1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND
2	FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
3	CASE NO.: 2021-015298 CA 01
4	
5	RAYSA RODRIGUEZ,
6	Plaintiff,
7	-vs-
8	CHAMPLAIN TOWERS SOUTH CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC.,
9	Defendant.
10	/
11	
12	
13	PROCEEDINGS BEFORE HONORABLE MICHAEL HANZMAN
14	STATUS CONFERENCE
15	
16	Dade County Courthouse
17	75 West Flagler Street Miami, Florida 33130
18	Remote Zoom Proceeding Wednesday, July 14, 2021
19	9:00 a.m.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
د ک	

```
1
                    APPEARANCES
 2
     RECEIVER:
                MICHAEL I. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
     ON BEHALF OF THE RECEIVER, MICHAEL I. GOLDBERG:
 3
 4
       BERGER SINGERMAN, LLP
       1450 Brickell Avenue
 5
       Suite 1900
       Miami, Florida 33131
 6
        (305) 755-9500
       Singerman@bergersingerman.com
 7
       BY: PAUL S. SINGERMAN, ESQUIRE
 8
     ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:
 9
       THE MOSKOWITZ LAW FIRM
        2 Alhambra Plaza
10
       Suite 601
       Coral Gables, Florida 33134
11
        (305) 740-1423
12
       Adam@moskowitz-law.com
            ADAM MOSKOWITZ, ESQUIRE
       Joseph@moskowitz-law.com
13
            JOSEPH KAYE, ESQUIRE
14
       Howard@moskowitz-law.com
            HOWARD BUSHMAN, ESQUIRE
       Adams@moskowitz-law.com
15
       BY: ADAM A. SCHWARTZBAUM, ESQUIRE
16
       GROSSMAN, ROTH, YAFFA & COHEN, P.A.
17
        2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard
       Suite 1150
18
       Coral Gables, Florida 33134
19
        (305) 442-8666
       Rwf@grossmanroth.com
2.0
            RACHEL W. FURST, ESQUIRE
       BY:
       Szg@grossmanroth.com
            STUART Z. GROSSMAN, ESQUIRE
21
       BY:
       Wpm@grossmanroth.com
22
            WILLIAM P. MULLIGAN, ESQUIRE
       Rjy@grossmanroth.com
23
       BY:
            RYAN J. YAFFA, ESQUIRE
       Aby@grossmanroth.com
24
       BY:
            ANDREW B. YAFFA, ESQUIRE
25
```

```
1
               APPEARANCES (Continued)
 2
       LIPPSMITH, LLP
        555 South Flower Street
 3
       Suite 4400
 4
       Los Angeles, California 90071
        (213) 344-1820
 5
       BY: GRAHAM LIPPSMITH, ESQUIRE
 6
       KOZYAK, TROPIN & THROCKMORTON
 7
       2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard
       Suite 900
 8
       Coral Gables, Florida 33134
        (305) 372-1800
 9
       Jal@kttlaw.com
       BY: JAVIER A. LOPEZ, ESQUIRE
10
       Ekay@kttlaw.com
            ERIC S. KAY, ESQUIRE
11
       Tjl@kttlaw.com
            TAL J. LIFSHITZ, ESQUIRE
12
       Hst@kttlaw.com
            HARLEY S. TROPIN, ESQUIRE
13
       Jpiedra@kttlaw.com
       BY: JORGE L. PIEDRA, ESQUIRE
14
15
       THE BRAD SOHN LAW FIRM
        1600 Ponce de Leon Boulevard
       Suite 1205
16
       Coral Gables, Florida 33134
        (786) 708-9750
17
       Brad@bradsohnlaw.com
18
           BRADFORD R. SOHN, ESQUIRE
19
       SEARCY, DENNEY, SCAROLA, BARNHART & SHIPLEY
        2139 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard
2.0
       West Palm Beach, Florida 33409
21
        (561) 686-6300
       Mep@searcylaw.com
       BY: JOHN SCAROLA, ESQUIRE
22
       Cds@searcylaw.com
23
       BY: CHRISTIAN D. SEARCY, ESQUIRE
24
25
```

```
1
             APPEARANCES (Continued)
 2
       MSP RECOVERY LAW FIRM
 3
        2701 South Le Jeune Road
       Floor 10
 4
        Coral Gables, Florida 33134
        (305) 614-2222
 5
        Jruiz@msprecoverylawfirm.com
        BY: JOHN H. RUIZ, ESQUIRE
 6
 7
       GOLDBERG& ROSEN, P.A.
        2 South Biscayne Boulevard
 8
        Suite 3650
       Miami, Florida 33131
 9
        (305) 374-4200
       Jrosen@goldbergandrosen.com
             JUDD G. ROSEN, ESQUIRE
10
       Trosen@goldbergandrosen.com
             TODD ROSEN, ESQUIRE
11
       BY:
       Mdandashly@goldbergandrosen.com
12
           MUSTAFA H. DANDASHLY, ESQUIRE
       BY:
13
        SILVA & SILVA, P.A.
        236 Valencia Avenue
14
        Coral Gables, Florida 33134
        (305) 445-0011
15
        Jsilva@silvasilva.com
16
       BY: JORGE E. SILVA, ESQUIRE
17
        PITA, WEBER, DEL PRADO
18
        9350 South Dixie Highway
        Suite 1200
       Miami, Florida 33156
19
        (305) 670-2889
2.0
        Spita@pwdlawfirm.com
             SKIP PITA, ESQUIRE
21
        CHALIK & CHALIK LAW OFFICES
22
        10063 Northwest 1st Court
23
        Plantation, Florida 33324
        (954) 476-1000
24
       Debi@chaliklaw.com
        BY: DEBI F. CHALIK, ESQUIRE
25
```

```
1
             APPEARANCES (Continued)
 2
        PODHURST ORSECK, P.A.
        1 Southeast 3rd Avenue
 3
       Suite 2300
       Miami, Florida 33131-1716
 4
        (305) 358-2800
       Rmcid@podhurst.com
             RICARDO M. MARTINEZ-CID, ESQUIRE
 5
       BY:
       Apodhurst@podhurst.com
 6
             AARON S. PODHURST, ESQUIRE
 7
       HEISE, SUAREZ & MELVILLE, P.A.
 8
        1600 Ponce De Leon Boulevard
        Suite 1205
 9
        Coral Gables, Florida 33134
        (305) 800-4476
10
       Lsuarez@hsmpa.com
        BY: LUIS E. SUAREZ, ESQUIRE
11
12
       BRILL & RINALDI, THE LAW FIRM
        17150 Royal Palm Boulevard
13
        Suite 2
       Weston, Florida 33326
14
        (954) 876-4344
       David@brillrinaldi.com
15
            DAVID W. BRILL, ESQUIRE
16
       THE MCKEE LAW GROUP, LLC
17
        2800 South Flamingo Road
       Davie, Florida 33330
18
        (954) 888-9877
       Rmckee@themckeelawgroup.com
            ROBERT J. MCKEE, ESQUIRE
19
2.0
        THE MERLIN LAW GROUP, P.A.
        777 South Harbour Island Boulevard
21
        Suite 950
        Tampa, Florida 33602
22
        (813) 229-1000
23
       Wmerlin@merlinlawgroup.com
            WILLIAM F. MERLIN, JR., ESQUIRE
24
        Ssmith@merlinlawgroup.com
            SHANE S. SMITH, ESQUIRE
25
```

```
1
              A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued)
 2
        PATINO & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
 3
        113 Almeria Avenue
        Coral Gables, Florida 33134
 4
        (305) 443-6163
        Apatino@patinolaw.com
        BY: ALISON E. PATINO, ESQUIRE
 5
 6
        SALTZ, MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY, P.C.
 7
        One Liberty Place
        52nd Floor
        1650 Market Street
 8
        Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
 9
        (215) 496-8282
        Jgoodman@smbb.com
             JEFFREY GOODMAN, ESQUIRE
10
        Rmongeluzzi@smbb.com
        BY: ROBERT MONGELUZZI, ESQUIRE
11
12
        COLSON, HICKS & EIDSON, P.A.
13
        255 Alhambra Circle
        Suite PH
14
        Coral Gables, Florida 33134
        (305) 476-7400
15
        Curt@colson.com
        BY: CURTIS B. MINER, ESQUIRE
16
17
        DORTA LAW
        334 Minorca Ave
18
        Coral Gables, Florida 33134
        (305) 441-2299
19
        Grd@dortalaw.com
        BY: GONZALO DORTA, ESQUIRE
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
             APPEARANCES (Continued)
 2
     ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:
 3
       GUNSTER, YOAKLEY & STEWART, P.A.
        600 Brickell Avenue
 4
        Suite 3500
       Miami, Florida 33131
        (305) 376-6009
 5
       Araskas@gunster.com
            ARON U. RASKAS, ESQUIRE
 6
       Dwells@gunster.com
 7
       BY: DAVID WELLS, ESQUIRE
 8
       MOUND, COTTON, WOLLAN & GREENGRASS
 9
        30A Vreeland Road
        Suite 210
10
       Florham Park, New Jersey 07932
        (973) 494-0601
11
       Wwilson@moundcotton.com
        BY: WILLIAM D. WILSON, ESQUIRE
12
13
       GOLDBERG SEGALLA, LLP
        222 Lakeview Avenue
        Suite 800
14
       West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
15
        (561) 618-4450
       Dblumenthal@goldbergsegalla.com
            DUSTIN C. BLUMENTHAL, ESQUIRE
16
       BY:
17
        CLYDE & CO.
18
        1221 Brickell Avenue
        Suite 1600
       Miami, Florida 33131
19
        (305) 329-1860
2.0
        Joel.mcnabney@clydeco.us
        BY: JOEL L. MCNABNEY, ESQUIRE
21
22
       LINK & ROCKENBACH, P.A.
        1555 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard
23
        Suite 930
       West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
24
        (561) 847-4408
       Kara@linkrocklaw.com
            KARA ROCKENBACH LINK, ESQUIRE
25
```

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	
3	CARLTON FIELDS 700 Northwest 1st Avenue
4	Suite 1200 Miami, Florida 33136 (305) 539-7302
5	Sbrodie@carltonfields.com BY: STEVEN J. BRODIE, ESQUIRE
6	Jmcohen@carltonfields.com BY: JEFFREY MICHAEL COHEN, ESQUIRE
7	DI GETTRET HIGHNEE COMEN, ESQUINE
8	BIEDERMANN, HOENIG & SEMPREVIVO, P.A. 601 Brickell Key Drive
9	Suite 700 Miami, Florida 33131
10	(646) 218-7541 Suzanne.valles@lawbhs.com
11	BY: SUZANNE M. VALLES, ESQUIRE Peter.Hoenig@lawbhs.com
12	BY: PETER HOENIG, ESQUIRE Philip.Semprevivo@lawbhs.com
13	BY: PHILIP C. SEMPREVIVO, JR., ESQUIRE
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	

Thereupon --

THE COURT: Please stay seated.

Let's get up and running. All right. Good morning, everybody. The Court is calling the matter of Drezner versus Champlain

Towers South Condominium Association

2021-015089 together with the related cases of Rosenthal versus Champlain, Rodriguez versus Champlain, Rosenburg versus

Champlain, and all subsequently filed tagalong cases.

At this point let me have appearances of counsel, please, starting with the Drezner matter.

MR. TROPIN: May it please the

Court, Harley Tropin together with Javi

Lopez, Tal Lifshitz. My partners Jorge

Piedra, Eric Kay, also Brad Sohn, Graham

LippSmith. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: And for the defendants?

