	77:10		details
	David	78:14,16	deliver	10:4
covers	8:19		32:22	17:17
39:19	7 -	decides	33:24	determine
create	day	60:7	demand	23:24
73:14	13:22	deciding	89:18	87:24
creative	73:23	49:22	90:5	93:13
85:6,23	92:6 101:24	decision	denial	94:17
03.0,23		49:19	10:16	95:18
credit	102:6	51:14		101:4
86:4	days	53:1,10	denied	determined
cryptic	13:10	72:7	10:14	94:11
41:15	65:10	74:21	11:3,11	98:8
11.13	deadline	74.21	density	90.0
current	46:12	decision-	39:17	developer
24:3	40.12	making	40:10,14	82:11,14
36:3,9	deal	69:6		84:8,10,
48:4	42:21	decisions	depend	17,20
93:25	43:17	100:14	52:7	85:2,19
Cytrynbaum	76:1 86:5		depends	86:1 87:6
47:6,7,8,	90:4	declaration	52:6	89:2
10 54:16	92:2,3	51:20		90:21
55:13,24	98:15	52:4	derail	91:11
56:12,16	99:1	97:25	88:10,12,	developers
61:24	death	defendant	14,17	61:19
81:4,5,7,	8:2 64:10		Desantis	83:18
8,20 83:2	68:3,24	48:15	31:12	87:11
86:10	69:15,23		A	101:5
88:11,16	91:8	defendants	desires	
90:7		7:22 8:8	63:9	development
91:19,24	deaths	13:17	desk	100:22
92:7,21	71:23	17:23	13:15	development
93:1	95:23	46:9	destroying	s
75 _	debate	defense	26:12	35:10
	52:20	6:6 9:7	20.12	
D		11:19	destructive	
	Debbie	14:5 17:9	19:10	98:5
lamage	33:10	46:21	20:14	differences
73:18	debt		21:3,15	52:18,23
	78:15	defined	22:18	
late		59:8	23:14	difficult
10:21,22	decedents	delay	24:22	67:15
40:25	68:4	-		77:16



4: EE: ~7 L	48:24	00.15	agha	emails
difficulty	48:24	82:15	echo	
67:13	dismiss	90:22	6:17	28:5
82:6	82:10	donated	24:18	emergencies
diligently	disorder	50:14	effect	57:8
10:19	75:20	donations	20:19	enable
36:23		50:4	43:10	91:1
diminish	dispatch		101:14	
43:1	44:13	door	effort	encourage
	102:5	15:22	32:2 36:8	62:15
directed	dispelled	doorsteps	41:20	100:18
54:6	18:24	16:21	53:21	encouraged
direction			77:15	33:14
30:25	disputes	downsize	90:6	
34:24	30:4	95:1	90.0	encouraging
57:3	disruption	downzone	efforts	71:1
79:2,6	59:6	47:23	16:24	end
			30:24	13:12,19,
disagree	dissipating	downzoning	31:9,21	21 43:25
91:24	70:3	48:6	32:8,11,	44:2
disagreemen	dissuade	draft	17 34:17	55:16
t	88:1	13:14	47:12	73:22
50:2		17:21	73:20	77:12
	distributed	27:15,17,	76:13	79:21
disaster	54:3,7	20 28:6,	77:12	101:10
48:8	79:3	7,18	78:6	
disbursed	distributio		79:10	end-of-life
53:21	n	drafting	83:14	10:18,21
	52:24	17:20	741	11:7
disclose	98:4	drive	Eileen 65:1	ends
71:2		45:2		13:11
	disturbing		71:17	13.11
discourage	17.10	due		energy
-	47:18		Eileen's	~ -
78:5	divide	42:21	Eileen's 71:23	62:11
78:5	-		71:23	62:11
discourage 78:5 discuss 10:5	divide 94:7	42:21	71:23 elaborate	62:11 entered
78:5 discuss 10:5	divide 94:7 divvy	42:21 100:15 Dustin	71:23	62:11 entered 12:23
78:5 discuss 10:5	divide 94:7	42:21 100:15	71:23 elaborate	62:11 entered 12:23 entering
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14	divide 94:7 divvy	42:21 100:15 Dustin	71:23 elaborate 29:16	62:11 entered 12:23
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14 44:16	divide 94:7 divvy 49:23	42:21 100:15 Dustin	71:23 elaborate 29:16 electorate 39:21	62:11 entered 12:23 entering 58:2
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14 44:16 discussing	<pre>divide 94:7 divvy 49:23 doable 33:18</pre>	42:21 100:15 Dustin 8:16	71:23 elaborate 29:16 electorate 39:21 else's	62:11 entered 12:23 entering 58:2 entire
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14	divide 94:7 divvy 49:23 doable 33:18 documented	42:21 100:15 Dustin 8:16	71:23 elaborate 29:16 electorate 39:21	62:11 entered 12:23 entering 58:2 entire 23:17
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14 44:16 discussing 48:25	<pre>divide 94:7 divvy 49:23 doable 33:18 documented 22:25</pre>	42:21 100:15 Dustin 8:16	71:23 elaborate 29:16 electorate 39:21 else's	62:11 entered 12:23 entering 58:2 entire 23:17 88:15
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14 44:16 discussing 48:25	divide 94:7 divvy 49:23 doable 33:18 documented	42:21 100:15 Dustin 8:16	71:23 elaborate 29:16 electorate 39:21 else's 23:20	62:11 entered 12:23 entering 58:2 entire 23:17 88:15 89:22
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14 44:16 discussing 48:25 discussion 69:2	<pre>divide 94:7 divvy 49:23 doable 33:18 documented 22:25</pre>	42:21 100:15 Dustin 8:16 E earlier 67:23 97:23	71:23 elaborate 29:16 electorate 39:21 else's 23:20 elusive 83:8	62:11 entered 12:23 entering 58:2 entire 23:17 88:15 89:22 entity
78:5 discuss 10:5 discussed 28:14 44:16 discussing 48:25 discussion	<pre>divide 94:7 divvy 49:23 doable 33:18 documented 22:25 23:23</pre>	42:21 100:15 Dustin 8:16	71:23 elaborate 29:16 electorate 39:21 else's 23:20 elusive	62:11 entered 12:23 entering 58:2 entire 23:17 88:15 89:22



HEARING DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH	August 11, 2021 Index: equationfeeling
--	--