MR. SINGERMAN: Good morning, Judge,
and may it please the Court, I'm Paul
Singerman from Berger Singerman. Our firm
is general counsel to Michael I. Goldberg,
the court-appointed receiver. Mr. Goldberg

1 2 00:00:09 3 00:00:15 5 00:00:17 6 00:00:22 7 00:00:22 00:00:28 9 00:00:32 00:00:35 10 00:00:37 11 12 00:00:38 13 00:00:38 00:00:38 14 00:00:42 15 16 00:00:44 17 00:00:44 00:00:55 18 19 00:01:05

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

00:01:05

00:01:06

00:01:13

00:01:14

00:01:15

00:01:19

1	is present in the courtroom and with Your			
2	Honor's permission, this appearance will			
3	stand for each of the cases that Your Honor			
4	called.			
5	THE COURT: Thank you. I'll take			
6	your standing appearance on all matters on			
7	behalf of Mr. Goldberg in his capacity as			
8	receiver for the association. Thank you,			
9	Mr. Singerman.			
10	MR. SINGERMAN: Thank you, Your			
11	Honor.			
12	THE COURT: Let me have appearances			
13	in the Rosenthal case, please.			
14	MR. MCKEE: Good morning, Your			
15	Honor. Robert McKee and David Brill on			
16	behalf of Mr. Rosenthal.			
17	THE COURT: Very good. Are there			
18	any defendants in that case other than the			
19	receiver that wish to make an appearance?			
20	Very good. Let's proceed on to the			
21	Rodriguez matter. Can I have appearances			
22	of counsel in Rodriguez.			
23	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes, good morning,			
24	Your Honor.			
25	THE COURT: Good morning,			
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24			

Mr. Moskowitz. 00:01:56 1 00:01:57 2 MR. MOSKOWITZ: In person here 00:01:57 3 we have Adam Moskowitz and Joey Kaye. Zoom we have Adam Schwartzbaum and Howard 00:02:00 4 5 Bushman. With us also as our co-counsel on 00:02:03 6 Zoom Jack Scarola and our co-counsel Stuart 00:02:06 7 Grossman and Rachel Furst on Zoom, and as 00:02:10 co-counsel here, Chip Merlin and Shane 00:02:15 9 Smith. 00:02:17 Thank you, are there 00:02:17 10 THE COURT: any -- thank you, counsel. Okay. 00:02:18 11 12 there any defendants in the Rodriguez 00:02:24 13 matter that have not yet appeared? 00:02:26 Very good. Proceeding on to the 00:02:30 14 Rosenberg matter, can I have appearances of 00:02:32 15 16 plaintiff. 00:02:35 17 MR. GOODMAN: Good morning, Your 00:02:36 Honor. Jeffrey Goodman appearing in person 00:02:37 18 19 and on Zoom is my law partner Bob 00:02:38 Mongeluzzi as well as our co-counsel 00:02:38 20 Yechezkel Rodal. 21 00:02:44 00:02:44 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Any 23 defendants in that matter? 00:02:45 00:02:48 24 MR. RASKAS: Good morning, Your 00:02:51 25 Honor. Aron Raskas along with David Wells

00:02:52	1	on Zoom from Gunster on behalf of Morabito		
00:02:04	2	Consultants, Inc.		
00:02:56	3	THE COURT: Thank you. Any other		
00:02:58	4	defense appearances?		
00:03:00	5	Okay. Would anybody like to appear		
00:03:02	6	in any subsequently filed or tagalong cases		
00:03:07	7	that have not made an appearance yet?		
00:03:07	8	Mr. Ruiz.		
00:03:09	9	MR. RUIZ: I'm here on behalf of the		
00:03:12	10	plaintiff Rosa Quesada and also Mr. Gonzalo		
00:03:26	11	Dorta (Inaudible.)		
00:03:26	12	THE COURT REPORTER: Your Honor		
00:03:26	13	Your Honor, this is the court reporter, I		
00:03:30	14	can't hear.		
00:03:30	15	THE COURT: To the court reporter,		
00:03:30	16	we have electronic recording in this		
00:03:30	17	courtroom. You are on Zoom for whatever		
00:03:30	18	reason, I don't know if someone ordered a		
00:03:58	19	court reporter live, but just do your best.		
00:03:58	20	There are people in the audience, and I can		
00:04:00	21	have them scream at the top of their lungs,		
00:04:00	22	so you just do your best, and we're going		
00:04:00	23	to have to live with the transcript that we		
00:04:00	24	get. Okay?		
00:04:06	25	THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.		

00:04:06	1	THE COURT: Now is there any way to	
00:04:07	2	move this Zoom computer over a little bit	
00:04:11	3	so my vision is not blocked, if that's	
00:04:11	4	possible, Dennis?	
00:04:12	5	Any other appearances anybody wishes	
00:04:15	6	to make before we begin the proceeding?	
00:04:18	7	MR. SUAREZ: Good morning. Luis	
00:04:20	8	Suarez, I represent the sister as personal	
00:04:27	9	representative of the five family members	
00:04:28	10	who perished.	
00:04:32	11	THE COURT: Thank you.	
00:04:35	12	MR. GROSSMAN: Judge, Stuart	
00:04:37	13	Grossman, good morning. On the Altman,	
00:04:41	14	Spiegel, and Notkin case, in addition to	
00:04:42	15	me, present on Zoom is Billy Mulligan,	
00:04:46	16	Rachel Furst, Ryan Yaffa, Andrew Yaffa, and	
00:04:50	17	you've already had the rest of our team	
00:04:52	18	introduced by Mr. Moskowitz.	
00:04:59	19	THE COURT: Let me take care of the	
00:05:01	20	courtroom first, and then I'll see if	
00:05:01	21	anyone wants to appear who is on Zoom.	
00:05:01	22	Okay?	
00:05:04	23	Good morning, counsel.	
00:05:06	24	MR. BLUMENTHAL: Good morning, Your	
00:05:06	25	Honor, Dustin Blumenthal from Goldberg	

00:02:20	1	Segalla representing Philadelphia Indemnity			
00:05:27	2	Insurance Company.			
00:05:28	3	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you,			
00:05:28	4	counsel. Any other appearances?			
00:05:30	5	Mr. Pita, go ahead.			
00:05:32	6	MR. PITA: Skip Pita for Alex Santo			
00:05:37	7	(inaudible.)			
00:05:41	8	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Rosen.			
00:05:44	9	MR. ROSEN: Good morning, Your			
00:05:46	10	Honor. Judd Rosen along with my partner			
00:05:48	11	Mustafa Dandashly and Todd Rosen on behalf			
00:05:48	12	of Jonah Handler and his mother who passed			
00:05:54	13	away, the Estate of Stacy Fang.			
00:05:57	14	THE COURT: Mr. Miner.			
00:05:59	15	MR. MINER: Good morning, Your			
00:06:03	16	Honor, Curtis Miner, (Inaudible.)			
00:06:03	17	THE COURT: Ms. Rockenbach.			
00:06:08	18	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Good morning,			
00:06:08	19	Your Honor, Kara Rockenbach Link on behalf			
00:06:13	20	of Universal Property and Casualty.			
00:06:13	21	THE COURT: I've reviewed your			
00:06:15	22	motion. We'll be taking that up early.			
00:06:15	23	Okay?			
00:06:15	24	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Thank you.			
00:06:18	25	THE COURT: All right. Very good.			

00:06:18	1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
00:06:28	2	THE COURT: Very good, good morning,
00:06:30	3	gentlemen.
00:06:30	4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
00:06:39	5	THE COURT: Okay.
00:06:39	6	MR. MARTINEZ-CID: Good morning,
00:06:40	7	Your Honor. Ricardo Martinez-Cid with my
00:06:41	8	partner Aaron Podhurst on behalf of the
00:06:45	9	Cohen matter that has already been
00:06:46	10	transferred to Your Honor. We've also
00:06:48	11	filed a number of other cases. We are
00:06:51	12	putting the Drezner matter as a related
00:06:54	13	case and will continue to file some other
00:06:55	14	cases this week.
00:06:56	15	THE COURT: Okay. There is an
00:06:58	16	administrative order that all cases will be
00:07:00	17	automatically transferred here, but you go
00:07:02	18	ahead and mark them as related cases when
00:07:04	19	you file these matters, okay, and they'll
00:07:06	20	be transferred over here automatically by
00:07:08	21	the clerk.
00:07:10	22	MR. MARTINEZ-CID: Thank you,
00:07:10	23	Your Honor.
00:07:10	24	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
00:07:12	25	Counsel.

00:07:12	1	MR. MCNABNEY: Good morning, Your
00:02:23	2	Honor, Joel McNabney on behalf of James
00:07:14	3	River.
00:07:15	4	THE COURT: Thank you. All right.
00:07:16	5	I'll accept Zoom appearances only from
00:07:19	6	lawyers whose firms have not already
00:07:22	7	appeared through somebody live in the
00:07:24	8	courtroom. That's it. Anybody on Zoom
00:07:27	9	whose firm has not already made an
00:07:29	10	appearance?
00:07:33	11	Okay. Let's proceed.
00:07:36	12	MS. CHALIK: Good morning, Debi
00:07:38	13	Chalik on behalf of Josephina Henriques and
00:07:43	14	the Estate of Anna Ortiz.
00:07:44	15	THE COURT: Very good.
00:07:45	16	MR. SILVA: Good morning, Your
00:07:47	17	Honor, Jorge Silva. First, let me
00:07:49	18	apologize, because I had no idea this was
00:07:52	19	going to be live, and I apologize for that,
00:07:53	20	but Jorge Silva on behalf of multiple
00:07:57	21	plaintiffs, including decedents Lozano,
00:08:01	22	Fernandez, and Oliwkowicz, that all of them
00:08:05	23	have already been filed and being
00:08:07	24	transferred to Your Honor's court.
00:08:08	25	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you,

00:08:10	1	Mr. Silva. Anybody else on Zoom whose firm
00:08:12	2	has not already appeared through counsel in
00:08:14	3	the courtroom?
00:08:15	4	MS. PATINO: Yes, good morning, Your
00:08:17	5	Honor. Alison Patino on behalf of the
00:08:19	6	Estate of Claudio Bonnefoy and Maria
00:08:25	7	Bonnefoy.
00:08:25	8	THE COURT: Okay. Any other
00:08:28	9	appearances?
00:08:28	10	MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor, this
00:08:29	11	is William Wilson from Mound Cotton on
00:08:33	12	behalf of Great American Insurance Company
00:08:36	13	in the Quesada matter.
00:08:38	14	MR. SEARCY: Your Honor, Chris
00:08:39	15	Searcy with the Rodriguez group.
00:08:44	16	THE COURT: Okay. I think somebody
00:08:46	17	already appeared for the Rodriguez group
00:08:49	18	here. Okay. Anybody else on Zoom whose
00:08:51	19	firms have not made an appearance?
00:08:54	20	MR. BRODIE: Your Honor, Steve
00:08:54	21	Brodie and Jeffrey Michael Cohen of Carlton
00:08:57	22	Fields on behalf of QBE, the excess insurer
00:09:00	23	on the GL policy side.
00:09:01	24	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brodie.
00:09:04	25	Any other appearances?

00:09:07	1
00:09:08	2
00:09:12	3
00:09:13	4
00:09:16	5
00:09:21	6
00:09:23	7
00:09:25	8
00:09:27	9
00:09:33	10
00:09:34	11
00:09:35	12
00:09:37	13
00:09:40	14
00:09:43	15
00:09:47	16
00:09:49	17
00:09:50	18
00:09:52	19
00:09:56	20
00:09:58	21
00:10:00	22
00:10:03	23
00:10:07	24
00:10:09	25

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we have a lot to accomplish today.

MS. VALLES I apologize, Your Honor.
There's one more appearance, I apologize
Your Honor. Suzanne Valles of Biedermann,
Hoenig, Semprevivo on behalf of Fireman's
Fund Insurance Company as insurance only.
They have been named in this matter, but
not yet served. My partners from New York,
Phil Semprevivo and Peter Hoenig are also
joining the conference.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

Okay. Let me ask one more time, any other appearances before we start the hearing?

Very good. Mr. Goldberg?

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So I have a number of matters I want to address. I want to start with the receiver, and the first thing I want to talk about is the status of assistance payments. Can you bring me up-to-date on how we're doing on getting these victims compensation or relocation expenses and end of life expenses and how that program is proceeding.

00:10:11	1
00:10:13	2
00:10:15	3
00:10:20	4
00:10:21	5
00:10:24	6
00:10:26	7
00:10:29	8
00:10:30	9
00:10:36	10
00:10:39	11
00:10:42	12
00:10:42	13
00:10:43	14
00:10:45	15
00:10:47	16
00:10:49	17
00:10:54	18
00:10:56	19
00:11:01	20
00:11:01	21
00:11:05	22
00:11:09	23
00:11:12	24
00:11:15	25

I understand we had some funds tendered by some insurance carriers.

We had Mr. Ruiz make his contribution as he represented he would last week to the Court. So how much do we have, and how are we getting it in the hands of victims of this tragedy.

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor,
we did receive Mr. Ruiz' money that day.
He called our office and tendered -it wasn't tendered but wired \$1 million to
us.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLDBERG: It was received and it's already been put to good use.

Your Honor, we've had 24 applications for relocation. Of the 24, 18 have been approved, checks are in hand already. They were FedEx'd out Monday for delivery on Tuesday.

There were two pending verifications in those applications. The verification is easy, we just have to verify that the person is entitled to the relocation, they lived in the building, and that they need

00:11:18 1 00:11:18 2 00:11:20 3 00:11:23 00:11:25 5 00:11:28 6 7 00:11:29 00:11:32 9 00:11:32 00:11:33 10 00:11:37 11 12 00:11:40 00:11:43 13 00:11:46 14 00:11:48 15 00:11:50 16 17 00:11:51 00:11:56 18 00:11:58 19 00:12:00 20 00:12:02 21 00:12:07 22 00:12:07 23 00:12:12 24 00:12:14 25

it.

THE COURT: And you're also making those payments available to renters? My order didn't specifically address that, but I want to make sure anybody who was in the building, whether they be an owner or renter is being provided assistance payments.

MR. GOLDBERG: That's correct,

Your Honor. We have denied four. One was an individual that we denied who lived in the building up through January, was a girlfriend of a homeowner, and the homeowner said she hasn't lived there through January -- since January, and we denied that.

Then there were several -- three applicants who were renting their units to others who were not living in the building, and, therefore, they did not need to be relocated, and we denied those three, but we have paid 18 of the 24, 2 pending, 4 denied.

Then on the end of life benefits we've had two applications, and we're just

00:12:16 1 00:12:18 2 00:12:20 3 00:12:23 4 5 00:12:26 6 00:12:27 7 00:12:30 8 00:12:34 9 00:12:35 00:12:36 10 00:12:39 11 12 00:12:41 13 00:12:44 00:12:46 14 00:12:48 15 00:12:50 16 17 00:12:51 00:12:51 18 19 00:12:55 00:12:58 20 00:13:03 21 00:13:06 22 00:13:08 23

00:13:12

00:13:13

24

25

simply waiting to make sure that the applicant is the correct person to cut the check to, that they're responsible for dealing with the end of life benefits, and we're in the process of doing that, and we expect we will confirm that within the next 48 hours, and the checks are all being FedEx'd out.