50:14	eventually	13:9		family
71:21	18:21	expeditious	F	47:20
equation	eviction	ly		56:17
55:4	14:10	66:10	face	76:10
55.4	14.10	00.10	33:22	89:1 93:5
equities	evidence	expense		fantastic
77:19	9:21	16:19	facing	37:1
equity	17:7,13	experienced	52:3	45:17
63:6 75:8	19:3,9	99:21	fact	
84:11,16	21:4,17		26:1 33:8	fast
85:16	26:12	expertise	68:10	96:4
86:2 88:7	exact	96:17	73:20	Fay
97:19	12:13	explained	80:7	10:6
		23:2	90:24	35:12,13,
equivalent	Excellent		99:4	17 37:6,
25:5	45:23	explanation		22 38:5,
establishin	46:13,14,	23:8	factors	12,15
3	25	exploration	39:9	44:12,23
1 9:5	execute	33:20	facts	45:21
19.5	12:19		70:17	55:19
estate		explore	98:16,17	56:6
10:7 12:2	executive	33:12	99:1	
22:3	25:16	35:5	99.1	62:16
38:25	exercise	53:14	fair	87:1
43:2,11	18:16	54:14	33:2,19	102:3
72:15		exploring	45:8 49:1	feasibly
74:8 75:5	exist	78:25	63:3,6	81:1
83:18	99:2		64:8,15	£ . 3 1
84:1	existence	extent	75:13	federal
87:14,15	25:14	15:15	81:14,24,	25:6
89:1,10	23.14	16:16	25 82:22	fee
93:10	existing	73:3	86:15	37:19
96:20,21,	38:10,24	81:15	87:14	59:14,19
23,25	40:9,15	89:7	89:21	68:15
97:10,17	41:12,13	extreme	93:12	
101:15	44:2	89:9	95:12	feedback
	expect		98:9	27:19
estates	12:10	extremely	99:13	28:4
14:21,23	13:4,12,	16:11		feel
15:17	18 18:20	22:11	fairly	18:14
53:6	24:12	33:11	40:12	47:4 49:5
estoppel	44:1 44:1	62:6	90:6	50:7
40:7	44. T	76:24	fall	86:25
	expectation	AVA	31:22	92:13
event	s	eye 48:16		94:8
24:13	88:18	40.10	families	
26:15	ownoating		58:10	feeling
73:17	expecting		99:15	66:20



DIVEZINEN VO OI	IAIVIF LAIIN TOVVI			illuex. leesgive
72:3	62:15	52:16,22	framing	77:12
fees	financially	97:22,25	29:18	79:23
60:13	63:11	98:9	34:23	Furst
67:24		forbid	free	7:10
68:18,23	financing	96:15	60:19	16:10
00.10,23	62:21	90.13	63:5	28:10
feet	63:14	force	70:21	46:3,4,16
94:12,14,	find	87:13,18	84:19	71:9
19	42:23	90:2	87:11,12	71.9
fellow		forgiven	07.11,12	future
81:12	fine	79:5	Friday	39:13
	10:9		21:23	67:6
fence	47:10	forgiveness	front	91:12
22:2,5	72:25	32:2	18:22	101:5
Fields	86:18	76:13,21		
8:5	finished	77:4,11,	fruition	
	30:6	15,23	83:24	G
fighting		78:10,19	frustration	
51:7	firm	79:25	50:2	game
figure	6:11 9:3	80:18		70:11
14:22	firms	form	full	gap
40:5	58:11	12:15	23:1	30:10
		14:18	fullest	30.10
file	floor	28:5	89:7	Gardens
46:5	47:1	33:24		93:19
filed	51:25	49:20	fully	gather
13:19	52:14	49.20	16:22	99:11
14:12	81:6	formula	22:12	JJ • 11
15:13	floors	79:14	90:13	general
16:25	51:17	82:18	fund	75:4
29:5	52:2	forward	32:5	94:23
59:2,13		39:1	69:25	generally
	fly	46:18	79:3	33:8
filing	88:14	57:5		
46:2,18	folks	77:20,21	funds	gentlemen
fill	56:20	102:5	12:20	101:23
82:20,25	68:16,20,		48:21,22	geological
	23	found	49:18	24:14
filmed		65:23	60:6	25:1
22:23	follow	80:6	69:14	
23:21	18:14	foundations	74:11,14	geophysical
films	follow-up	32:12	75:15	24:17
22:21	22:16		82:1	give
		fourth	funeral	9:15 10:6
final	food	32:10	65:25	12:12
29:7 56:2	55:3	framed		27:4 32:4
financial	footage	30:16	fungible	34:22
		30-10		



August 11, 2021 Index: giving..hearing

DIVEZINEN VS CI	IAMELAIN TOWN	LING GOOTTI	iiide	ex. giviligilealilig
40:25	16 28:10,	Governor	83:12	76:18
55:3	23 29:9,	31:12	89:10	hard
58:7,13,	11 30:7	gracious	guess	71:10
22 60:14	31:16	22:6	13:11	71.10
65:25	36:1,5,20	22.0	42:2	Harley
70:14	37:22	grandchildr	84:24	7:6
78:11	38:7,16,	en	04.24	harm
82:22	18 52:10	95:14	guests	73:25
83:6 86:4	56:22	grants	72:20	75:24
87:8	62:16	60:9	guidance	75.24
100:19	77:5,8,19	00.9	42:2	harmed
	79:19	great	42.2	98:24
giving	4	8:24	Gunster	hats
10:1	good	11:24	9:2	18:4
glad	6:8,14,24	12:7	guys	10.1
33:7,21	7:2,4,5,	56:13	8:12	hear
34:21	13,16	73:12,13	0.17	7:1 9:15,
91:13	8:10,15,	85:7		17 18:23
100:17	22 9:1,5,	86:1,6	н	19:7
-	10,23	87:24		20:22
goal	11:16	89:13	half	33:7,14,
79:9	12:17	~~~~ <u>~</u>	41:20	21 35:1
85:10	13:1	greater	41.20	45:25
89:5	21:10	54:14	hand	47:2
God	27:8 31:3	grief	65:21	52:25
67:14	43:20	66:19	handed	53:9 55:6
76:4	45:24	72:2	18:19	56:10
96:15	46:17	Grossman		65:13
G = 1 -11	56:20	6:24,25	handled	66:18
Goldberg	61:5,7,9,	7:2,11	53:20	81:18
6:12,14	11 65:2,4	7.2,11	71:21	98:6
8:16	68:20	ground	handling	100:8,11
9:15,22,	85:23	99:2	50:21	heard
23,24	govern	ground-	66:7	25:12
10:10	58:20	penetrating		37:1
11:4,10,		24:19	Hanzman	46:20
20 12:11,	government	21.17	64:22	48:16
21,24	10:5	group	happened	
13:8,21,	30:23	21:25	42:23	92:5,10
25 14:16	31:8	47:15	93:16	100:16
15:8	32:10,21	50:2,3	98:13	hearing
16:9,22	governmenta	70:4		6:13
17:4,15	1	85:21,25	happening	26:3,7
20:17	33:23	groups	19:8 80:7	27:13
21:11,22	34:21	75:24	happy	29:8
25:9,12	35:3		29:20	47:21
27:3,13,		guarantees	32:3	51:21



August 11, 2021 Index: hearings..impair

REZNER VS CI	HAMPLAIN TOW	EKS 5001H	index	k: nearingsimp
55:19	97:1	22,25	hope	34:7
57:4 58:6	highly	7:3,14,	42:9,16	huge
74:25	31:17	17,24	43:5	64:1,5
82:8 83:4	31.1/	8:4,11,	48:15	04.1,5
102:7	hinder	16,23	63:4	hugely
	23:19	9:2,6,11,	67:5,8	83:15
hearings	hire	24,25	68:16	hundred
30:14	60:19	10:8,10	73:4 76:6	82:15
32:25	70:19	11:5,15,	86:8,24	02.13
61:25	70.19	20,25	90:15	hundreds
62:8	hired	12:25	91:17	89:11
heartbroken	68:5	13:8,23,	98:16	90:22
66:18	hires	25 14:8,	99:3,20	h
76:4		16 15:8	101:3	hurdles
	69:4	16:9,23		86:21
heavy	hiring	17:4,15	hopeful	hypothetica
77:16	60:15		14:7	1
80:12		19:14,22	68:11	84:7,17
height	hold	21:22	84:8	
39:18	97:9	23:8	1	hypothetica
39.10	holding	25:10	hoping	lly
held	96:21	27:3,9,	24:2,4,15	87:3
73:16		10,21	58:18	
halmad	holdup	28:22	75:12,23	_
helped	39:2	29:1,20	96:22	I
86:14	home	30:11,19,	97:10	
helpful	16:3	20 35:13	horrific	idea
27:23	99:13	37:6	76:6	76:21
28:21	99.13	38:5,12,	70.0	
	homeowners	20 39:11	horse	ideas
helping	50:19	41:1	36:15,17,	85:6,23
51:1	1	43:21	19 37:3,	imagine
helps	homes	44:5,10,	10,16	66:19
34:23	72:15	23 45:21	44:14	
	90:20	46:4 47:6	45:15	immediately
Herculean	99:5,9	57:13,20,	55:15	18:17
82:8	homicide	25 58:5,	56:3	34:19
hesitate	21:25	14 61:2,	87:2,4	impact
67:8			96:4,6	20:19
07.0	honestly	5,12	•	42:4
hey	74:25	67:18	hotel	
87:7	88:8	71:8,13	85:15	impacting
higher	honesty	81:4	hours	20:15
37:18	62:10	Honor's	25:18	impair
31.10	74:25	6:11	20.10	19:3
highest	83:6	27:12	house	
32:14	03.0	29:4,14	65:20	21:17
	Honor			101:15
86:16	1101101	43:4	Huffman	



August 11, 2021 Index: imperative..involved

TREZNER VS CHAWFLAIN TOWERS SOUTH			maex. in	iperativeirvoived
imperative	incredible	96:15	48:21,22	96:9
44:25	31:20	injuries	49:8,16,	interests
important	incredibly	59:7	23 63:2	59:22
31:6	68:8	64:10	74:8,15	84:24
36:8,12		97:20	75:6,16	92:17
38:6	Indemnity		insured	
56:25	8:18	injury	74:9,16	interfere
	individual	69:16,23		69:8 78:6
importantly	14:13	75:21	insurer	interim
35:22	60:1,5	91:8	8:6	57:7,10
96:13	67:23	input	insurers	•
impossible	68:5,13	27:23	46:22	internal
62:23	69:5	55:8	40.22	48:24
02.25	70:2,10,	100:17	intact	51:6
impulse	70.2,10, 19	TOO.T/	98:23	54:23
24:18	エ フ	inquiry	intend	internally
inappropria	individuall	38:24	46:5	47:14
	У	44:1	40.5	48:19
te 26:12	68:22	ingono	intended	ユロ・エン
26:12	76:19	insane	98:12	invasive
incentivize	77:9 94:4	47:24	intends	22:20
đ	95:19	insight	26:22	24:19
89:16		100:23	20.22	J
	industry		intensity	invest
inches	99:22	insignifica	39:17	91:5
23:16	100:21	nt	intention	investigate
incident	information	52:19	85:10	73:8
14:20	13:4	inspection	96:21	inaiai
3 3 3 -	40:23	9:20	90.21	investigati
include	44:18	17:13	interest	ng
19:23	46:24		34:12	25:7,21
included	101:10	inspections	35:19	46:8
39:6		20:20	36:14	investigati
57:23	informative	instructing	48:14	on
59:12	22:12	45:11	60:16	21:21
	informed		1 m b a m a m t = 3	26:5,18,
including	11:21	instructs	interested	25 46:1
10:15	57:1 69:9	25:17	17:10	
14:14		insurable	27:14,18	investigati
15:18	initial	94:18	29:3	ve
27:24	27:14,20		52:25	73:13
63:7	initiative	insurance	56:11	investment
incorrect	42:3	6:7 7:23	interesting	96:20
74:6		8:9,18,25	33:20	
	initiatives	9:8,17	51:22	invitation
increase	41:7	11:17	53:13	67:11
10:14	injured	12:5	55:2 85:5	involved
39:17		14:1,3	33-2 03-3	



August 11, 2021 Index: irrational..lead DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH

20:20	Jewish	jurisdictio	35:2	large
irrational	66:1,4	nal	knowing	27:13
89:4	job	18:9,16	34:24	70:14
	99:10		83:25	91:1,4
irreplaceab	JJ • ± 0	77	94:21	late
le	John	K	74.21	27:11
81:25	28:2		knowledge	57:21
issue	join	Kadre	71:9 75:4	57.21
18:21	67:5	10:4	kumbaya	law
26:16	101:19	30:22	51:1	9:3 58:11
29:12		31:2,3	31 1	66:4 75:2
40:3,5	joint	33:5		84:3,4
42:1,2	62:19	36:21	L	lawsuit
51:12,22	Judge	39:24		13:14
54:20	7:5 31:3,	76:14,17	Labor	85:9
55:25	4 34:3,15	77:25	13:22	03.9
67:21	40:2	78:2		lawsuits
78:18	56:13	79:7,13	laboring	15:23
70.10	64:22	81:2	17:20	73:14
issues	76:8,17	102:3	Ladies	lawyer
28:14	70:3,±7 79:7	1	101:23	60:16
29:4,19	87:7,19	keeping		68:25
30:1,16	92:22	48:16	lady	69:5
43:18	92.22	95:15	85:13	70:20
47:14	judgment	kick	laid	70.20
54:14	15:4	87:21	33:16	lawyers
55:9,16	judicial	90:1		15:15,18
67:7 74:2	15:6	kicker	land	16:5,13,
76:1			39:8	14 59:13,
90:12	Judith	82:23	48:23	18,21,25
	22:8	86:19	49:9,23	60:18,19,
item	July	kill	55:15	22 68:21
35:24	36:6 38:8	88:3	63:1	70:10,12,
36:2	40:24	killed	75:15	15 71:1
items	58:6	96:15	81:17,21	73:5,12
39:6	30.0	90.15	82:22	1
	jump	kind	87:17	lawyers'
	34:18	34:7,13	94:8,12,	60:12
J	jurisdictio	41:14	19 95:2	layers
	_	49:3	landing	23:11
Jason	n 14:22	50:20	37:17	24:1
57:14	21:14	62:18	55:11	
T	25:24	67:3	22.11	lays
Javi		91:5,15	landscape	89:9
7:7	26:14	95:21	70:18	lead
Jeff	53:17,25		71:5	6:6 7:7,
8:4	54:12	knew		11 8:2
		34:17		