THE COURT: Okay. And tell me how
the victims are being notified of the
availability of these funds, because I know
you have a website. Is there any other
effort that's being made to notify the
victims that these funds are available and
they can ask you for those assistance
payments?

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

Just everyone is in the courtroom, we have set up a website, it's live. It's www.ctsreceivership.com. We have forms for the application on it. It has a bunch of other information, court orders entered.

There are also government agencies that have asked us to put their information on there so it goes to notify them, but to

00:13:18 1 00:13:21 2 00:13:23 3 00:13:26 4 5 00:13:29 6 00:13:32 7 00:13:32 8 00:13:39 9 00:13:39 00:13:41 10 00:13:44 11 12 00:13:48 13 00:13:51 00:13:53 14 00:13:56 15 00:13:57 16 17 00:14:03 00:14:04 18 19 00:14:07 00:14:10 2.0 21 00:14:12 00:14:16 22 00:14:17 23 00:14:19 24

00:14:21

25

answer, we've also had email addresses of each unit owner and tenant in the apartment as best as we had from the building, and we emailed the application to each of those email addresses, and then the local news has been pretty much on top of it to let people know benefits are available, and we believe that is sufficient.

THE COURT: Good. Okay. So let's talk about the next area I want to address, and that's the real estate. From taking a look at the Chapter 718, it looks to me that at some point prior to the sale of that real estate, there may have to be a judicial termination of this condominium and some other preliminary steps.

Then we need to figure out what is the most effective and efficient way to bring the highest and best value for that property so that the monies can be distributed to the victims. So let's talk about that a little bit.

What do we need to do to move that along, and what I want you to address for me is whether the two ways that I have

00:14:24 1 00:14:28 2 00:14:33 3 00:14:35 4 00:14:37 5 00:14:39 6 7 00:14:42 00:14:42 9 00:14:45 00:14:48 10 00:14:51 11 12 00:14:54 00:14:57 13 00:14:59 14 00:15:02 15 00:15:06 16 17 00:15:08

00:15:11

00:15:12

00:15:14

00:15:16

00:15:18

00:15:21

00:15:24

00:15:27

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

thought about dealing with this is maybe try and secure a stalking horse bid with maybe a breakup fee and put it to auction. The other possibility is just to let you negotiate through a broker or directly with potential buyers and see if we can reach a contract.

Now, I said last time, and I said again -- I'm going to say it again, a couple of points, the Court wants to monetize whatever property is available, so that we can get money in the hands of these victims as soon as possible. I'm not interested in a prolonged negotiation or process to try to squeeze every nickel out of the property. I'm more interested in who is going to step up and close quickly.

I understand that there were some people at the last hearing that expressed a desire to have some memorial on the property, and the Court is sympathetic to that, but I want to make it very clear that the victims of this tragedy are not going to be sacrificing their funds and their property for a memorial. If the state or

00:15:30 1 00:15:32 2 00:15:33 3 00:15:36 4 00:15:38 5 00:15:40 6 7 00:15:43 8 00:15:45 9 00:15:47 00:15:50 10 00:15:52 11 00:15:55 12 00:15:57 13 00:15:58 14 00:16:00 15 00:16:03 16 17 00:16:07 00:16:10 18 00:16:13 19 00:16:15 20 00:16:16 21 00:16:17 22 00:16:20 23

00:16:24

00:16:27

24

25

any governmental agency wants to step up and acquire that property at fair market value, it is, of course, free to do so and do whatever it likes with the property, but that is going to be a long process.

It's my belief that no governmental agency is going to be able to move as quickly as this Court intends to move, so while that's a possibility, I want you to be proceeding forward with whatever needs to be done to monetize that property so we can get money in the hands of these people. Okay?

So tell me what we need to do and what process you recommend. Do we go with the stalking horse bidder, do we put it to auction with that, or do we go ahead and just hire a broker, or do we do it directly and negotiate and see what we can do? What do you recommend?

MR. GOLDBERG: With respect to the process, I would recommend a stalking horse process where a potential buyer is located or identified, a contract is entered into, and then brought before this Court pursuant

00:16:30 1 00:16:34 2 00:16:39 3 00:16:42 4 5 00:16:44 6 00:16:46 7 00:16:47 00:16:49 8 9 00:16:52 00:16:54 10 00:16:56 11 12 00:16:58 13 00:17:02 00:17:05 14 00:17:07 15 16 00:17:08 17 00:17:11 00:17:16 18 19 00:17:18 00:17:19 2.0 21 00:17:21 00:17:25 22 00:17:27 23 00:17:29 24 00:17:32 25

to a very, very transparent auction process. This case needs the utmost in transparency, and I don't think it needs a private negotiated sale. I think everything should be --

THE COURT: Well, of course the negotiations would be the only thing private. Once there would be a contract, it would be subject to court approval, and people would have the right to be heard.

So you think the best way is to try to find someone to put up a stalking horse bid and pay them a breakup fee if they don't acquire the property at auction?

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, and with respect to the breakup fee, that would be minimal to almost nonexistent. We're dealing with a commodity here. There's not a lot of due diligence that needs to be done with respect to this property. The way that Mr. Singerman and I view this is the estate will bear the expense of the Phase I or whatever environmental -- we'll do the basic stuff that every potential bidder will need so they can bid and put them on

00:17:36	1
00:17:39	2
00:17:41	3
00:17:44	4
00:17:47	5
00:17:48	6
00:17:50	7
00:17:51	8
00:17:54	9
00:17:57	10
00:18:00	11
00:18:00	12
00:18:04	13
00:18:08	14
00:18:11	15
00:18:14	16
00:18:17	17
00:18:22	18
00:18:22	19
00:18:25	20
00:18:29	21
00:18:32	22
00:18:36	23
00:18:40	24
00:18:44	25

an apples-to-apples basis, but we can discuss the breakup fee with the Court at the time, but, yes, Your Honor, we believe a stalking horse process is the --

THE COURT: With an auction.

MR. GOLDBERG: With an auction.

THE COURT: Okay. And what do we need to do preliminarily to get things legally in order so that that process can unfold, what do we have to do?

MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, you mentioned it a few minutes ago. Florida Statute 718.118 allows for judicial termination of the condominium association, and that will need to take place prior to this. It doesn't mean we can't go down the process simultaneously, but we need to get a judicial termination.

That is a two-step process. The first step is determining entitlement to termination, and the next step is the remedial phase on how that termination will be affected. We believe that incidents like this are exactly what Florida Statute 718.118 was created for, and basically,

00:18:50	1
00:18:54	2
00:18:59	3
00:19:01	4
00:19:03	5
00:19:06	6
00:19:10	7
00:19:13	8
00:19:17	9
00:19:22	10
00:19:26	11
00:19:27	12
00:19:27	13
00:19:30	14
00:19:32	15
00:19:34	16
00:19:37	17
00:19:37	18
00:19:39	19
00:19:42	20
00:19:44	21
00:19:46	22
00:19:47	23
00:19:50	24
00:19:53	25

it requires a substantial damage to the building, which I think the Court can take judicial notice of, and the inability to repair the property within a reasonable time, and just the fact that the insurance proceeds alone are going to be insufficient to repair the property, that should give Your Honor the -- what the Court is required to find under the statute to find an entitlement of termination of the condominium association.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else that would need to be accomplished legally before the property could be put out to bid other than judicially terminating the condominium form of ownership?

MR. GOLDBERG: Let me just -- as

part of that judicial termination, all

interested parties have to be brought

before the Court, which requires a title

examination and the joining of any

lienholder and an owner, anybody with an

interest in the property in that lawsuit,

judicial termination before the Court.

00:19:55 1

00:19:57 2

00:20:00 3

00:20:03 4

00:20:04 5

00:20:08 6

00:20:08 7

00:20:09

00:20:11 9

00:20:15 10

00:20:19 11

00:20:21 12

00:20:24 13

00:20:27 14

00:20:29 15

00:20:30 16

00:20:31 17

00:20:34 18

00:20:37 19

00:20:39 20

00:20:41 21

00:20:44 22

00:20:48 23

00:20:51 24

00:20:55 25

It's highly technical.

We've already started doing the title work necessary to do that, but it may take a little time, but that is the precursor to setting up the stalking horse process.

THE COURT: Okay. And how do

we initiate that process. Is the -- when

I looked at the statute, it authorized any

owner to petition the Court for judicial

termination. I didn't see any reference to

the association, so I assume one or more of

the condominium owners would be the

appropriate party to initiate that process.

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor,
that's correct. Standing on the statute
requires a, quote, unit holder or unit
owner. Now, we are looking, because the
association may own some commercial units,
we're not sure. We're finding that out.
There isn't any sort of distinguishment
between commercial unit or residential,
however, as Your Honor knows, as a
receiver, we get approached by quite a bit
of victims, and many victims have

00:20:57	1
00:21:00	2
00:21:01	3
00:21:03	4
00:21:05	5
00:21:08	6
00:21:09	7
00:21:10	8
00:21:13	9
00:21:17	10
00:21:19	11
00:21:21	12
00:21:23	13
00:21:24	14
00:21:25	15
00:21:27	16
00:21:29	17
00:21:31	18
00:21:34	19
00:21:36	20
00:21:40	21
00:21:42	22
00:21:45	23
00:21:47	24
00:21:50	25

approached, and I think will be subject -THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLDBERG: At least represented by one of the counsels here and might want to step up to the plate and queue up that termination lawsuit.

THE COURT: I'd like you to initiate that process as soon as possible, get the title work done, get all our ducks in a row so if and when somebody steps up and is willing to pay fair value for this property, we'll be ready to proceed. Okay?

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's talk about another source of recovery for these victims, and that's insurance coverage.

When I went back and looked at the file,
I saw some filings that suggested that we had four layers of liability coverage.

I know James River commendably already tendered. We addressed that last time, and that was the first layer of 2 million. We then have Philadelphia Indemnity, which someone mentioned to me tendered as well; is that correct?

00:21:52	1	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, that's correct.
00:21:53	2	THE COURT: And that's a \$1 million
00:21:54	3	second tier layer?
00:21:56	4	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, and both James
00:21:58	5	River and Philadelphia checks have been
00:22:00	6	received by my office for the trust.
00:22:02	7	THE COURT: And the third layer of
00:22:04	8	coverage is Fireman's Fund, which is \$10
00:22:06	9	million of liability coverage, correct?
00:22:08	10	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
00:22:09	11	Just so we're clear, the Philadelphia
00:22:12	12	Indemnity policy is a D & O policy, just so
00:22:14	13	the Court is aware of that.
00:22:15	14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's
00:22:16	15	liability. I'm distinguishing liability
00:22:18	16	from property.
00:22:19	17	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, I didn't want
00:22:20	18	you to think it was CGL.
00:22:23	19	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. So
00:22:24	20	Philadelphia Indemnity has tendered,
00:22:27	21	correct?
00:22:27	22	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
00:22:28	23	THE COURT: And the third layer
00:22:29	24	looks to be Fireman's Fund, \$10 million.
00:22:32	25	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes.

00:22:32	1
00:22:34	2
00:22:36	3
00:22:38	4
00:22:39	5
00:22:41	6
00:22:43	7
00:22:47	8
00:22:49	9
00:22:51	10
00:22:54	11
00:22:57	12
00:22:59	13
00:23:03	14
00:23:06	15
00:23:09	16
00:23:12	17
00:23:15	18
00:23:16	19
00:23:16	20
00:23:18	21
00:23:19	22
00:23:19	23
00:23:22	24
00:23:28	25

THE COURT: What's the status of
Fireman's Fund? Have you demanded
insurance information and received
everything you need, and have you had any
discussions with them? I don't want you to
disclose any negotiations or settlement,
I just want you to tell me what the status
is of the policy and whether or not you're
in contact with this carrier.

MR. GOLDBERG: I contacted Fireman's Fund for the 2021 first layer excess policy, and I'm happy to report that yesterday we received notice that they are tendering the \$10 million plus \$300,000 in crisis management benefits for a total of 12.3. We have not received the funds yet, but that's expected, because they just tendered by letter yesterday.

THE COURT: Okay. And I know we have somebody representing Fireman's Fund here by Zoom. Counsel?

MS. VALLES: That is correct,

Your Honor, and the information that he has
represented to the Court is also accurate.

THE COURT: Excellent. Well, let me

00:23:28 1 00:23:31 2 00:23:34 00:23:37 5 00:23:37 00:23:40 6 7 00:23:42 00:23:44 00:23:47 9 00:23:49 10 00:23:51 11 00:23:53 12 13 00:23:55 00:23:56 14 15 00:24:00 00:24:02 16 00:24:05 17 18 00:24:07 00:24:09 19 00:24:15 20 00:24:16 21 00:24:18 22 00:24:21 23 00:24:24 24 00:24:26 25

commend Fireman's Fund as well as

Philadelphia Indemnity for stepping up.

The Court is very pleased to hear that

those carriers have stepped up and tendered

their indemnity limits.

Let's move on to the last layer that I can see on the liability side, which is QBE. I know Mr. Brodie and Mr. Cohen, I saw from the docket, made an appearance on their behalf. Have you had any conversations or discussions with QBE and their counsel, and where are we there?