August 11, 2021 Index: leaders..make

15:19 25:21 leaders 33:23 34:21 35:3 101:13 leave 73:7 left	65:16,17, 18 66:3 72:17,19 75:19 99:2,4 Lifshitz 17:19 28:9	51:24 52:2 95:14 lives 99:6 living 59:6	84:18 loss 59:8 64:10 65:15,16	98:11 lots 35:19 love
leaders 33:23 34:21 35:3 101:13 leave 73:7	72:17,19 75:19 99:2,4 Lifshitz 17:19	95:14 lives 99:6 living	59:8 64:10 65:15,16	35:19
33:23 34:21 35:3 101:13 leave 73:7	75:19 99:2,4 Lifshitz 17:19	lives 99:6 living	59:8 64:10 65:15,16	35:19
33:23 34:21 35:3 101:13 leave 73:7	99:2,4 Lifshitz 17:19	99:6 living	64:10 65:15,16	
34:21 35:3 101:13 leave 73:7	Lifshitz 17:19	99:6 living	65:15,16	love
35:3 101:13 leave 73:7	17:19	living		
101:13 leave 73:7	17:19		66:19,22	51:2,7
leave 73:7		59:6	72:1,17,	loved
73:7	20.0		19 73:3	90:20
		85:15	75:19	
left.	lift	loans	76:4	low
	77:17	83:11	84:7,13	62:6 96:8
63:23	80:12	89:11		lower
65:19	light		losses	52:2
	18:12	loathsome	75:19	• ·
legal		58:7	85:11	Luis
58:8,22	likelihood	locate	lost	7:17
60:14	32:14	24:16	53:6 59:4	
62:14	limit	1	65:11,17	M
64:12,13	79:19	located	71:18	
70:18	86:15	18:10	72:12,13,	made
71:5	21.11.2	location	14 81:24	17:16,24
73:15	limited	22:5 82:5	88:25	29:14
74:14	60:6	locations	89:1	43:6
lenders	69:25	23:6,16	90:19,20	51:11
75:9	80:11	25:2	99:5,6	56:2
lessen	limiting		•	58:5,14
42:13	48:10	logisticall	lot	60:4 72:7
42.13	limits	У	9:14	74:2
letter	39:16	62:24	16:13	83:20
36:5,14		63:10	31:11,23	98:4
38:8	link	long	32:18	100:11,14
40:8,24	26:6	63:16	50:1,7,16	
43:13	56:24	77:5	51:2,6	magnitude
liaison	57:3	95:16	53:5	37:5
6:25 7:2	listen	99:22	57:19	maintain
	42:6 86:2	1	58:1	22:25
license	88:23	longer	61:13	
65:20		98:19	62:14 68:21	major
lienholders	listening	looked	76:25	82:13
14:14	54:24	25:13	83:17	majority
14	58:24	Lopez	88:19	49:17
liens	90:16	7:7	89:3	68:4
75:9	91:20	/ • /	89:3 91:12	make
life	Litigation	lose	91:12	make 14:11
20:5	6:4	40:18,19	92:16	15:2 21:6
59:4,8	live	loses	93:25 94:2	
	TIVE	10000	フサ・ム	42:8,12



August 11, 2021 DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH Index: makes..money

45:4	49:1,10,	matters	mentioned	74:5
50:13	12 64:15	47:4	47:20	81:16
52:13,25	75:13	56:19	68:17	83:1,23
53:10	81:14,24,	66:10	95:25	86:24
54:1	25 82:22	102:1		
57:11	84:1		met	mindful
58:23	86:16	Mayor	21:24	68:9
66:11	87:2	31:14	22:8	minute
68:19	93:12,25	33:10	86:21	81:6
71:2,11	95:12	34:5,12	method	
78:22	96:22	94:23	49:6,14	minutes
82:14	97:8,11	mechanism	50:13,20	22:15
83:15	98:9	31:7	52:1,17,	26:2
85:3	99:23,24	32:23	23	mislead
89:3,13	100:2	54 : 5		62:10,24
90:22		87:23	methods	63:18
92:9	marketable		24:18	
94:14	94:17	meet	98:6	missed
95:6	marketplace	34:5,14	Miami	73:10
	97:4,5	46:11	95:4	missing
96:11,18 97:24	100:6	meeting		50:8
97:24	100.0	66:24	Miami-dade	
makes	markets	72:4 76:5	21:24	mission
60:13	87:16	72.4 70.3	Michael	35:8
85:19	marshal	meetings	6:12 9:24	misundersto
91:12	99:11	35:20		od
95:19	99.11	54:22,23	million	86:12
	Martinez-	101:2	12:2	
making	cid	members	36:15,16	Mitrani-
53:19	7:24 8:1	47:20	37:18	reiser
74:20	67:18,20	56:17	63:15,21,	22:9,14
92:8	68:1 71:7	58:20	22 82:15	momentarily
manner			83:10,12,	17:17
53:22	massive	59:10	14 86:2	
	83:19	76:10	87:4,7,	Monday
Manny	material	89:1 93:6	20,21	27:20
36:21	52:23	memorandum	89:9,17	46:6
Marcello	98:5	35:23	94:10	Monday's
61:8		55:14	95:11	46:12
	materially	56:3	96:8	40.17
Maria	52:15		99:19	monetize
56:23	matter	memorial		99:12
marked	26:14	32:9 33:4		monetized
28:6	53:2 68:8	94:14	89:11	32:20
/ O • D	69:6	95:7	90:22	34·4U
				money
		mention	mind	money
33:2,19	73:17 82:1	mention 58:5,15	mind 57:25	62:18



August 11, 2021 Index: monies..opportunity DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH

	7.1011 27.114 10001		maox. m	omesopportun
73:23	mortgage	needed	no-nonsense	30:9
77:12,13	32:1	15:2	66:8	ocean
79:21,22	76:12,20	negotiate	noon	51:25
80:13	77:4,11,	37:21	27:20	
84:18	14,23	44:13,20		off-site
86:4 89:3	78:10,19		note	32:9
91:1,5,	79:16,17,	negotiating	34:13	offer
12,16	25 80:17	37:15	notice	55:7
95:9,21	mortgages	negotiation	29:6	87:10
97:18	77:1 79:5	11:18	notion	101:4
monies	80:5	45:5	18:25	offoning
12:4			18.45	offering
59:24	Moskowitz	negotiation	NTSB	35:22
	7:13,14	S	25:5	55:14
Monique	Mound	14:5 17:8	numbor	56:2
71:16	8:23	61:18	number 21:25	offers
month		net		37:18
13:20,21	move	87:22	27:14,18, 23 69:10	91:15
	38:25			. ee!
month-to-	44:12	news	78:23	office
month	45:11,15	11:21	NV5	17:19
85:16	moving	36:25	9:12	31:14
months	44:22	45:24		50:23
97:10,12	57:2	65:5,22		76:23,24
	102:4	nice	O	offices
Morabito		31:4		31:25
9:3 27:24	multiple	83:17	objection	ointment
Moriarty	15:11	102:6	30:16	
28:2	municipalit		objections	88:14
	У	nickel	30:1	open
morning	18:10,17	45:6		14:21
6:8,14,24		night	obligate	28:14
7:3,4,5,		11:21	60:11	47:1
13,16	N	22:13	obligates	55:20
8:10,15,		38:18,19	26:17	open-minded
22 9:1,5,	narrowed	NTCO	20.17	74:5
10,14,23	29:4	NIST	obtain	74.5
12:17		20:13	14:22	opinion
26:2 27:8	nastiness	21:12,20	occupants	48:13
31:3	51:3	22:10,19,	72:20	74:4
33:16	nature	21 23:2,		opportuniti
47:19	51:19	24 24:8,	occur	
56:19	72:21	9,20	69:13	es
57:17		25:13,14,	occurred	40:20
61:5,7,10	necessarily	17,23	99:3	opportunity
	4,-01,	26:1,3,11	J J - J	C1.00
65:2,5,6 93:4	92:8,13	30:5		61:20