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor, just in general, we have had multiple conversations with all of the insurers, and they have all been completely responsive, and we appreciate that, and I'm happy to report that last night with respect to the 2021 second layer of QBE insurance, they tendered \$5 million.

Again, we don't have the funds in hand, and we just want to verify that that's the full amount of the coverage, but we are happy to report that QBE stepped up to the plate and tendered last night by

00:24:29 1 00:24:30 2 00:24:33 3 00:24:35 4 5 00:24:36 00:24:40 6 7 00:24:43 00:24:47 9 00:24:51 00:24:54 10 00:24:56 11 12 00:24:58 00:24:59 13 00:25:02 14 00:25:04 15 00:25:07 16 17 00:25:11 00:25:13 18 19 00:25:16 00:25:18 20 21 00:25:21 00:25:23 22 00:25:23 23 00:25:29 24 00:25:31 25

letter.

THE COURT: Mr. Brodie, Mr. Cohen?

MR. BRODIE: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct. We sent a letter to counsel for the receiver confirming that QBE is prepared to tender its funds in accordance with the tenders of the carriers lower than it in the tower subject to the same terms and conditions, and I could also advise the Court that is the only policy that QBE issued to the association.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you,
Mr. Brodie. Let me commend QBE as well as
the other carriers. Like I said before,
the Court could not be more pleased to see
that we will not have extended and
protracted proceedings involving coverage
and other insurance-related issues, and
that these liability carriers have stepped
up to the plate to tender their coverage
and assist these victims, and I commend all
of them.

Now, Mr. Goldberg, these indemnity policies we discussed last week, that the primary carrier, James River, in addition

00:25:33 1 00:25:36 2 00:25:38 3 00:25:40 00:25:44 5 00:25:47 6 7 00:25:47 00:25:50 9 00:25:54 00:25:56 10 00:26:00 11 12 00:26:01 00:26:03 13 00:26:06 14 00:26:09 15 00:26:11 16 17 00:26:15 00:26:17 18 00:26:21 19 00:26:21 2.0 00:26:23 21 00:26:26 22 00:26:32 23 00:26:35 24

00:26:36

25

to the indemnity obligations, also had a duty to defend. Is there a duty to defend on the part of these excess carriers that may be triggered here despite their having tendered the indemnity coverage?

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor, we believe there is, and we're working with coverage counsel, and we are engaged in the very collaborative discussions with the insurers. Again, they could not be more responsive.

They made themselves available for a lengthy Zoom call yesterday. We've been in constant communication. We've made some progress, I think, and we're hopeful that we'll be able to, through a give and take process that necessarily is involved, come to an agreement where it will be the best possible --

THE COURT: Okay. But no carrier has conditioned their tender on any release or bar order, and they all acknowledged they have a duty to defend or additional obligations under the policy aside from the indemnity, that they're not being released

00:26:38 1 00:26:39 2 00:26:39 00:26:44 00:26:46 5 00:26:48 6 7 00:26:51 00:26:52 9 00:26:55 00:26:55 10 00:26:55 11 00:26:56 12 13 00:27:00 00:27:02 14 15 00:27:05 00:27:07 16 00:27:09 17 18 00:27:11 00:27:12 19 00:27:16 20 00:27:18 21 00:27:20 22 00:27:23 23 00:27:25 24 00:27:27 25

from that, correct?

MR. GOLDBERG: That's correct, Your
Honor, they have to stand up and approach
their responsibility. We're not there, but
we're going to try, and I think, as they
said, they're going to try, and I think
they're all represented by good counsel,
and they're good companies, and I think
we'll get there.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, just one clarification. We are also exploring any prior CGL policies that may exist, so I don't want Your Honor to think we're done with our efforts. There may be prior policies, but the policies that I spoke to with the Court just a minute ago are all --

THE COURT: So there may be -- there may be prior coverage that was not claims made coverage, there may have been other types of coverage that may be triggered by the deterioration that occurred?

MR. GOLDBERG: There may be. We're looking at that, but every policy that is being tendered is their 2021 policy.

00:27:28	1
00:27:30	2
00:27:32	3
00:27:33	4
00:27:36	5
00:27:38	6
00:27:38	7
00:27:39	8
00:27:39	9
00:27:41	10
00:27:42	11
00:27:45	12
00:27:50	13
00:27:52	14
00:27:53	15
00:27:56	16
00:27:59	17
00:28:03	18
00:28:06	19
00:28:08	20
00:28:11	21
00:28:13	22
00:28:16	23
00:28:22	24
00:28:23	25

THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about the other type of insurance that may be triggered here and available, and that's the property coverage. I guess we have one policy with Great American; is that correct?

MR. GOLDBERG: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what are the coverage limits of that policy, and have you had any dialogue with Great American in an effort to see if that matter can be resolved and we can secure funds from the property insurance policy?

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor, the base amount is \$30 million with Great

American, and we believe it may actually be 2 to 3 million higher with additional coverages that may be applicable, and we have been engaged in discussions with Great American. They are represented by very good counsel, and we will continue those discussions, and we're hoping -- we're hoping the commitment on their part and our part -- we're hoping that they will follow

00:28:27 1 suit and tender shortly. THE COURT: Well, I'm hoping that as 00:28:28 2 00:28:31 3 well, and I want you to continue having dialogue with them and their counsel and 00:28:33 5 report back to the Court as soon as there's 00:28:35 something to tell me. All right? 6 00:28:37 7 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, sir. 00:28:39 THE COURT: Okay. So does that take 00:28:40 care of, before I move on, insurance issues 9 00:28:41 that we need to address? 00:28:43 10 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor. 00:28:45 11 THE COURT: Okay. Now --12 00:28:46 13 MR. MCKEE: Your Honor. 00:28:46 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 00:28:50 14 MR. MCKEE: Robert McKee. Just a 00:28:50 15 16 couple of questions on insurance. 00:28:52 17 THE COURT: No, no, Mr. McKee, I'm 00:28:53 not taking questions from counsel this 00:28:55 18 morning. Do you represent Great American? 19 00:28:57 MR. MCKEE: No, I was looking for 00:28:59 20 21 the sworn affidavit that was required for 00:29:01 all of these. 00:29:02 22 THE COURT: Okay. Well, no, I'm not 00:29:03 23 opening the floor for questions to the 00:29:05 24 00:29:09 25 receiver from counsel, but thank you.

00:29:11 1 00:29:14 2 00:29:15 3 00:29:17 00:29:21 5 00:29:23 6 7 00:29:24 00:29:26 9 00:29:28 00:29:31 10 00:29:34 11 12 00:29:36 13 00:29:39 00:29:42 14 15 00:29:43 00:29:45 16 17 00:29:46 00:29:49 18 00:29:52 19 00:29:55 20 00:29:56 21 00:29:59 22 00:30:01 23 00:30:04 24 00:30:08 25

Mr. Goldberg, have you secured books and records of the association, whatever funds are available, was there any working capital in accounts? Tell me where we are with just securing the other property belonging to the association.

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor. All the bank accounts have been moved over to my signature or are in the process of being moved over. I think they're done, but I don't want to sit here and tell you that they're completed. There were several accounts, operating accounts, and I apologize to the Court, I should have -- I don't have the exact balances.

THE COURT: No apology necessary.

Just tell me where you are in terms of securing other intangible property, whatever cash may be on hand belonging to the association.

MR. GOLDBERG: All banks that held bank accounts were put under receivership, and the accounts are in the property of those. Additionally, securing the ESI and we are in the process of gathering and

00:30:11	1
00:30:16	2
00:30:18	3
00:30:21	4
00:30:26	5
00:30:30	6
00:30:33	7
00:30:37	8
00:30:42	9
00:30:43	10
00:30:46	11
00:30:49	12
00:30:51	13
00:30:54	14
00:30:56	15
00:30:58	16
00:30:59	17
00:31:01	18
00:31:02	19
00:31:04	20
00:31:06	21
00:31:08	22
00:31:10	23
00:31:13	24
00:31:14	25

setting up a database of the ESI to preserve and house ESI to the benefit of all the counsel that are going to need it. That is being done and is well on its way.

We are also -- obviously, there's no personal property to secure in the case, so it really is books and records, which are underway, as well as the bank accounts.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

Now, lastly, the issues I want to address with you is from my review of the docket, it looks like you have a few miscellaneous motions that were set for hearing this morning. Would you like to address those, or your counsel is going to address them?

MR. GOLDBERG: My counsel is going to address them.

THE COURT: Okay. While I have you here, before I hear from Mr. Singerman, is there anything else before I turn to some other issues, including the civil litigation, that you'd like to report to the Court, anything we haven't discussed already this morning?

MR. GOLDBERG: No, just the fact

00:31:15 1 00:31:18 2 00:31:23 3 00:31:27 4 5 00:31:31 00:31:34 6 7 00:31:36 00:31:38 9 00:31:42 00:31:43 10 00:31:45 11 12 00:31:51 00:31:51 13 00:31:54 14 00:31:56 15 00:31:59 16 17 00:32:02 00:32:05 18 19 00:32:06 00:32:07 2.0 00:32:10 21 00:32:13 22 00:32:15 23 00:32:18 24

00:32:20

25

that I'm continually getting calls from victims, obviously. I know counsel who are on the front line as well. It's extremely sad to hear the stories. It's kind of amazing how life works. I'm hearing very unfortunately stories that -- people that just happened to be there that night visiting. It's very sad. It's a difficult case.

THE COURT: Yes, it's a very difficult assignment, and it's a heart-wrenching, horrific case. It's an assignment that's going to take a lot of hand-holding and a lot of finesse and a lot of care, and I have no doubt that you and the esteemed counsel that are already in this case and that will have a leadership role are up to the task.

MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I know it's going to be difficult, and I know people are very distraught and confused and need a lot of assistance, and I've had a lot of lawyers and members of the Bar reach out to the Court and volunteer their time, not only to

00:32:23 1 00:32:26 2 00:32:30 3 00:32:34 4 5 00:32:37 6 00:32:40 7 00:32:43 8 00:32:45 9 00:32:46 00:32:50 10 00:32:52 11 12 00:32:54 13 00:32:56 00:33:00 14 00:33:03 15 16 00:33:05 17 00:33:09 00:33:12 18 19 00:33:14 00:33:21 20 00:33:21 21 00:33:23 22 00:33:26 23 00:33:28 24 00:33:30 25

take a role in this case, but to also counsel with and assist victims with such things as probate estates, getting the affairs of their deceased in order, and doing what is necessary in order to move forward, and the Bar has been extremely responsive, and the Court is pleased about that.

And of course, you need to let these victims know that we'll be having these hearings every Wednesday, and they're welcome to be here. Okay?

MR. GOLDBERG: Additionally, I have two lawyers full-time staffing calls from victims, letting them know the benefits that are available to them. Senator Pizzo, who is the senator, State Senator for the building and that area, has been extremely, extremely involved with his constituents who are affected by this.

In fact, he organized a meeting last week, and there's a meeting tomorrow night with the victims and their families.

Mr. Singerman and I will attend just to answer questions about the receivership and

00:33:32	1
00:33:36	2
00:33:39	3
00:33:40	4
00:33:43	5
00:33:45	б
00:33:48	7
00:33:48	8
00:33:50	9
00:33:52	10
00:33:57	11
00:34:00	12
00:34:01	13
00:34:02	14
00:34:02	15
00:34:03	16
00:34:06	17
00:34:07	18
00:34:12	19
00:34:12	20
00:34:14	21
00:34:14	22
00:34:17	23
00:34:20	24
00:34:22	25

the benefits. It's our goal to let everybody know at that meeting, and to go back to the beginning, let individuals know about the assistance payments available, and that's tomorrow night, in the evening. There's two separate meetings going into the night.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, make sure you convey to the victims that this is a public forum, and the Court welcomes their appearance any time they wish to attend any proceedings. Okay?

MR. GOLDBERG: Absolutely, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me hear from

Mr. Singerman so we can address those

miscellaneous motions, and then I want to

turn to a couple of other matters.

MR. SILVA: Your Honor, before we proceed, Your Honor was asking -- this is Jorge Silva -- Your Honor was asking about available insurance, and I don't know if the Court has already attempted or wants to address third-party insurance coverage, because I have what I believe to be some

00:34:24	1
00:34:26	2
00:34:28	3
00:34:30	4
00:34:31	5
00:34:33	6
00:34:35	7
00:34:37	8
00:34:38	9
00:34:40	10
00:34:41	11
00:34:42	12
00:34:44	13
00:34:45	14
00:34:48	15
00:34:49	16
00:34:51	17
00:34:54	18
00:34:57	19
00:34:59	20
00:35:01	21
00:35:04	22
00:35:06	23
00:35:08	24
00:35:10	25

wonderful news for the Court and for all the parties, but I don't know if the Court is there regarding third-party --

THE COURT: Let's -- we'll discuss that when I get to the third-party litigation, okay, Mr. Silva? So just hold that thought. Okay?

MR. SILVA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Singerman.

MR. SINGERMAN: Judge Hanzman, may it please the Court, Paul Singerman from Berger Singerman, general counsel to Mr. Goldberg, the court-appointed receiver.

There are three administrative motions that Mr. Goldberg has filed through our firm which we'd like the Court to consider as of this time, Your Honor. No objections to relief sought in any of the three motions that have been filed and I've not been apprised by any party interest --

THE COURT: Yes, I've reviewed the motions, so tell me what they are and what the relief you're seeking is, and let's see if we can clean these matters up. Okay?