August 11, 2021 Index: opposed..people's

	HAMPLAIN TOWN		index: opposedpeople		
opposed	owner	91:2	54:24	pending	
54:8 79:4	59:3	pain	56:11	10:17,18	
opt	84:15	95:24	100:9,12	11:1,8	
60:10,20	92:4		partner	13:16	
70:8	93:9,19	parameters	7:7	35:24	
	owners	38:10	, . ,	36:2,17	
optimistic	14:13	parent	partners	penetromete	
32:25	49:17	71:17	63:12	r	
options	50:25		party	23:5	
34:25	51:4,15	park	11:13	23.3	
53:1	53:5,23	95:6	21:1,2	people	
78:24	54:9	part	50:15,17	13:4	
70.24	62:4,20,	31:9	30.13,17	14:25	
order		61:17	past	15:11,21	
9:20 10:8	22 63:12	90:5	61:16	50:7,10,	
11:24	71:22		Paul	11 51:24	
12:10,14,	72:18,19	partake	6:10 27:9	52:2	
16,22	74:12	83:16	0.10 27.9	53:18	
13:5	76:10,16	participant	pave	54:22	
15:2,5	80:18	s	12:1	57:20	
17:7,12	81:13	61:18	pay	58:1,18	
19:4	86:22	01.10	33:2	59:17,20	
21:19	88:8,19	participate	59:18,24	60:9,11,	
25:16	90:13,16	63:25	60:12	15 62:2	
27:2	91:3,21	64:14	62:18	63:3 69:8	
30:4,8,15	92:9 93:5	100:19	70:20	70:2,7,9,	
33:12	ownership	101:3	74:17	16,22	
46:6	49:2,6,10	participati	75:13	72:10,12,	
	94:6			16,18	
Oren	95:10	ng 6:13	78:17	74:17	
47:7 81:5	73.10	28:13	87:7,14,	75:7,18,	
85:22		62:5	20 89:16,	21 76:25	
86:23	P		20,22	80:16	
92:3		63:12	99:12	83:15	
organizatio	p.m.	participati	payments	84:6	
ns	22:13	ons	9:16	85:11	
50:6	28:12	88:6,7	10:11		
53:19	20.12			87:13,17	
	package	parties	pays	88:2,20,	
outbids	35:23,25	17:10	64:15	24 89:6,	
45:14,17	paid	20:20	83:9	16 90:2,	
outgoing	10:20,22	26:19,23	Pena	6,9 91:6	
22:11	10:20,22	27:14,18,	61:5,7,8,	92:16	
		23 28:6,	9,11,22	95:8 97:4	
owned	63:3	8,12,18	63:20	98:1,11	
51:20	79:16	29:3,6	64:5,18	99:5,6,13	
	80:5	47:3	01 0,10		
72:13	86:17	52:25		people's	



August 11, 2021 DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH Index: percent..previous

16:20	phone	35:1	78:16	practically
percent	6:18	plugging	88:21	70:13
80:15	35:20	13:16	positions	precise
86:5	picked		72:11	25:2
87:8,21	65:10	point		
95:22		11:3 18:1		precisely
75.22	piece	51:5 54:4	82:10	99:16
percentage	89:10	69:11	91:14	preference
49:2,5,7,	99:25	72:3	possibly	78:12
8,9 51:20	pipe	77:18	37:10	
60:17	23:9	92:18	94:9	preliminary
70:14,20		97:24		37:6 74:4
94:6 95:9	Pizzo	101:23	post	75:3
perfect	57:13,14,	points	26:6	preparatory
27:4	16,18	51:10	post-	15:1
35:17	61:1	55:2	traumatic	
	67:22	96:19	75:20	prepare
period	place	100:17		46:9
19:13	16:3	100.17	posting	preparing
65:8,9	26:23	police	76:16	14:25
perished	30:5,9,18	18:9	potential	28:17
14:20	45:19	21:24	7:22 8:8	
14.20	49:14	policy	16:19	present
permissible	50:13,20	12:7	18:6 21:1	92:18
40:14	54:6	74:8,16	22:17	preservatio
70:25	82:14	74.0,10	32:1,12	n
permission		political	34:8	17:11,12
60:21	85:18	31:20	35:10	21:19
67:21	plaintiff	33:1	37:16	
80:10	7:12 17:9	101:12	44:19	president
00.10	-1	1:-:11		25:15
permitted	plan	politically 81:1	46:9	93:21
40:15	41:13	81:1	55:15	presiding
60:6	54:8	politics	73:8	98:14
permitting	planned	79:8	82:11	
70:2	65:9	nonning	90:25	pressing
70.2	95:15	popping	91:4 97:6	101:25
person	mlanne	76:12	potentially	pretty
79:15,17	planner	portion	82:18	11:14
personal	39:4,15	94:13		
83:11	40:1 42:1	pogition	power	prevent
03.17	43:22	position 18:4 19:6	18:9 90:4	23:18
personally	44:5,7		powers	preventing
14:14	pleading	20:3	43:6	24:24
Dhiladolah:	46:10	29:13,14		
Philadelphi		37:10	practical	previous
a 8:17	pleased	43:4 58:9	62:15	24:4,12,
X:I/	27:21	60:1	71:4	14,16