00:35:12 1 00:35:13 2 00:35:15 3 00:35:20 00:35:23 5 00:35:29 6 7 00:35:31 00:35:34 9 00:35:35 00:35:37 10 00:35:40 11 12 00:35:42 00:35:45 13 00:35:48 14 00:35:50 15 00:35:54 16 17 00:35:57 18 00:36:00 19 00:36:02 00:36:03 2.0 00:36:06 21 00:36:12 22 00:36:13 23 00:36:16 24

00:36:18

25

MR. SINGERMAN: The first is the receiver's motion for authorization to refund special assessments. Your Honor, prior of course to this tragedy, the association imposed a special assessment in the aggregate amount of \$15 million for capital improvements to the condominium building.

In terms of the assessment allowed for unit owners to make their respective payments, the amount of which varied with the size and type of unit in the condominium building, those unit owners that deposited their assessment prior to June 30, there was no interest or finance charge. For those who chose to delay the payments and pay in installments, there was a 4 percent interest coupon.

Pursuant to the assessment, the association received in aggregate \$2,416,395.22. In the motion before the court, there's a schedule of the unit owners who remitted the deposits.

The purpose of this motion,

Your Honor, is to get the Court's approval

00:36:20	1
00:36:25	2
00:36:28	3
00:36:31	4
00:36:35	5
00:36:39	б
00:36:42	7
00:36:43	8
00:36:45	9
00:36:47	10
00:36:52	11
00:36:54	12
00:36:55	13
00:36:57	14
00:36:59	15
00:37:02	16
00:37:05	17
00:37:07	18
00:37:09	19
00:37:09	20
00:37:09	21
00:37:10	22
00:37:13	23
00:37:17	24
00:37:20	25

to return the special assessments to those unit owners or their respective estates.

Naturally, Your Honor, the purpose of the assessment, that is to do capital improvements, has been mooted by the tragic collapse, and these funds are available and segregated, and the receiver would like to do what it considers to be the right thing and what would be contractually appropriate given the frustration.

THE COURT: Would anybody like to be heard on this motion?

Okay. Hearing from no one, the

Court will grant the motion and authorize

the receiver to return to those unit owners
who prepaid their special assessments,
return those funds forthwith.

What is your next motion, Mr. Singerman?

MR. SINGERMAN: Thank you very much, Your Honor. Next I'd like to take up the receiver's motion for authorization to pay the association payroll and unused vacation and sick time for three employees of the association up to the date of the

00:37:21	1
00:37:24	2
00:37:29	3
00:37:32	4
00:37:36	5
00:37:41	6
00:37:44	7
00:37:48	8
00:37:50	9
00:37:53	10
00:37:56	11
00:37:58	12
00:38:00	13
00:38:03	14
00:38:09	15
00:38:15	16
00:38:22	17
00:38:27	18
00:38:30	19
00:38:32	20
00:38:35	21
00:38:39	22
00:38:42	23
00:38:44	24
00:38:46	25

commencement of receivership, July 2, 2021, and for the receivership authorization to enter into a limited duration, six-month contract with the former manager of the condominium association, Mr. Scott Stewart.

Your Honor, the three employees to whom I refer are Mr. Rolando Thomas and Mr. Luis Suarez along with Mr. Stewart.

The first two, Messrs. Thomas and Suarez, were hourly rate, hourly paid employees.

Mr. Stewart was salary.

The motion seeks to pay the unpaid salary and vacation and sick time benefits to Mr. Thomas in the amount of \$1,604 for his wages and \$1,545.56 in vacation pay. For Mr. Suarez, \$1,440 in wages, \$919.59 in vacation pay. For Mr. Stewart, he was owed a balance in salary prior to commencement of the receivership in the amount of \$3,230.77, and vacation pay in the amount of \$4,196.09.

The second element of relief sought by the motion to which I referred,

Your Honor, is the authorization of the

Court to allow Mr. Goldberg to contract

00:38:48 1 00:38:52 2 00:38:54 00:38:58 5 00:39:00 00:39:03 6 7 00:39:05 00:39:08 9 00:39:11 00:39:14 10 00:39:16 11 12 00:39:20 13 00:39:21 00:39:24 14 15 00:39:26 00:39:30 16 17 00:39:32 18 00:39:37 00:39:38 19 00:39:41 20 00:39:44 21 00:39:45 22 00:39:46 23 00:39:48 24 00:39:51 25

with Mr. Stewart, the former manager of the condominium association, for a 6-month period at an annual wage of, per annum, of \$90,000.

As is set forth in the motion, prior to the collapse of the building, Mr. Stewart had a salary of \$86,000. represents a \$4,000 increase, but that is what was offered in writing by the association prior to the collapse. Mr. Stewart is, for all intents and purposes, our only employee of the association, as opposed to a board member or former board member, who has been already cooperating fully with Mr. Goldberg and our team in gathering essential information through the receivership, and accordingly, for all these reasons, Your Honor, Mr. Goldberg set forth this motion which I present to the Court.

THE COURT: Would anybody like to be heard on this motion?

MR. SILVA: Your Honor, Jorge Silva.

Just very briefly, I have on behalf of my
clients no objection; however, the Court

00:39:53	1
00:39:57	2
00:40:01	3
00:40:04	4
00:40:06	5
00:40:08	6
00:40:10	7
00:40:12	8
00:40:13	9
00:40:14	10
00:40:15	11
00:40:15	12
00:40:17	13
00:40:20	14
00:40:23	15
00:40:28	16
00:40:30	17
00:40:31	18
00:40:34	19
00:40:37	20
00:40:40	21
00:40:46	22
00:40:50	23
00:40:51	24
00:40:52	25

needs to know that Mr. Stewart is a defendant in several named actions as the manager of the property.

Again, no objection, but just so that the Court has full disclosure,

Mr. Stewart is a defendant.

THE COURT: He's been sued individually as the manager of the property?

MR. SILVA: That is my understanding.

THE COURT: What was his duty as a manager of the property to effectuate repairs or what's the theory of liability against Mr. Stewart individually? I'm very curious about that, Mr. Silva.

MR. SILVA: Well, my understanding,
Your Honor, from having spoken to countless
victims, that he was well aware of the
repairs that were needed, and that he was
put on notice by several bidders that the
building had extreme issues that needed to
be addressed.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I got it. Mr. Singerman, your motion is granted.

00:40:56	1	MR. SINGERMAN: Thank you,
00:40:56	2	Your Honor.
00:40:57	3	THE COURT: What's the last motion
00:40:58	4	you wish to take up?
00:40:59	5	MR. SINGERMAN: The last motion
00:41:00	6	I wish to present on behalf of Mr. Goldberg
00:41:02	7	is receiver's motion for authorization to
00:41:05	8	terminate a limited security agreement and
00:41:09	9	related rules and security interest granted
00:41:11	10	by the association in favor of Valley
00:41:13	11	National Bank.
00:41:14	12	Your Honor, in or about April of
00:41:16	13	2021, the association entered into a line
00:41:19	14	of credit arrangement with Valley National
00:41:22	15	Bank in the maximum amount available under
00:41:26	16	the line of credit of \$12 million. There
00:41:28	17	has not been and that was, again,
00:41:31	18	Your Honor, for improvements to common
00:41:33	19	areas in the condominium building.
00:41:36	20	There were no draws under the line
00:41:38	21	of credit save except approximately \$19,025
00:41:43	22	in closing costs that the association is
00:41:47	23	obligated to pay under the terms of the
00:41:48	24	loan under this security agreement.
00:41:49	25	By this motion we seek Your Honor's

00:41:51 1 00:41:53 2 00:41:55 3 00:41:59 4 5 00:42:01 00:42:04 6 7 00:42:06 00:42:08 9 00:42:11 00:42:13 10 00:42:17 11 12 00:42:18 13 00:42:20 00:42:21 14 00:42:25 15 00:42:28 16 17 00:42:31 00:42:34 18 19 00:42:36 00:42:38 20 21 00:42:42 00:42:42 22 00:42:44 23 00:42:44 24 00:42:46 25

approval of Mr. Goldberg's termination of any obligation or right to borrow under that line of credit, which will facilitate the termination of the contract and the release of any condominium real property and personal property.

I wish to add, Your Honor, that

Valley National Bank has agreed, as an

accommodation to the receivership estate,

to refund to the estate the entirety of the

closing costs that were previously charged

to them in the line of credit.

And following Mr. Goldberg's comments about Senator Jason Pizzo, Senator Pizzo has been instrumental in facilitating this relief promptly and in arranging for and helping us to arrange for the refund of the closing costs.

Again, Your Honor, I'm unaware of any objections, and we ask Your Honor to grant the motion.

THE COURT: Anybody wish to be heard on this motion?

Okay. Mr. Singerman, the motion is granted. That's very good news that the

00:42:49 1 00:42:53 2 00:42:57 3 00:43:00 5 00:43:02 00:43:05 6 7 00:43:06 00:43:09 9 00:43:13 00:43:16 10 00:43:19 11 12 00:43:22 00:43:26 13 00:43:31 14 00:43:33 15 00:43:36 16 17 00:43:39 00:43:41 18 00:43:44 19 00:43:48 20 00:43:51 21 00:43:54 22 00:43:55 23 00:43:58 24 00:44:02 25

bank agreed to refund those closing costs.

I assume they were under no obligation to
do so, and it's nice to hear they agreed to
return those funds to the receivership
estate. Every bit helps. Okay.

MR. SINGERMAN: It does, Your Honor. One additional point in terms of the magnitude of this tragedy and informing victims and their families and loved ones of victims of an additional benefit that may be available to them at no charge, I received an inquiry from Chira Cassel, the founder of the Children's Bereavement Center. She has provided us information to Mr. Goldberg and the receivership estate making available to anyone, the families, the victims, their loved ones, the first responders and their families, and others their services at Children's Bereavement Center, and the information about that will be on the information website.

Your Honor, lastly, and this is for high clarity. Mr. Goldberg told you of the meetings tomorrow evening for which he and I have been invited, again, by Senator

00:44:05 1 00:44:07 2 00:44:10 3 00:44:13 00:44:14 5 00:44:16 6 7 00:44:18 00:44:23 9 00:44:25 00:44:26 10 00:44:28 11 12 00:44:31 13 00:44:33

00:44:34

00:44:35

00:44:37

00:44:40

00:44:42

00:44:44

00:44:46

00:44:47

00:44:50

00:44:53

00:44:57

00:45:01

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pizzo and others to talk about information services -- information about services in the receivership process to the victims and their families.

At the last hearing before the Court, one of the lawyers involved had some concern and an allegation that we had been improperly giving legal advice. We intend to go to that meeting tomorrow night, and we wish Your Honor to be aware of that and give any direction to us if it's inconsistent with our intention to appear and be responsive to the victims and their families.

THE COURT: Mr. Singerman, let me make something very clear. Part of the receiver's charge here is to address the concerns of these victims, to make information available to these victims, including information regarding what resources may be available to assist them in all types of matters, including housing, estate matters, probate concerns, documentation concerns, and I expect the receiver to do that promptly and with

00:45:05	1	diligence. You have my authority to do
00:45:07	2	that, and that's exactly what I've charged
00:45:10	3	him with doing.
00:45:10	4	Now, if somebody at that meeting
00:45:14	5	asks you questions that involve a legal
00:45:16	6	nature, you are free to answer them to the
00:45:18	7	best of your ability. These people have
00:45:20	8	not retained the receiver. You let them
00:45:23	9	know that you are not available as counsel
00:45:25	10	to them, and that they're free to secure
00:45:27	11	their own counsel, but you are not in any
00:45:30	12	way precluded from meeting with these
00:45:33	13	people and addressing their concerns.
00:45:34	14	That is exactly that is exactly
00:45:35	15	what I expect you and the receiver to do at
00:45:37	16	all times. Okay?
00:45:38	17	MR. SINGERMAN: Thank you very much,
00:45:39	18	Your Honor.
00:45:39	19	THE COURT: So is that high clarity
00:45:41	20	for you?
00:45:42	21	MR. SINGERMAN: It is, Your Honor,
00:45:43	22	thank you.
00:45:43	23	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
00:45:43	24	MR. SINGERMAN: Thank you, Your
00:45:43	25	Honor.

00:45:44	1	THE COURT: Now, we have a motion to
00:45:46	2	intervene that has been filed on behalf of
00:45:49	3	another insurer, Ms. Rockenbach Link.
00:45:53	4	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Yes,
00:45:53	5	Your Honor.
00:45:53	6	THE COURT: Let me deal with your
00:45:55	7	motion for intervention, and let's talk
00:45:57	8	about where you are with Universal Property
00:46:01	9	and Casualty and what relief you're seeking
00:46:03	10	here.
00:46:03	11	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Thank you,
00:46:04	12	Your Honor, may it please the Court.
00:46:07	13	Universal insured 42 of those units and has
00:46:10	14	paid out approximately \$4 million to 28
00:46:13	15	THE COURT: I assume we're talking
00:46:16	16	about contents coverage?
00:46:17	17	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Well, it's
00:46:18	18	building coverage. These are unit owner
00:46:19	19	policies, they're (inaudible) policy, and
00:46:23	20	so each unit has building coverage plus
00:46:27	21	personal property coverage and additional
00:46:29	22	coverages, which I
00:46:31	23	THE COURT: But this is coverage
00:46:32	24	that runs only to the particular unit
00:46:34	25	owner?

00:46:34 1 00:46:35 2 00:46:35 3 00:46:36 5 00:46:37 6 00:46:38 7 00:46:38 00:46:38 9 00:46:39 00:46:40 10 00:46:44 11 12 00:46:49 13 00:46:52 00:46:55 14 00:46:56 15 16 00:46:59 17 00:47:01 00:47:02 18 19 00:47:07 00:47:09 20 21 00:47:15 00:47:17 22 00:47:20 23 00:47:23 24 00:47:28 25

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: That's correct, exactly.

THE COURT: So they're individual policies?

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: That's correct, they are.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: There's 22 individual policies. So we paid out approximately 4 million to about 28 unit owners. 3 unit owners, we learned, sadly perished in the collapse, and so we're assisting them with opening estates and paying the building coverage and the personal property coverage to the estates to the next of kin or survivors.

We have remaining, which is identified in my motion, 11 units that, despite best efforts, we could not locate, and in listening to Mr. Goldberg speak to the Court this morning as well as counsel, a motion seems appropriate to seek from this Court permission to intervene and interplead the remaining funds of those 11 units.

00:47:28	1	THE COURT: So you're seeking
00:47:29	2	intervention for that limited purpose only?
00:47:32	3	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Yes.
00:47:32	4	THE COURT: You're not seeking to
00:47:34	5	have any litigation?
00:47:34	6	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: That's right.
00:47:34	7	THE COURT: You just want to tender
00:47:36	8	the policy limits and have them held and
00:47:39	9	disbursed in accordance with once
00:47:41	10	we determine who they're owed to and proper
00:47:44	11	notice is given?
00:47:45	12	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: That's
00:47:45	13	correct, Your Honor. It's approximately
00:47:46	14	\$1.2 million. There may be there may
00:47:50	15	be I heard the Court just say in a
00:47:50	16	motion I was unaware of about special
00:47:57	17	assessments, I did receive a demand just
00:47:59	18	last evening and in reviewing it with my
00:48:01	19	client, there might be additional money
00:48:03	20	other than that 1.2 million for special
00:48:07	21	assessments.
00:48:07	22	THE COURT: What would your client
00:48:08	23	have to do with special assessments?
00:48:09	24	MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: The unit owner
00:48:11	25	policies have a limit of \$2,000 for units

00:48:14 1 00:48:16 2 00:48:19 3 00:48:21 5 00:48:24 00:48:26 6 7 00:48:28 00:48:32 9 00:48:36 00:48:37 10 00:48:40 11 12 00:48:43 13 00:48:44 00:48:47 14 00:48:48 15 00:48:48 16 17 00:48:51 00:48:53 18 00:48:54 19 00:48:55 20 00:48:55 21 00:48:56 22 00:48:58 23 00:48:59 24 00:49:00 25

for special assessments. So we'll have to review the demands. So that might be an additional component to that 1.2 tender.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. So basically you want the receiver to hold these funds. Your client is not asking for any type of release or bar order or any type of relief, they simply want to interplead the funds with the insurance having a full reservation of rights, albeit you're tendering limits, so I don't know what those claims might be, but whatever they are, you're not seeking any bar orders, any releases.

You're making an unconditional tender, and you're just asking the receiver to hold those and the Court disburse to the appropriate parties?

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Singerman, would you like to address that on behalf of the receiver, please.

MR. SINGERMAN: Thank you, Judge Hanzman, and may it please the Court, the

00:49:02 1 00:49:05 2 00:49:09 3 00:49:12 00:49:14 5 00:49:16 6 7 00:49:19 00:49:19 9 00:49:22 00:49:25 10 00:49:29 11 12 00:49:31 00:49:33 13 00:49:35 14 00:49:39 15 00:49:41 16 17 00:49:45 00:49:45 18 00:49:54 19 00:49:55 2.0 00:49:56 21 00:49:59 22 00:50:01 23 00:50:03 24

00:50:05

25

receiver has no objection to acting as the accountholder to receive those funds, notwithstanding the fact that these funds are not the association or receiver as successor, but we will be happy to do that as a service to these unit owners and victims.

Just a couple of points that I'm sure will not be controversial. The motion refers to approximately \$884,000 to tender. Counsel indicated it was about 1.2 million, and we only ask Your Honor, and I'm sure this will be forthcoming anyway, for all the related documentation to allow the receiver to understand and do his best to also identify the proper parties whom the funds are due, and we may have need for assurances and ask for additional information, but we have no objection.

THE COURT: Very good, thank you.

Does anyone else wish to be heard on this
motion?

MR. RUIZ: Your Honor, may it please the Court, Mr. Ruiz. I ask the Court if Universal Casualty is going to waive any

00:50:07 1 00:50:11 2 00:50:14 3 00:50:16 4 5 00:50:19 6 00:50:22 7 00:50:24 00:50:25 9 00:50:28 00:50:28 10 00:50:29 11 12 00:50:30 13 00:50:33 00:50:36 14 00:50:38 15 16 00:50:40 17 00:50:41 00:50:42 18 19 00:50:45 00:50:47 20 21 00:50:49 00:50:49 22 00:50:52 23 00:50:54 24 00:50:57 25

rights to subrogation with the tender.

THE COURT: Well, I wasn't generally going to be open to questions. I think
I said that before, Mr. Ruiz, but my
understanding -- Ms. Rockenbach Link,
I thought this was just a tender with no
waiver of any rights of any -- either the
insured or the insurer; is that correct?

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: That's correct, Judge.

THE COURT: So maybe you should speak to your client and see if they're voluntarily waiving subrogation rights in this unique case. It would be nice, but I can't compel that.

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Do me a favor and work with Mr. Singerman. I'll grant the motion. Please prepare an order. What I'd like to do in the order is make the receiver's charge clear that I'm granting you intervention for this limited purpose.

The receiver's charge is simply to hold the funds in escrow in a segregated account pending further order of the Court

00:51:00 1 00:51:04 2 00:51:05 3 00:51:09 5 00:51:10 00:51:12 6 7 00:51:15 00:51:16 9 00:51:19 00:51:19 10 00:51:20 11 12 00:51:21 13 00:51:24 00:51:26 14 00:51:27 15 00:51:27 16 17 00:51:28 00:51:28 18 19 00:51:38 00:51:40 20 21 00:51:43 00:51:47 22 00:51:49 23 00:51:50 24 00:51:52 25

to be disbursed to the appropriate party once they are identified. Make sure the order says that there is no waiver or relinquishment of any rights on behalf of any insurer as a result of receiver's acceptance of this tender, nor is there any waiver of any rights on behalf of the carrier as a result of making the tender, right?

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Yes.

THE COURT: And that the parties are to cooperate in securing documentation and whatever is necessary to disburse these funds.

MS. ROCKENBACH LINK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Okay. Let's turn our attention to the civil claims that have been brought and the Court's request that counsel meet and confer. I gave you all a deadline of today to see if you can come up with a proposed leadership structure.

So let me repeat a couple of things before we get into the discussion. As the

00:51:57 1 00:52:00 2 00:52:02 3 00:52:05 5 00:52:09 00:52:12 6 7 00:52:15 00:52:20 9 00:52:22 00:52:27 10 00:52:30 11 12 00:52:33 00:52:35 13 00:52:42 14 00:52:44 15 00:52:46 16 17 00:52:50 00:52:52 18 00:52:55 19 00:52:58 20 00:52:59 21 00:53:02 22 00:53:05 23 00:53:08 24

00:53:08

25

Court said before at every hearing, and I'm going to say it again so everybody is clear. This is obviously a case where there is no amount of money that could possibly be available to compensate these families for their suffering and their loss, but while there's no amount of money in the world that could do that, the law places value on claims, and these claims at some point are going to have to be valued, and the Court has no doubt that the value, the aggregate value of the claims that the law will place on them is going to far exceed all amounts that are available for recovery both by way of insurance proceeds, the sale of assets, and third-party claims.

I wish that were not the case, and
I wish there were unlimited resources
available either by way of property owned
by the association or by third-party claims
that could compensate all of these victims
for their extreme loss and pain and
suffering, but that is not going to be the
case.

There is going to be a limited fund

00:53:11 1 00:53:14 2 00:53:17 3 00:53:21 5 00:53:24 00:53:28 6 7 00:53:30 00:53:33 9 00:53:35 00:53:39 10 00:53:41 11 12 00:53:45 13 00:53:47 00:53:50 14 00:53:51 15 00:53:52 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

00:53:56

00:54:00

00:54:02

00:54:04

00:54:06

00:54:10

00:54:12

00:54:16

00:54:19

available here, and that fund at some point is going to have to be apportioned amongst the unit owners who will not likely be made whole, particularly for the death and injury claims, while the Court does hope they'll be made whole for their property.

Now, what I envision here, because this is a limited fund, and I told you before, I envision the consolidated proceeding on dual tracks. We have two types of harm here. We have economic harm that people have suffered as a result of the loss of their units and other tangible property.

Then we have, of course, those unfortunate victims who lost loved ones and have death -- wrongful death and injury claims. So we're going to have to be proceeding on a dual track with a consolidated pleading on behalf of the class, the entire class, seeking economic losses, and a consolidated pleading on behalf of these class members, I'll call them a "subclass" for lack of a better term, who aside from suffering property

00:54:21 1 00:54:24 2 00:54:26 3 00:54:28 5 00:54:30 00:54:32 6 7 00:54:34 00:54:37 9 00:54:42 00:54:45 10 00:54:47 11 12 00:54:50 13 00:54:52 00:54:54 14 15 00:54:56 00:54:58 16 17 00:55:00 00:55:05 18 00:55:08 19 00:55:11 2.0 00:55:14 21 00:55:17 22 00:55:20 23 00:55:22 24

00:55:24

25

loss, have also suffered from wrongful death and personal injury.

So we're going to have a dual track.

We're going to have consolidated

proceedings, and we're going to have a

leadership role in both of those

proceedings. As I've said before, and I'm

going to say it again, I've got the finest

members of the Bar who have all stepped up.

I'm looking around this room, I'm looking

at Zoom, and we've got the best lawyers in

our community that have stepped up and want

to participate in this case on the terms

the Court outlined last week and on the

terms that I will outline again this week

so there's no misunderstanding.

Now, as I said last week, while

I would like to have everyone of these fine
lawyers participate in this case and donate
their time and services, the matter cannot
function that way. There can only be so
many chefs in any kitchen, and there's
going to be a leadership structure, and all
other cases are going to be stayed.

I'm not going to have a circumstance

00:55:25 1 00:55:28 2 00:55:33 3 00:55:36 5 00:55:38 00:55:40 6 7 00:55:44 00:55:46 9 00:55:49 00:55:52 10 00:55:57 11 00:56:00 12 13 00:56:02 00:56:04 14 15 00:56:06 00:56:09 16 00:56:11 17 18 00:56:13 00:56:17 19 00:56:20 20 00:56:22 21 00:56:24 22 00:56:28 23 00:56:30 24 00:56:34 25

where I have 100 cases litigating this matter and wasting resources of defendants who might be able to pay these victims.

That is not going to happen. So once these consolidated pleadings are filed and there is a consolidated complaint seeking to recover economic claims on behalf of all those who suffered it, and once the consolidated pleading is filed on behalf of those subclass members who also suffered from wrongful death and personal injury claims, the case is going to proceed on those consolidated tracks, and everything else is going to be put on hold, and there's not going to be any exceptions.

I'm not, again, going to let counsel, through individual cases, deplete and waste the resources of this estate by pursuing individual claims, especially at the outset.

Now, in for whatever reason this case isn't certified as a class, either for litigation purposes and/or settlement purposes, and if -- or if it's certified and people have the right to opt out, then

00:56:37 1 00:56:39 2 00:56:42 3 00:56:45 5 00:56:47 6 00:56:51 7 00:56:53 00:56:55 9 00:56:58 00:57:01 10 00:57:02 11 12 00:57:05 13 00:57:07 00:57:10 14 00:57:13 15 16 00:57:16 17 00:57:19 00:57:21 18 19 00:57:23 00:57:27 2.0 21 00:57:29 00:57:31 22 00:57:34 23 00:57:37 24 00:57:39 25

they can make their decision, and if either the case is not certified or if certified and people wish to opt out, that stay will be lifted, and they can proceed with their cases, but while these class matters are pending, these matters are going to be handled on a dual track through a consolidated complaint, and cases filed by those lawyers who are not in a leadership role are going to be stayed.

Now, having said that, I gave you all to Wednesday to come up with a proposed leadership track or structure so that we can have these matters consolidated and get these two main cases, one for the property losses and one for wrongful death and injury claims off and running.

So who wants to report to me?

I think I put Mr. Tropin in charge of trying to reach a consensus among the members of the Bar as to who would take a leadership role on these two track cases.

So, Mr. Tropin, report to me on your discussions and tell me whether the esteemed members of the Bar who have

00:57:41	1
00:57:43	2
00:57:45	3
00:57:48	4
00:57:48	5
00:57:50	6
00:57:53	7
00:57:56	8
00:57:59	9
00:58:00	10
00:58:03	11
00:58:05	12
00:58:08	13
00:58:10	14
00:58:11	15
00:58:13	16
00:58:15	17
00:58:17	18
00:58:20	19
00:58:22	20
00:58:26	21
00:58:26	22
00:58:30	23
00:58:33	24
00:58:36	25

stepped up here have worked out a leadership structure for the Court or if I am going to have to impose one upon you.