	TAMPLAIN TOWN			index: priceQE
price	88:4,12,	19:1,11	63:11	93:18
97:9	15 100:15	21:23	prospective	numnaga
100:3		22:3,9,10	44:15	purpose 23:23
	<pre>profit 63:22</pre>	23:6		23.23
prior		24:15	71:3	pursuant
32:25	64:2,5	26:21	Protection	19:3,4,12
51:21	82:11,15	27:1	8:20 28:1	21:4,13
61:25	83:7,15,	29:10		59:13
83:4	20,23	30:7 31:9	protocol	
private	84:8,13	32:20	9:19 10:4	pursue
32:11	85:3,20	33:3,18	17:7,12	79:9
35:16	86:21	34:9,10	18:2,8,15	pursued
69:6	87:9,22,	35:21,24	19:5,12,	73:22
85:7,8,24	24 88:6	38:11	25 20:2,4	
86:6	89:13	42:12	21:5	pursuing
	90:2,25	47:23	26:22	70:2
privy	profits		27:5,15	push
65:19	82:12	48:9,21	28:19	41:8,15
proactive	82.12	49:15	29:2,7,22	79:6
33:11	progress	59:3,5	30:4	
33.11	17:16,23	62:19		put
problem	41:23	63:8,16	proven	12:18
53:4		64:9,16	73:4	20:6
	projection	71:22	provide	49:16
proceed	87:9	72:14	28:17	53:2,12
15:4	prolonged	74:9,10,	39:8	54:5,21
29:18	45:4	15 75:6,	46:24	62:17
56:6		14 81:23		77:4
proceeding	promise	82:4	provided	78:11
14:5 16:8	87:16	83:10	27:17	82:13
65:19	92:9	89:23	provision	84:11,16
85:9	promised	91:2,6	19:24	87:5,12
03.7	90:13	95:8	86:18	88:14
proceeds	90.13	97:3,8	00.10	97:3
49:16,23,	promising	99:10,19,	public	99:24
24 63:2	33:17	25 100:2	24:10	99.24
75:16	nnomn+1	25 100.2	33:4	putative
	promptly	proposals		59:10
process	57:12	87:12	publication	
1			14:18	puts
15:22	proper			
16:2,20	proper 65:25	proposed	pull	87:19
16:2,20 20:10		9:19	pull 23:10	
16:2,20 20:10 28:15,21	65:25 94:5	9:19 27:15	23:10	putting
16:2,20 20:10 28:15,21 31:16	65:25 94:5 properties	9:19 27:15 28:18	23:10 purchase	putting 56:4
16:2,20 20:10 28:15,21	65:25 94:5	9:19 27:15 28:18 29:2,7,22	23:10 purchase 33:3,18	putting
16:2,20 20:10 28:15,21 31:16	65:25 94:5 properties 83:19	9:19 27:15 28:18	23:10 purchase	putting 56:4
16:2,20 20:10 28:15,21 31:16 44:21	65:25 94:5 properties 83:19 property	9:19 27:15 28:18 29:2,7,22 37:2	23:10 purchase 33:3,18 93:20	putting 56:4
16:2,20 20:10 28:15,21 31:16 44:21 45:1,14	65:25 94:5 properties 83:19	9:19 27:15 28:18 29:2,7,22	23:10 purchase 33:3,18	<pre>putting 56:4 91:19</pre>



August 11, 2021 Index: question..renovated

DREZNER VS C	HAMPLAIN TOW	Index: questionrenovated		
8:6	22:17	43:2,11	50:21	35:6
mioation	39:13	62:25	102:3	59:19
question	51:10	72:15		60:5,17
14:10	55:10	74:7 75:5	receiver's	70:15,21
22:16		83:18	29:7	72:8 73:1
31:6	raising	84:1	43:13	75:24
41:11	58:17	87:14,15	50:22	
54:19	Randy	89:1,10	84:5	redevelop
71:19	93:9	93:10	99:10	63:16
72:6		96:20,21,	receivershi	redevelopme
76:7,12,	range	23,24	p	nt
20	44:15	97:9,16	89:6	62:5 63:8
questions	Rare	99:2,4		
28:5	32:6	101:15	receives	reduce
29:21,24	-		50:16	43:1
48:2	rarely	realities	receiving	reducing
76:15	79:24	71:4	50:4,10,	43:10
	Raskas	reality	11	
quicker	9:1,2	69:25		reference
55:10	•	07.23	Recio	35:21
quickly	reach	reasons	9:5,6	referred
11:14	14:7	62:14	19:14,18,	24:9
18:24	16:4,16	rebuild	20,21	
40:17	45:12	61:15,20	21:8 39:3	reflecting
41:5	84:9		41:1,18	28:19
44:20	reached	receive	43:3,20	relations
45:12	11:23	35:19	44:4,10	10:6
66:1	29:2,17	82:2,3	recognize	30:24
85:12		received	32:16	
03.12	reaction	12:4	69:10	relay
	88:25	24:11		91:21
R	89:4	27:22	record	relief
	90:23	28:4	7:21 20:7	15:24
Rachel	read	36:14	23:1	33:13
7:10	25:22	38:15,19,	34:13	78:20
7.10		23 57:19	recorded	80:25
racing	readily	23 37.19	22:24	
96:6	40:22	receiver		religious
radar	reading	6:5,11	recoverable	66:2
24:19	23:4	9:25	69:20	relocation
		26:21	recoveries	10:17,20
raise	ready	27:10	74:7	•
18:1 30:2	13:16	28:20	75 : 17	remains
55:2	30:15,17	36:1,5,20		12:5
57:25	real	37:22	recovering	removing
raised	10:7	38:16,23	99:9	47:12
20:16	38:25	40:23	recovery	
20.10		43:25	_000.01	renovated
		15-25		



95:17	research	responsibil	85:1	Roth
rent	31:23	ity	87:15	7:11
99:14	37:7 75:3	25:20	89:9,19,	Rubio
	residences	responsible	24 91:11	31:13
renters	99:15	73:17	road	32:17
50:18			46:11	33:8
71:22	residents	responsive	49:24	
75:22	50:18	35:4	54:15	Rubio's
replace	61:13	rest	72:9	76:24
82:2	63:23	29:1	74:3,22	rule
02.2	residents'		75:12	49:18
report	47:19	Restoration		49.10
27:21	47.19	8:21	76:2	rules
35:9	resolution	results	82:23	58:20
46:23	14:8	28:16	83:25	70:11,25
roprogent	**************************************	28:16 52:15	86:20,22	w
represent	resolved	57.12	rock	run 15.01
15:16	60:3	retained	23:25	15:21
representat	68:12	15:16		running
ion	resolving	16:5,15	Rodney	13:6
7:20	10:20		36:22	, ,
		returned	role	rushing
representat	Resort	65:24	90:8	96:3
ive	28:1	review	50.0	Ryan
22:10	respect	36:1	room	9:11
representin	10:11		6:19	
g	27:19	reviewing	77:20	
59:21	34:8 38:3	75:1	rooms	S
	39:12,25	revised	85:15	
request	37.12,23	28:18	02.12	sad
39:3	respective		Rose	50:24
41:2,5	28:8	rewrite	93:8,9	51:4
57:24	respond	41:19	96:10	21.4
requested	40:12	rezoning	100:24	safety
-		41:7 42:3	101:21	20:6
27:19	41:10	41.1 47.3		sale
42:1,2	response	Ricardo	Rosen	
requesting	36:7	7:25	101:19	48:23
60:18	38:14,20,	ride	Rosenberg	49:24
	23 39:5		64:21,24	55:15
requests	40:24	62:23	65:1,2,3,	75:15
50:21	43:17	84:12,17	4,14,16	81:22
require	44:1	85:1 86:3	66:18,21	94:9 96:3
32:2	47:17	rights	67:1,10,	98:18
39:20	96:11	41:6		sales
68:13) U • T T	59:11	16 71:18	35:23
77:3	responses		Rosinsky	36:8 45:1
11.5	28:11	risk	8:19	
	20.11	83:19	0.19	83:14



August 11, 2021 Index: sampling..solution

	17 (1011 25 (114 1 5 0 0			samplingsolutio
sampling	94:2,12,	15:20	showing	10 30:11,
23:11	18 98:12	16:7,17	16:2,20	19 36:21
	g.31	17:3 35:8		
savings	Seltzer	a		sit
22:3	·	Services	sic	52:6
scenario	17 72:1	28:1	6:4	77:20
32:22	76:3,8	serving	side	site
scenes	Senator	15:23	100:22	20:11,12,
31:24	31:13	sessions	sides	14,15
31.24	32:17	55:6	90:12	23:17
Scott	33:8,9	55.0	90.12	25:20
33:9	57:13,16,	set	sign	26:5
sea	18 58:17	29:7 57:4	58:11	27:15
69:11	61:1	97:8	signal	42:5
	67:22	100:2,4,	18:12	sitting
searches	76:23	5,6	10.17	98:14
9:18	send	setting	signatures	∀0・ 14
secondary		68:12	61:15	situation
50:18	17:1	00.12	signed	9:17
30.10	43:16	seventh	25:16	36:13
sector	senior	41:25	100:7	80:8
35:16	22:10	share		size
85:7,8	sense	82:11,18	significant	48:10
secure	60:13		17:16	
15:5,19	81:22	shared	signing	skills
62:20	95:20	39:23	68:17	73:14
78:9	93.20	shareholder		slightly
80:25	sentiment	s	similar	28:24
	90:19	63:13	24:5 25:8	
secured	sentiments		32:6	slower
63:3	43:6	shock	simple	14:6
seeking	51:11	42:22	38:24	smother
15:24		shocked	39:5	88:4
60:5	Separately	101:12,18	40:12	
G11-	29:11	all and		soil
Segalla	September	short	simply	23:25
8:17	41:24	45:13	40:13	sold
segue		65:8,9	41:11	93:24
27:4	served	shortly	43:15	95:10
selected	14:15	11:25	99:8	97:17
36:17	15:3	14:8 26:7	Singerman	
	servers	93:4	6:8,10,	solicitatio
			16,21	n
sell	15:22	ahot	10,21	E0.10
		shot	10:3	58:19
sell	16:2,20	shot 63:16		58:19 solution
sell 63:21			10:3	



August 11, 2021 Index: son..suffering **HEARING** DREZNER vs CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH

son	49:3	45:15	65:21	Stuart
71:18,24		55:15	98:23	6:25 7:2
85:15	specificall	56:3		a
	У	87:2,4	staying	Suarez
son-in-law	10:3	96:4 97:2	65:9	7:16,17
65:12	25:15		steering	subgroup
66:23	speculating	stance	16:13	78:20
sort	96:24	88:21	17:9	aub io at
57:24	97:16	stand	aton	subject 20:4
58:1	speculator	52:6,7	step 37:9	37:18
aouah+	96:23	standard	53:24	44:16
sought	90.23		53.24	56:7
11:2	spend	23:4,5	Steve	
sound	62:11	start	34:7	60:25
26:15	63:21	10:1	stipulated	70:6 74:4
99:20	spending	15:10	19:25	75:1
sounds	31:11	16:24	20:2	submission
40:6	32:18	18:17	∠∪•∠	12:13
40.0		45:13	stone	submit
source	33:21	mb a b a d	73:7	
54:7	spends	stated	stop	12:16
South	83:13	18:7,13	8:14	submitted
6:3 41:22	split	States	0.14	11:25
0.3 41.22	48:21	25:19	story	27:13
speak	49:18	79:24	78:23	aubacauca+
35:21	49.10	# L a L #	strategy	subsequent 43:18
38:21	spoke	status 9:18 10:7	38:1	43.18
44:4 47:7	22:14		30.1	subset
62:13	spurred	13:7	street	62:4
64:22	80:2	23:24	95:6	subsets
76:19	00.2	24:3 26:4	stress	88:7
84:19	square	27:12	16:19	00.7
93:9	52:16,22	29:8	75:20	substantial
	94:11,14,	37:24	73.20	80:17
speaker	19 97:22,	38:1	stressed	succeed
6:17	25 98:9	57:11	22:19	79:11
speaking	66110050	statuses	stronger	/ 9 • 11
19:17	squeeze 45:5	57:1	97:11,12	success
32:15	43.3		J / · I I / I Z	32:14
57:25	stakeholder	statute	structure	successful
64:25	s	51:23	74:16	77:15
77:8	14:13	stay	82:13	
	atalleine	61:14	89:15	suffered
special	stalking	95:13	structured	59:6
50:22	36:15,17,	98:21	82:17	72:17,18
68:9	19 37:2,		02.1	75:21
specially	10,16 44:14	stayed 60:2	structures	suffering



21 63:7 talked 100:9,10 88:10 65:22 83:3 99:21 sufficient terrible 93:20 48:12 69:14 talking thinks 94:22 65:22 91:18 8:14 suggest 101:9,13 41:17 49:13 Terrific third-party surprise 42:7 48:6 98:2 56:12 75:16 33:6 67:23 thought suggested test surviving task 28:8 53:2 19:9 34:5 54:4 62:4,20 82:8 23:18,23 55:3 suggestion 63:11 92:22 100:20 81:12 testing survivor team threshold 9:20 suggestions 96:14 36:22 31:6 17:13 28:19 37:23 survivors 18:17 throwing suit 58:10 technically 19:1,2,10 25:3 9:19 13:6 24:21 20:14 sweeten 14:10 49:15 21:3,12, Thursday 90:3 65:5 13,16 sum teed gwoop 22:18,20 91:1 tilting 18:21 90:21 23:5,20 62:12 summary telling 24:14,22, 15:4 time 20:23 25 25:1 т 8:13 33:5 26:24 summons 13:15 17:1 ten tests takes 20:5 30:5 23:6,16 24:5,8, summonses 18:3 31:11 12,16 15:1 32:18 83:11 tenants 72:20 89:8 33:21,22 texted support 75:22 91:11 42:18 58:2 50:4 43:8 taking tendered thankful Surfside 48:25 19:6 23:3 12:6,21 96:13 18:3 62:12 25:21 19:16,19 tentative thing 65:8,9 42:11 21:1 74:10 14:1 50:9 66:5 67:4 43:9 22:17 55:13 94:7 termination 51:17 24:7,9,13 62:7,9 95:16 9:19 67:4 27:25 74:24 100:25 13:6,14 88:20 29:13,17 83:5 15:6 16:8 89:18 timely 34:6,11 95:16 15:3,12 36:3 terms Tal things 38:9,14, 36:18 17:18 times 43:14 22 40:21 48:9 67:15 talk 51:18 42:10,20, 55:14 13:2 17:6 timing 53:7 57:2 24 44:18 56:2 12:13 62:16 58:24 47:18,21, 64:10 67:5 69:9 title 22 61:14, 69:5 93:12 72:21