MR. TROPIN: May it please the

Court, Harley Tropin. Judge, if you can

give me just a couple of minutes to explain

where we are, because we're close, and I

think by the end of the day, we will be

there. Let me explain about that, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TROPIN: You tasked me with taking the lead on the organization negotiations of the various plaintiffs' counsel. You ordered a meet and confer. You said the Court had looked at the list of people who filed these class actions, and wanted me to, quote, have them agree upon a leadership structure, divide up the work, and have everyone make a meaningful contribution to the case.

Quote, you instructed us all to exercise restraint here, to cooperate with the litigation intention as much as possible, and you said, Judge, these

00:58:38 1

00:58:39 2

00:58:42

00:58:45 4

00:58:49 5

00:58:51 6

00:58:54 7

00:58:57

00:59:00 9

00:59:03 10

00:59:05 11

00:59:08 12

00:59:11 13

00:59:13 14

00:59:16 15

00:59:22 16

00:59:25 17

00:59:25 18

00:59:28 19

00:59:32 20

00:59:34 21

00:59:34 22

00:59:36 23

00:59:42 24

00:59:48 25

lawyers were the best of the best.

Judge, in my discussions with them,

I found that to be exactly the case,
exactly the case. I consulted with almost
every single one of them personally or
through my law firm, and they have
justified your confidence in them.

I consulted with Adam Moskowitz on class actions, he's given me his orders and leadership structure in other cases. I've talked to Aaron Podhurst. He's here by Zoom. He's a leader in the legal community and the Jewish community which has been so hurt by this. John Ruiz, who has been charitable, as you know. Gonzalo Dorta is a warrior in the legal community. Jack Scarola, Stuart Grossman are some of the best trial lawyers in the state. Everyone has heeded your call and agreed to work cooperatively towards the end that you wanted.

So how are we going to get there?

Let me explain. What I -- what I am

proposing that we do, we compiled a list of
all lawyers, and it's not easy, Judge,

00:59:50 1 00:59:51 2 00:59:54 3 00:59:55 5 00:59:56 00:59:59 6 7 01:00:01 01:00:04 9 01:00:07 01:00:11 10 01:00:11 11 12 01:00:14 01:00:16 13 01:00:17 14 01:00:18 15 01:00:21 16 17 01:00:25 01:00:26 18 01:00:28 19 01:00:30 20 01:00:31 21 01:00:34 22 01:00:36 23 01:00:38 24 01:00:41 25

because new cases are being filed even as we speak. Ten new cases are being filed today, literally.

THE COURT: Well, that's going to be organized in a very quick fashion. Like I said, once the consolidated class pleadings are up and running and leadership is appointed, any tagalong cases are going to be brought over to this division and promptly stayed. We're not going to have people chasing a hundred different cases and seeking the same limited pot. That's not happening.

MR. TROPIN: No, I agree, and we took your meaning of proposing exactly what you've already said. My point is just there's new people arriving --

THE COURT: No, I understand. I'm looking around the room, and other than the esteemed lawyers that you pointed out, I have people like Mr. Gary, Mr. Rosen, other people that weren't even here last week, and I'm sure when we get together next Wednesday, I'll have more esteemed members of the Bar that are willing to step

up and volunteer their time.

You know, like I said, this Court has complete confidence that these victims are going to be not well represented but in an exemplary fashion. I have no doubt about that, and I'm very comforted by that, but it has to be organized.

MR. TROPIN: It has to be organized.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TROPIN: How do we propose to get there, Judge? We've reached, you know, tentative thoughts about how it's going to be done. By the end of the day, here's what we propose to do. Immediately following this hearing, we're having a Zoom meeting call so that everybody gets heard.

By the end of the day today,

I propose to file with you a proposed order of the leadership track which I think

I will have unanimity or close to it. With all the new cases, I can't promise you unanimity, but I will quote to you a phrase that I think I've heard you quote to litigants in the courtroom, which is, "Let us not have the perfect be the enemy of the

01:00:44 01:00:46 2 01:00:47 3 01:00:51 01:00:55 5 01:00:58 6 7 01:01:01 01:01:04 9 01:01:04 01:01:05 10 01:01:06 11 12 01:01:06 01:01:08 13 01:01:12 14 01:01:14 15 01:01:16 16 17 01:01:20 01:01:23 18 01:01:28 19 01:01:31 20 01:01:34 21 01:01:38 22 01:01:40 23 01:01:40 24

01:01:43

25

01:01:47 1 01:01:47 01:01:50 3 01:01:53 5 01:01:55 6 01:01:59 7 01:02:01 01:02:07 9 01:02:10 01:02:14 10 01:02:16 11 12 01:02:16 13 01:02:18 01:02:18 14 01:02:20 15 16 01:02:24 17 01:02:26 01:02:29 18 19 01:02:30 01:02:34 20 21 01:02:39 01:02:42 22 01:02:44 23 01:02:45 24 01:02:46 25

good."

So if I could give you 80 percent or 90 percent of the good, I would suggest that what we do is I give you this proposed order for you to bless, append, change in whatever way you think is appropriate, by the end of the day today, and then if anyone wants to object or be heard or whatever, they can do so within a day, and then you can edit it, bless it, or however you propose, but I think you'll be pleased with the structure that we're going to propose.

Everyone has an opportunity to be heard. I've spoken with literally 40, 50 lawyers over the last few days, and I think you'll be pleased when this gets worked out. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So let me -- Mr. Tropin,

I assume you envision kind of a dual track
with maybe co-chairs, one from each track
or two from each track?

MR. TROPIN: Yes.

THE COURT: And separate leadership structure on the economic track and a

01:02:48	1	separate leadership structure on the
01:02:50	2	wrongful death/injury track?
01:02:51	3	MR. TROPIN: That is right.
01:02:53	4	THE COURT: And you envision one
01:02:53	5	complaint on behalf of the class seeking
01:02:53	6	the economic recovery and one complaint on
01:02:57	7	behalf of those subclass members that are
01:03:00	8	seeking to recover for wrongful death
01:03:02	9	claims?
01:03:03	10	MR. TROPIN: Exactly right.
01:03:04	11	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And
01:03:05	12	then a steering committee working under
01:03:07	13	those lead counsel?
01:03:09	14	MR. TROPIN: Correct.
01:03:09	15	THE COURT: Okay.
01:03:10	16	MR. TROPIN: And I may have a couple
01:03:11	17	of
01:03:12	18	THE COURT: Now, have you or by
01:03:14	19	the end of the day today, are you going to
01:03:16	20	be in a position to share that proposal,
01:03:20	21	obviously not only with the Court, but
01:03:22	22	you're going to share it with all the
01:03:23	23	lawyers that appeared in the case?
01:03:25	24	MR. TROPIN: Oh, yes.
01:03:26	25	THE COURT: Now, if you all want to

01:03:27 1 01:03:29 2 01:03:32 3 01:03:33 5 01:03:35 6 01:03:37 7 01:03:37 01:03:39 9 01:03:46 01:03:48 10 01:03:53 11 12 01:03:54 01:03:56 13 01:03:58 14 01:04:01 15 16 01:04:03 17 01:04:05 01:04:07 18 19 01:04:11 01:04:14 20 21 01:04:16 01:04:19 22 01:04:22 23 01:04:25 24 01:04:27 25

meet after this hearing, I've got all the lawyers here on Zoom and you're all here, I'm free to give -- I'm glad to give you the courtroom. If it will make it helpful you can stay right here and have your meeting.

MR. TROPIN: What I was trying to say, this may not be fully articulate. 45 minutes this hearing is closed, I'm having a Zoom meeting with all of these lawyers invited. We're going to go for hours talking about the best approach.

In addition to talking about the leadership structure, you know, there's 27 different requests to produce so --

THE COURT: All discovery is stayed today. Just let me make something clear. The receiver got 20 complaints that have been filed. Litigation is stayed pending my appointment of a leadership structure and the filing of consolidated pleadings. All litigation of a civil nature involving these claims is stayed.

No defendant is required to file any answers or motions directed towards any of

01:04:30	1	the complaints. Counsel are free to go
01:04:32	2	about their business serving their
01:04:34	3	lawsuits, if that's what they want to do,
01:04:36	4	but like I said before, the assets of this
01:04:38	5	estate and the funds that are going to be
01:04:41	6	available for victims are not going to be
01:04:43	7	wasted or dissipated by defendants having
01:04:45	8	to respond to a hundred different lawsuits
01:04:48	9	that are pending and brought individually.
01:04:50	10	That's not happening.
01:04:51	11	So I want the leadership structure
01:04:53	12	in place by the end of the week, which
01:04:56	13	means if you don't get me an agreed order
01:04:58	14	and people have objections, I'm going to
01:05:00	15	hear them Friday, and I'm going to have a
01:05:02	16	leadership order in place by the end of
01:05:04	17	this week.
01:05:05	18	MR. TROPIN: Perfect.
01:05:05	19	THE COURT: Okay? Now, is everybody
01:05:09	20	invited to this meeting?
01:05:11	21	MR. TROPIN: Yes, it will be Zoom.
01:05:13	22	THE COURT: New lawyers who have
01:05:17	23	appeared, everybody who have appeared
01:05:17	24	MR. TROPIN: Everybody.
01:05:17	25	THE COURT: or has clients,

1 whether they've appeared or not, everyone you're aware of has been invited to 2 3 participate in these discussions?

> MR. TROPIN: Yes, everybody will be invited to the Zoom meeting. If there's new lawyers here today, all they need to do is send me an email. 45 minutes to an hour after this has concluded so people have an opportunity to get back to the office and share the Zoom with everybody, we're going to go for hours and try to work out a leadership structure.

> As an enemy of the good, we'll have the proposed order by the end of the day --I mean, late tonight, and you can look at it, change it, and people, if they want, can object to it.

> Okay. Now, let me make THE COURT: a couple of comments to follow up on something I said last week, because anybody who is involved in this leadership structure I want to be going in with eyes wide open. So let me reiterate a couple of points that I said last week so there's no misunderstanding, and we have, in the words

01:05:18 01:05:21 01:05:24 01:05:25 5 01:05:26 01:05:27 6 7 01:05:29 01:05:34 9 01:05:36 01:05:38 10 01:05:40 11 12 01:05:43 01:05:46 13 01:05:48 14 01:05:50 15 01:05:53

16

17 01:05:55

18

01:05:56

01:05:58 19

01:06:01 20

01:06:04 21

01:06:06 22

01:06:08 23

01:06:10 24

01:06:13 25 01:06:15 1 01:06:19 2 01:06:19 3 01:06:22 4 5 01:06:24 6 01:06:27 7 01:06:30 01:06:33 9 01:06:35 01:06:37 10 01:06:40 11 12 01:06:42 13 01:06:45 01:06:47 14 01:06:52 15 16 01:06:54 17 01:06:57 01:07:00 18 19 01:07:02 01:07:05 2.0 21 01:07:08 01:07:10 22 01:07:13 23 01:07:16 24 01:07:18 25

of Mr. Singerman, quote, high clarity. Okay?

First off, I've said that a number of lawyers have agreed to address these matters pro bono and step up for the community and look at this case as a public service. The Court commends them and that's what's going to happen. Now, I said that the structure of this leadership is going to be as follows:

There is going to be no right or legal entitlement to any attorney's fees in this case. The lawyers will be paid their costs out of the receivership estate and recovery by insurers and other, but there is no legal right to payment of any type for services rendered in this case.

At the end of the case, assuming the lawyers have generated a recovery, and I'm going to talk about that in a minute, they will be paid or the Court will consider paying them up to their lodestar amount, which would be their regular hourly rate times the hours reasonably expended.

There will be no multipliers. There

01:07:21 1 01:07:23 2 01:07:29 3 01:07:31 4 5 01:07:31 6 01:07:33 7 01:07:35 01:07:38 8 9 01:07:40 01:07:43 10 01:07:47 11 12 01:07:50 13 01:07:52 01:07:55 14 01:07:59 15 16 01:08:02 17 01:08:04 01:08:06 18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

01:08:08

01:08:10

01:08:12

01:08:16

01:08:18

01:08:20

01:08:24

will be no percentage fees. There will be no contingency enhancements. It will be a straight hourly lodestar engagement in a death case scenario with no legal entitlement to even that.

into this case on a leadership role is doing so under those terms and with their eyes wide open that they are putting at risk and donating as a public service the hours spent on this case with their cost -- out-of-pocket costs paid for and the possibility, but certainly no assurance, that they will be paid their lodestar and not a penny more. So I want that to be made clear on the record, because that's the way it's going to be.

Secondly, the lawyers need to understand that they are not going to be compensated out of any recovery that they did not generate. So to the extent property insurers have tendered money, to the extent the real estate is sold and those monies were realized from the estate through no efforts of litigation counsel,

01:08:24	1
01:08:28	2
01:08:34	3
01:08:34	4
01:08:36	5
01:08:38	6
01:08:41	7
01:08:43	8
01:08:45	9
01:08:48	10
01:08:51	11
01:08:53	12
01:08:56	13
01:08:58	14
01:09:00	15
01:09:02	16
01:09:03	17
01:09:05	18
01:09:07	19
01:09:09	20
01:09:11	21
01:09:14	22
01:09:17	23
01:09:19	24
01:09:21	25

those funds will not be available to compensate attorneys for any of their time expended, and that also needs to be made clear that any compensation awarded to litigation counsel will come from and only from recovery generated as a result of their efforts. Okay?