August 11, 2021

Index: sufficient..title

August 11, 2021 Index: today..unturned

INLEINLIN VS CI	IAMELAIN TOWN	LING GOOTTI	iiiue.	x. louayuiiluiii
9:18	34:14	7:5,6	unclear	54:9
13:3,9,17	38:8,14,	16:10	20:25	62:4,20,
15:9,10	22 40:21	46:14,16		22 63:11
94:18	41:6	71:10	underneath	72:13
	42:10,16,		83:21	76:10,15
today	19,24	Tropin's	understand	78:15,17
12:10,16,	43:16	17:19	20:3,7,13	80:18
19 27:12	44:18	true	36:9 43:3	84:15
37:1,24	47:17,22	37:8 64:4		85:17
46:20	94:22	69:15	68:20	86:3 88:7
47:9 66:6		07.13	82:6	
74:21	101:9	turn		91:3,7
81:23	townwide	30:21	86:9,11	93:5,9,
89:23	41:9,16,	40:12	88:23,24	12,13
93:14	22	41:4	90:17,18,	
_		46:19	23 92:24	95:17
oday's	track	81:9 95:3	94:1	United
95:12	35:16		understandi	25:19
cold	37:13	turned	ng	79:24
13:15	46:2	26:21	12:25	79.24
24:8	traditional	30:7	21:15	units
26:3,9	81:21	40:16	23:7,14	48:5,6,
62:1,2,7	01.21	turning	24:6	10,11
	tragedy	40:21		51:15
74:23	35:7	40.21	48:1,4	63:4,7
82:20	42:9,15	turnover	67:12	74:19
l ony	53:6	28:24	understands	75:8,10
9:6 19:18	69:22	30:9,18	58:25	82:2,7
	93:16	turns	71:11	97:19
top	98:13	52:21	91:17	98:8
51:25		32.21		
89:24	tragic	two-inch	understood	unknown
95:22	73:17	23:3,9	30:12,20	84:8 85:2
otal	80:8	h	41:1	unnerve
10:12,20,	transaction	type	undertaking	16:1
22	37:5 86:7	40:7 58:8	46:7	
		82:3		unpredictab
totally	transaction	types	unfair	le
36:8	s	75:18	50:15	79:8
Towers	85:25		unfortunate	unrepresent
6:3 41:22	treating	typically	53:18	ed
0.3 11.22	71:12	11:10	33.10	68:24
	71112	52:11	unique	00.24
-			89:20,25	unsuccessfu
Fown 18:3	tremendous		07.20,25	dibuccessic
_	tremendous 62:11			1
18:3		u	unit	
18:3 19:15,19	62:11		unit 51:14,21	1 84:13
19:15,19 20:25	62:11 64:1	U U Ultimately	unit	1



REZNER VS C	HAMPLAIN TOWI	ERS SOUTH	inaex: uni	usualwherewith
unusual	venture	58:9	volatile	92:24
80:6	62:19	59:24	87:15	wear
update	verificatio	64:6,7,11	voluntary	18:4
10:2	n	69:22	54:1,2	
12:13	40:1	71:20	54.1,2	website
17:17,24	40.1	74:23	vote	24:10
26:1,4	versions	76:9,15	39:20	26:4,6
27:5	28:7	77:13	49:17	Wednesday
30:22	versus	78:7,12,	53:3,7,12	47:1
	97:22	13,20		56:24
36:24	91.22	79:4		57:5
56:22	vested	80:5,22	W	102:2
102:2	41:6	81:13		102.2
updated	vetted	84:25	wait	Wednesdays
95:18	76:23	86:8	11:12	30:14
	70.23	87:8,22	41:14	1-
updates	viable	88:2	85:18	week
9:16	37:3	97:15,19		10:15
35:18	73:9,21	99:7	waiting	11:15
uploaded			15:9	13:12,13
26:3	vice	101:16	waits	22:22
20.3	93:20	victims'	89:12	27:11
upside	victim	58:10		37:24
64:14	33:13		walk	43:25
82:19	59:3,4	video	84:14,18	44:3
83:16	73:3,24	81:9	wanted	55:25
	77:23	view	7:25 20:6	57:20
upsides	79:2 92:5	51:25	36:23	61:16
87:16	79.2 92.3	69:21		101:11
upsize	victim's	73:5	39:22	
95:7	32:5	73.3	47:22	weekend
	79:23	views	49:25	13:11
upzoning		51:18	57:24	weekly
34:9	victims	92:18	68:2	57:1
urgency	14:20	i aaomo l	93:15	92:20
44:7	15:17	visceral	95:13	101:20
11-7	16:1,6	88:25	98:20	101.20
	22:4	89:4		weeks
v	31:10,21	90:23	war	18:20
	33:25	visiting	17:20	28:25
valid	34:25	65:7	Wasserman-	30:1
	35:6 39:1		schultz	85:14
97:24	40:20	voice	31:13	
valuable	42:15	51:1	32:16	weigh
42:12	45:9	90:8,9,14	33:10	77:25
	46:20	92:7,8	76:22	wherewithal
values	47:2 55:7	voices	, 🗸 💆	37:4 99:7
52:16			ways	31.4 22.1
	56:17	90:8		



	HAMPLAIN TOW		Index: wholesomeZooi
wholesome	59:21	yesterday	
100:16	68:8	11:5	
wild	workshop	28:11	
83:15	41:24,25	47:20	
03.13	41.24,25	yesterday's	
William	worldwide	28:16	
8:23	97:5,6	20.10	
Wilson	99:25	York	
8:22,23	worms	65:6,20	
	55:21		
windmills		Z	
62:13	worth		
Winter	60:17		
93:19	89:23	zoning	
	94:9 95:3	36:4,9	
word	99:19	38:3,10,	
18:11	writing	25 39:8,	
words	11:13	12,13,18,	
11:1 40:6		25 40:9,	
67:3	wrong	13,15	
72:14	65:10	41:3,4,9,	
work	72:24,25	12,16,18	
_	73:4 88:3	43:13,16,	
13:3,9,17	wrongful	17 44:2,	
15:2,9, 11,18	8:1 68:3	25 48:2,4	
16:6	95:23	51:12	
20:10		Zoom	
30:6		6:13	
43:21	Y	54:22	
45:18		56:24	
46:7	Yaffa	57:3 66:6	
56:13	7:11	67:4	
68:13	year		
87:3	41:20		
	84:9		
worked	85:19		
17:18	97:10,13		
71:10			
working	years		
10:19	83:25		
12:7	85:3		
14:25	89:13		
15:11	93:11		
22:4	95:14		
36:23	96:7		
39:4 40:1	98:21 100:21		