So when you all meet today and you all decide who wants to volunteer your time and effort in this role under leadership structure, you keep those things in mind, because that's the deal that is going to be struck with the Court.

Right, Mr. Tropin?

MR. TROPIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. If anybody is unclear about that, now is the time to say it, because if you don't want those terms and you're not willing to look at this case as a public service and donate your time with the risk of not being paid and with an upside of your lodestar, then don't come to the party. Okay?

MR. TROPIN: I think we have what Mr. Singerman says, maximum clarity.

01:09:24 1 01:09:25 2 01:09:27 3 01:09:30 01:09:30 5 01:09:34 6 7 01:09:34 01:09:38 9 01:09:40 01:09:41 10 01:09:44 11 12 01:09:47 13 01:09:49 01:09:52 14 01:09:55 15 01:09:58 16 17 01:10:00 01:10:01 18 01:10:03 19 01:10:03 20 01:10:04 21 01:10:06 22 01:10:11 23 01:10:16 24 01:10:18 25

THE COURT: Good. Okay. So I'm going to give you to the end of the day to have your meeting. I'm hopeful you all will be able to come in for a landing on an agreed-upon leadership structure that will be to the Court's satisfaction, and if you do, we'll have a hearing on it Friday morning so I can hear any objections, if anybody wants that hearing.

If not, I'll sign a proposed order, and we're off and running. Okay? Just make sure all these fine lawyers who want to donate their time are at the table, and they're heard before you decide what the --well, not decide, before you decide what to recommend to the Court as the leadership structure. Okay?

MR. TROPIN: Okay. Judge, thank you.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you,
Mr. Tropin. Now, would anybody else like
to be heard on how the civil litigation is
going to proceed or discuss this meeting
that you all are going to have today on a
potential leadership structure, anybody

01:10:20 1 01:10:24 2 01:10:24 3 01:10:26 5 01:10:29 6 01:10:31 7 01:10:34 01:10:36 9 01:10:39 01:10:41 10 01:10:43 11 12 01:10:46 13 01:10:49 01:10:53 14 01:10:55 15 16 01:10:58 17 01:11:01 01:11:04 18 19 01:11:06 01:11:10 2.0 21 01:11:12 01:11:15 22 01:11:18 23

01:11:20

01:11:25

24

25

want to add anything to what Mr. Tropin has said?

Good. I want to, again -- I want to, again, commend the members of the Bar here that have stepped up. This is going to be an extremely difficult case. It's going to require a lot of time and effort, and it's going to require a lot of skill on behalf of the practitioners who are going to take a leadership role and those who will work under that leadership role, and I could not be more pleased to see how many members of the Bar, how many esteemed and experienced and successful lawyers have stepped up here to provide services to these victims of this tragedy.

It makes me very proud as a member of the Bench to look around this room and on the Zoom camera and see so many people that have had so much success in their practice and have accomplished so much over such long and distinguished careers step up here and want to provide services.

If I could, I wish I could appoint everybody on a leadership role, but that

01:11:26 1 01:11:29 2 01:11:31 3 01:11:34 01:11:36 5 01:11:38 6 7 01:11:40 01:11:42 9 01:11:48 01:11:51 10 01:11:53 11 12 01:11:56 01:11:56 13 01:11:58 14 01:12:00 15 01:12:04 16 17 01:12:04 01:12:08 18 01:12:09 19 01:12:12 20 01:12:14 21 01:12:17 22 01:12:20 23 01:12:23 24

01:12:25

25

can't be done here so I'm hoping that once we have a leadership structure in place, you will still be willing to work with and under that leadership structure and provide help to these victims.

The last thing I want to say and reiterate, something I said last week, aside from the financial terms, if you take a leadership role in this case, you better be ready to work, because, like I said last week, this case is going to move at rapid speed.

There are going to be no continuances, there are going to be no enlargements of time for routine matters. It's not going to be business as usual, and it's not going to be handled as your routine commercial case. It is going to be moved with extreme speed and dispatch, giving everybody their constitutional right to due process, but that does not include a constitutional right to enlargements of time of the rules provided for in the civil procedure rules.

There aren't going to be extensions,

01:12:25 1 01:12:28 2 01:12:29 3 01:12:31 5 01:12:34 01:12:37 6 7 01:12:39 01:12:43 9 01:12:45 01:12:48 10 01:12:53 11 12 01:12:57 01:12:58 13 01:13:02 14 01:13:06 15 01:13:10 16 17 01:13:13 01:13:14 18 01:13:18 19 01:13:20 20 01:13:23 21 01:13:25 22 01:13:27 23 01:13:30 24

01:13:34

25

there aren't going to be enlargements, there aren't going to be continuances.

Depos that need to be taken are going to go forward whether all lawyers are available or not, and this case is going to move, again, at a rapid pace.

The last thing I want to say and reiterate, which I said last week, is I don't want this Court's time wasted, and I don't want people distracted with dubious Hail Mary claims. I want the defendants that may have liability in this case targeted. I want only defendants whose conduct can be reasonably alleged to have contributed to this disaster added in this lawsuit, and I don't want dubious, weak, and Hail Mary claims.

I want only claims that have the gold merit, because I don't want this case slowed down, and I don't want party and judicial resources wasted on claims that do not have substantial merit.

Okay? All right. Is there anything else anybody wants to say before we adjourn these proceedings and possibly reconvene

01:13:37	1	Friday morning?
01:13:38	2	MR. BRODIE: Your Honor, Steve
01:13:40	3	Brodie when you have a chance.
01:13:42	4	THE COURT: Mr. Brodie.
01:13:43	5	MR. BRODIE: Thank you, Your Honor.
01:13:44	6	The Court did note that at least on the GL
01:13:48	7	policy and the D & O policy, the
01:13:52	8	obligations with respect to defense costs.
01:13:54	9	Without commenting on any of those duties
01:13:58	10	or anything, are you envisioning the
01:14:00	11	carriers that have some role with respect
01:14:03	12	to defense costs to participate in these
01:14:06	13	meetings?
01:14:13	14	THE COURT: Not necessarily,
01:14:14	15	Mr. Brodie. I'll let you and your clients
01:14:18	16	use your discretion on that point. You
01:14:20	17	know, I'm not going to treat you any
01:14:20	18	differently than I would any other carrier
01:14:22	19	that is providing a cost of defense and
01:14:23	20	require your personal participation in
01:14:26	21	hearings, et cetera, but I'll leave that to
01:14:28	22	your discretion.
01:14:29	23	Does that answer your question?
01:14:31	24	MR. BRODIE: Yes, Your Honor. I do
01:14:32	25	think we have involvement here and some

01:14:36 1 01:14:38 2 01:14:41 3 01:14:45 4 5 01:14:48 01:14:52 6 7 01:14:52 01:14:54 9 01:14:55 01:14:57 10 01:15:03 11 12 01:15:05 01:15:07 13 01:15:08 14 01:15:08 15 01:15:13 16 17 01:15:13 01:15:16 18 01:15:19 19 01:15:22 2.0 01:15:24 21 01:15:27 22 01:15:29 23

01:15:31

01:15:34

24

25

interest in making sure the process is done properly, but at the same time, not have unnecessary defense costs incurred that really do not create value and just prolong this matter, so we do concur with a lot of what the Court said, but we look forward to working with everyone to try to come up with a streamlined process.

THE COURT: Yeah, I said last week,
Mr. Brodie, before you joined us,
I encouraged the carriers to contribute
their defense costs to the lawyers who are
already in the case, namely Mr. Singerman
representing the receiver as the insurer,
so as to not bring lawyers in and
unnecessarily deplete resources that might
be able to be distributed to victims.

I appreciate that. It seems like
we don't need excessive, duplicate counsel,
and if the carriers who have defense
obligations can go ahead and work an
arrangement out to help fund the lawyers
who are already in the case, it seems to me
it will be much more efficient than just
hiring additional lawyers to make

01:15:36 1 appearances as appointed by the carriers. So you all work that out, if you 01:15:39 2 01:15:41 3 can, but as far as participation, like I said, I'm not going to treat these 01:15:43 4 5 carriers different than I would any carrier 01:15:46 6 in any other commercial case and require 01:15:49 7 active participation or presence at 01:15:51 hearings, but given the unique 01:15:53 circumstances of the case, I'll leave that 9 01:15:55 to your decision, and you're more than 01:15:56 10 welcome to join us anytime. 01:15:58 11 12 MR. BRODIE: Thank you, Your Honor. 01:16:01 Hearing nothing else --01:16:02 13 THE COURT: Your Honor? 01:16:04 MR. SILVA: 14 THE COURT: 01:16:04 15 Yes. 16 MR. SILVA: Your Honor, Jorge Silva. 01:16:04 I alluded to earlier that I had what 17 01:16:09 I believe to be wonderful news to report to 01:16:12 18 19 the Court and to all the members that are 01:16:15 participating in this case. As the Court 01:16:17 20 21 knows, several of us have filed lawsuits 01:16:19 against third parties where we believe 01:16:25 22 there is substantial liability and to quote 01:16:28 23 01:16:31 24 the Court, not Hail Marys. In speaking with defense counsel for 01:16:34 25

01:16:35 1 01:16:38 2 01:16:43 3 01:16:46 5 01:16:48 01:16:51 6 7 01:16:52 01:16:54 9 01:16:57 01:16:59 10 01:17:01 11 12 01:17:04 13 01:17:07 01:17:09 14 01:17:12 15 01:17:15 16 17 01:17:18 01:17:20 18 01:17:22 19 01:17:24 20 21 01:17:27 01:17:29 22 01:17:32 23

01:17:34

01:17:38

24

25

one of the defendants, it has been brought to our attention that there are several defendants which, again, we believe have substantial liability that have multiple times the amount of insurance that has been disclosed this morning.

I think the Court was talking somewhere in the neighborhood of 40 or \$50 million when you add up all the coverage, and in speaking with defense counsel, there are several defendants that have multiple times that amount of insurance coverage available. Obviously, the liability will be addressed.

THE COURT: Mr. Silva, I'm sure -that's good news if those claims are
viable, and I'm sure in your meeting this
afternoon with counsel, all counsel that
are seeking to participate in this case
will share any results or any
investigations that they've undertaken with
potential third-party defendants and
coordinate those efforts.

If you've identified potentially liable parties under viable legal theories

01:17:41	1
01:17:44	2
01:17:47	3
01:17:49	4
01:17:51	5
01:17:54	6
01:17:55	7
01:17:57	8
01:17:57	9
01:17:58	10
01:18:01	11
01:18:03	12
01:18:05	13
01:18:06	14
01:18:09	15
01:18:11	16
01:18:12	17
01:18:13	18
01:18:17	19
01:18:18	20
01:18:18	21
01:18:22	22
01:18:22	23
01:18:26	24
01:18:28	25

that may have coverage or other assets that can contribute to this, I'm sure you'll share it with the other lawyers who are discussing the leadership structure and bring that to their attention, and that's great news.

MR. SILVA: That's exactly the plan. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court is adjourned in this matter. I'm going to schedule -- just so everybody is clear, I'm going to go ahead now and schedule another --

MR. BRILL: Your Honor, may I be heard? I'm sorry, I know you have a lot of people on Zoom, and --

THE COURT: Yeah, I think I'm going to stop the Zoom hearings at this point, guys. I think we're just going to have these hearings live, but go ahead. Who is speaking and what do you want to --

MR. BRILL: Thank you, Your Honor.

David Brill and Bob McKee. Just two

points, if I may. One was relative to

insurance exposure, and this was touched

30 1	on
30 2	THE COURT: No, I'm not getting
31 3	we're adjourning at this point, Mr. Brill.
35 4	Whatever issues you have, you can take up
36 5	with me Friday morning. I'm setting a
38 6	hearing 9 a.m. on Friday morning. It's
41 7	going to be live here, and the purpose of
43 8	this hearing Friday morning is to come in
45 9	for a landing on the leadership structure
47 10	for the civil claims.
49 11	Now, if you all work it out and
51 12	there's no objections and there's a
52 13	proposed leadership structure, we can
55 14	cancel the hearing, but as of right now,
57 15	I'm going to leave it on just so we make
00 16	sure that everyone has an opportunity to be
17	heard.
18	Okay. Thank you all.
19	MR. BRILL: Well, I object to not
11 20	being able to make my point, Your Honor.
17 21	It's very disappointing.
22	(Thereupon, the hearing was
23	concluded at 10:19 a.m.)
24	
	30 2 31 3 35 4 36 5 38 6 41 7 43 8 45 9 47 10 49 11 51 12 52 13 55 14 57 15 00 16 03 17 07 18 09 19 11 20 17 21 22 23

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA :
4	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
5	
6	
7	I, Matthew J. Haas, shorthand
8	reporter, do hereby certify that I was authorized
9	to and did stenographically report the foregoing
10	proceedings remotely via Zoom videoconferencing
11	and that the transcript is a true and complete
12	record of my stenographic notes.
13	
14	
15	
16	Dated this 22nd day of July, 2021.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	mylen
23	MATTHEW J. HAAS
24	Court reporter
25	